

make a critical circumstances determination specific to GameChange.<sup>23</sup> However, in *Zhejiang Native Produce*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit's holding was limited to whether Commerce could impute knowledge of dumping when the price of imports complied with a suspension agreement that existed prior to the filing of the petition; there is no such suspension agreement at issue here nor any information that detracts from the record evidence that supports our usual practice.<sup>24</sup>

Additionally, for the separate rate companies and East Asia, we preliminarily determine that critical circumstances do not exist because section 733(e)(1)(A) is not met, as discussed above, and, thus, we do not reach the issue of whether imports were massive for these companies. Regarding the Vietnam-wide entity, as discussed above, we preliminarily find that imports are massive based on total AFA. Lastly, GameChange's argument again relies on its contention that its imported bearings are not subject merchandise. As noted above, if we were to assume *arguendo*, that GameChange's merchandise is not subject to the investigation, then its arguments are inapposite to the issue of whether imports of subject merchandise were massive during a relatively short period of time.

#### **Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part**

Based on the criteria and findings discussed above, we preliminarily determine that critical circumstances do not exist with respect to imports of aluminum extrusions from Vietnam produced or exported by East Asia and the non-individually examined separate rate companies that we preliminarily found qualified for a separate rate, and we preliminarily determine that critical circumstances do exist with respect to imports of aluminum extrusions from Vietnam with respect to the Vietnam-wide entity.

#### **Final Critical Circumstances Determination**

We will make a final determination concerning critical circumstances in the final LTFV determination, which is currently scheduled for September 19, 2024.

<sup>23</sup> See GameChange Allegation at 5 (citing *Zhejiang Native Produce*, 432 F.3d at 1367).

<sup>24</sup> See *Zhejiang Native Produce*, 432 F.3d at 1367–68. We also note that, in *Zhejiang Native Produce*, there was no finding that a case-by-case basis needed to be company-specific rather than specific to the instant investigation.

#### **Public Comment**

In the *Preliminary Determination*, Commerce stated that case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance and set a deadline for case briefs or other written comments on non-scope issues as no later than seven days after the date on which the final verification report is issued.<sup>25</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline for case briefs.<sup>26</sup> All comments regarding this preliminary critical circumstances determination are subject to the same request for public, executive summaries in case and rebuttal briefs, as noted in the *Preliminary Determination*.<sup>27</sup>

#### **Suspension of Liquidation**

In accordance with section 733(e)(2)(A) of the Act, for the Vietnam-wide entity, we will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of any unliquidated entries of subject merchandise from Vietnam entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after February 7, 2024, which is 90 days prior to the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. For such entries, CBP shall require a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin established in the *Preliminary Determination*. This suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

#### **U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification**

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of this preliminary determination of critical circumstances.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(f) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.206(c)(2)(ii).

Dated: May 20, 2024.

#### **Ryan Majerus,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2024–11531 Filed 5–24–24; 8:45 am]

#### **BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>25</sup> See *Preliminary Determination*, 89 FR at 38076–77.

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*; see also 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1).

<sup>27</sup> See *Preliminary Determination*, 89 FR at 38076–77.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A–583–848]

#### **Certain Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain stilbenic optical brightening agents (OBAs) from Taiwan were sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) May 1, 2022, through November 26, 2022.

**DATES:** Applicable May 28, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joshua Weiner, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3902.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background**

On February 2, 2024, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the 2022 administrative review<sup>1</sup> of the antidumping duty order on OBAs from Taiwan.<sup>2</sup> We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>3</sup> No interested party submitted comments. Accordingly, the final results of review remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results* and no decision memorandum accompanies this notice. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

#### **Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the *Order* are OBAs. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>4</sup>

#### **Final Results of Review**

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the POR:

<sup>1</sup> See *Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents from Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022*, 89 FR 7361 (February 2, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See *Certain Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents from Taiwan: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 27419 (May 10, 2012) (*Order*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 89 FR at 7361.

<sup>4</sup> See *Preliminary Results* PDM.

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Teh Fong Min International Co., Ltd ...	1.04

### Disclosure

Because Commerce received no comments on the *Preliminary Results*, we have not modified our analysis and no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. We are adopting the *Preliminary Results* as the final results of this review. Consequently, there are no new calculations to disclose in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b) for these final results.

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We intend to instruct CBP to apply the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates we calculated for the *Preliminary Results* on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>5</sup> If the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, then Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Teh Fong Min International Co., Ltd., for which it did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate (*i.e.*, 6.19 percent)<sup>6</sup> if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these final results in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

<sup>5</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>6</sup> See *Order*, 77 FR at 27420.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

### Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing the final results of this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: May 20, 2024.

#### Ryan Majerus,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2024-11599 Filed 5-24-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Institute of Standards and Technology

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Generic Clearance for Customer Service-Related Data Collections

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Information Collection, request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), invites the general public and

other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment preceding submission of the collection to OMB.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before July 29, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments by mail to Maureen O'Reilly, Management Analyst, NIST at [PRANIST@nist.gov](mailto:PRANIST@nist.gov). Please reference OMB Control Number 0693-0031 in the subject line of your comments. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or specific questions related to collection activities should be directed to Maureen O'Reilly, Management Analyst, NIST, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, (301) 975-3189 or [maureen.oreilly@nist.gov](mailto:maureen.oreilly@nist.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

In accordance with Executive Order 12862, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), a non-regulatory agency of the Department of Commerce, proposes to conduct a number of individual information collections that are both quantitative and qualitative. The information collections will be designed to determine the type and quality of the products, services, and information our key customers want and expect, as well as their satisfaction with and awareness of existing products, services, and information. In addition, NIST proposes other customer service satisfaction data collections that include, but may not be limited to focus groups, reply cards that accompany product distributions, and Web-based surveys and dialog boxes that offer customers the opportunity to express their level of satisfaction with NIST products, services, and information and for ongoing dialogue with NIST. NIST will limit its inquiries to data collections that solicit voluntary options and will not collect information that is required or regulated. No assurances of confidentiality will be given. However, it will be completely optional for survey participants to provide their name or affiliation information if they wish to provide comments for which they elect to receive a response.