

additives (e.g., flavoring, suspension agents, preservatives).

The scope also includes HPC pea protein described above that is blended, combined, or mixed with non-subject pea protein or with other ingredients (e.g., proteins derived from other sources, fibers, carbohydrates, sweeteners, and fats) to make products such as protein powders, dry beverage blends, and protein fortified beverages. For any such blended, combined, or mixed products, only the HPC pea protein component is covered by the scope of this investigation. HPC pea protein that has been blended, combined, or mixed with other products is included within the scope, regardless of whether the blending, combining, or mixing occurs in third countries.

HPC pea protein that is otherwise within the scope is covered when commingled (i.e., blended, combined, or mixed) with HPC pea protein from sources not subject to this investigation. Only the subject component of the commingled product is covered by the scope.

A blend, combination, or mixture is excluded from the scope if the total HPC pea protein content of the blend, combination, or mixture (regardless of the source or sources) comprises less than five percent of the blend, combination, or mixture on a dry weight basis.

All products that meet the written physical description are within the scope of the investigation unless specifically excluded. The following products, by way of example, are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of the investigation:

- burgers, snack bars, bakery products, sugar and gum confectionary products, milk, cheese, baby food, sauces and seasonings, and pet food, even when such products are made with HPC pea protein.

- HPC pea protein that has gone through an extrusion process to alter the HPC pea protein at the structural and functional level, resulting in a product with a fibrous structure which resembles muscle meat upon hydration. These products are commonly described as textured pea protein or texturized pea protein.

- HPC pea protein that has been further processed to create a small crunchy nugget commonly described as a pea protein crisp.

- protein derived from chickpeas.

The merchandise covered by the scope is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) categories 3504.00.1000, 3504.00.5000, and 2106.10.0000. Such merchandise may also enter the U.S. market under HTSUS category 2308.00.9890. Although HTSUS categories and the CAS registry number are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Investigation
- IV. Final Critical Circumstances Determination
- V. Subsidies Valuation Information

VI. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Application of Adverse Inferences

VII. Analysis of Programs

VIII. Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Whether the Application of Adverse Facts Available (AFA) for the Provision of Whole Peas for Less Than Adequate Remuneration (LTAR) Is Appropriate

Comment 2: Whether the Application of AFA for the Provision of Electricity for LTAR Is Appropriate

Comment 3: Whether Policy Loans to the Pea Protein Industry Are Countervailable

Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Apply AFA Regarding the Export Buyer's Credits Program (EBCP)

Comment 5: Whether the Income Tax Deductions for Research and Development (R&D) Expenses Under the Enterprise Income Tax (EIT) Law Program Are Specific

Comment 6: Appropriate Benefit Calculation for the Income Tax Deduction for R&D Expenses Program

Comment 7: Whether to Use a Different Sales Denominator in Junbang's Income Tax Program Benefit Calculations

Comment 8: Appropriate Cash Deposit Rate for Cooperative Exporters

IX. Calculation of the All-Others Rate

X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024-14687 Filed 7-3-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-154]

Certain Pea Protein From the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain pea protein (pea protein) from the People's Republic of China (China) is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of investigation is January 1, 2023, through June 30, 2023.

DATES: Applicable July 5, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sofia Pedrelli or Katherine Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4310 or (202) 482-0557, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 13, 2024, Commerce published its *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**, in which we postponed the final determination until June 27, 2024, and invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*.¹

For a summary of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Determination*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by parties for this final determination, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is pea protein from China. For a complete description of the scope of this investigation, see Appendix I.

Scope Comments

On February 7, 2024, Commerce issued a Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum in which it determined not to modify the language of the scope as it regards pea protein from China.³ We received no scope case briefs from interested parties. Therefore, the scope of the investigation, as contained in the *Preliminary Determination*, remains unchanged as noted in appendix I.

Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances

We continue to find that critical circumstances exist for imports of pea protein from China for the separate rate companies and the China-wide entity,

¹ See *Certain Pea Protein from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, Postponement of Final Determination, and Extension of Provisional Measures*, 89 FR 10038 (February 13, 2024) (*Preliminary Determination*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Less-Than-Fair Value Investigation of Certain Pea Protein from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See Memorandum, "Less-Than-Fair-Value and Countervailing Duty Investigations of Certain Pea Protein from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Scope Decision Memorandum," dated February 7, 2024.

pursuant to section 735(a)(3)(B) of Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.206. For further discussion of this issue, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted by interested parties are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached as appendix II to this notice.

Application of Total Adverse Facts Available With Respect to the China-Wide Entity

Consistent with the *Preliminary Determination*, Commerce continues to find, pursuant to sections 776(a)(1) and (a)(2)(A)–(C) of the Act, that the use of facts available is warranted in determining the rate of the China-wide entity, which includes Junbang Trading Co., Ltd. (Junbang) and Yantai Zhongzhen Trading Co.; Yantai Oriental Protein Tech Co.; and Jiugiang Tinti Food., Ltd. (collectively, the Zhongzhen Companies).⁴ Furthermore, we continue to find that an adverse inference is warranted in selecting from the facts otherwise available, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.308(a), because the China-wide entity failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of its ability to comply with Commerce’s requests for information. As adverse facts available (AFA), we continue to apply the highest rate from the petition

(i.e., 280.31 percent) because it is a rate derived from information submitted on the record and achieves the right balance between the goal of inducing future cooperation by the uncooperative respondent and the rate not being punitive.⁵

Separate Rates

We preliminarily found certain companies to be eligible for a separate rate in the *Preliminary Determination*.⁶ No interested party commented on our preliminary separate rate determination with respect to the companies that we found were eligible for a separate rate, and we have no basis to otherwise reconsider this determination. Accordingly, we continue to find that these companies are eligible for a separate rate in the final determination. As noted above, we continue to treat Junbang and the Zhongzhen Companies as a part of the China-wide entity.

In calculating the rate for non-individually examined separate rate respondents in a non-market economy LTFV investigation, Commerce normally looks to section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, which pertains to the calculation of the all-others rate in a market economy LTFV investigation, for guidance. Pursuant to section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, normally this rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for those companies individually examined, excluding any margins that are zero, *de minimis*, or

based entirely under section 776 of the Act. The statute further provides that, where all margins are zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts available under section 776 of the Act, Commerce may use “any reasonable method” for assigning the rate to non-selected respondents.⁷

The estimated weighted-average dumping margins in this final determination are based entirely under section 776 of the Act. In investigations where no estimated weighted-average dumping margins other than zero, *de minimis*, or those determined entirely under section 776 of the Act have been established for individually examined entities, in accordance with section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act, Commerce typically calculates a simple average of the margins alleged in the petition and applies the results to all other entities not individually examined.⁸ The simple average of the petition rates in this LTFV investigation is 122.19 percent.⁹ See the table below in the “Final Determination” section of this notice.

Combination Rates

Consistent with the *Initiation Notice*, *Preliminary Determination*, and Policy Bulletin 05.1, Commerce calculated combination rates for the respondents that are eligible for a separate rate in this investigation.¹⁰

Final Determination

The final estimated weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:¹¹

Exporter	Producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)	Cash deposit rate (adjusted for subsidy offset) (percent)
Fenchem Biotek Ltd	Yantai Shuangta Food Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Jianyuan International Co., Ltd	Shandong Jianyuan Bioengineering Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Jianyuan International Co., Ltd	Hengyuan Biotechnology Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
KTL Pharmaceutical Co., Limited	Jiugiang Tiantai Food Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Linyi Yuwang Vegetable Protein Co., Ltd	Linyi Yuwang Vegetable Protein Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Nutracean Co., Ltd	Yantai Shuangta Food Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Nutracean Co., Ltd	Zhaoyuan Junbang Trading Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Shandong Yuwang Ecological Food Industry Co., Ltd	Linyi Yuwang Vegetable Protein Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Yantai T.Full Biotech Co., Ltd	Yantai T.Full Biotech Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Yosin Biotechnology (Yantai) Co., Ltd	Yosin Biotechnology (Yantai) Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65

⁴ See *Preliminary Determination* PDM at 11–15. We preliminarily found that the Zhongzhen Companies should be treated as a single entity. *Id.* at 4–5; see also Memorandum, “Preliminary Determination of Affiliation and Single Entity Determination for Yantai Zhongzhen Trading Co., Ltd.,” dated February 7, 2024. No interested party commented on this finding, and we continue to find that these companies should be treated as a single entity for our final determination.

⁵ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 5.

⁶ See *Preliminary Determination* PDM at 6–7.

⁷ See section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act.

⁸ See, e.g., *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from Spain: Final Affirmative Determination of Sales Less Than Fair Value*, 88 FR 18120 (March 27, 2023).

⁹ See Puris Proteins, LLC’s Letter, “Response of Petitioner to Volume II Supplemental Questionnaire,” dated July 21, 2023, at Exhibit II–S14; see also Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 4.

¹⁰ See *Certain Pea Protein from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 88 FR 52124 (August 7, 2023); see also *Preliminary Determination*; and Enforcement and Compliance’s Policy Bulletin No.

05.1, regarding, “Separate-Rates Practice and Application of Combination Rates in Antidumping Investigations involving Non-Market Economy Countries,” dated April 5, 2004 (Policy Bulletin 05.1), available on Commerce’s website at <https://enforcement.trade.gov/policy/bull05-1.pdf>.

¹¹ We continue to find that neither the Zhongzhen Companies nor Junbang, the respondents selected for individual examination in this investigation, are eligible for a separate rate; thus the China-wide entity includes the Zhongzhen Companies and Junbang. See Issues and Decision memorandum at Comments 1 and 2 for additional details.

Exporter	Producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margin (percent)	Cash deposit rate (adjusted for subsidy offset) (percent)
Yosin Import and Export (Yantai) Co., Ltd	Yosin Biotechnology (Yantai) Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Hainan Zhongxin Chemical Co., Ltd	Shandong Hua-Thai Food Products Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Hainan Zhongxin Chemical Co., Ltd	Shandong Jundu Talin Foods Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Hainan Zhongxin Chemical Co., Ltd	Yosin Biotechnology (Yantai) Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Hainan Zhongxin Chemical Co., Ltd	Yosin Import and Export (Yantai) Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
Hainan Zhongxin Chemical Co., Ltd	Yantai Shuangta Food Co., Ltd	122.19	111.65
China-wide Entity		280.31	269.77

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses to interested parties the calculations performed in connection with a final determination within five days of its public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because Commerce continues to find the mandatory respondents are part of the China-wide entity, applied total AFA to the China-wide entity in this investigation in accordance with section 776 of the Act, and the applied AFA rate is based solely on the petition, there are no calculations to disclose.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(4) of the Act, because Commerce continues to find that critical circumstances exist for the non-selected separate rate companies and the China-wide entity, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of subject merchandise, as described in Appendix I of this notice, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after November 15, 2023, which is 90 days prior to the date of publication of the affirmative *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**.

To determine the cash deposit rate, Commerce normally adjusts the estimated weighted-average dumping margin by the amount of domestic subsidy pass-through and export subsidies determined in a companion countervailing duty (CVD) proceeding when CVD provisional measures are in effect. Accordingly, where Commerce makes an affirmative determination for domestic subsidy pass-through or export subsidies, Commerce offsets the calculated estimated weighted-average dumping margin by the appropriate rates. However, suspension of liquidation of provisional measures in

the companion CVD investigation has been discontinued; therefore, we are not instructing CBP to collect cash deposits based upon the adjusted estimated weighted-average dumping margin for those export subsidies at this time.¹²

Pursuant to section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(d), we will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit for such entries of merchandise equal to the amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as follows: (1) for the producer/exporter combinations listed in the table above, the cash deposit rate is equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin listed for that combination in the table; (2) for all combinations of Chinese producers/exporters of subject merchandise that have not established eligibility for their own separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margin established for the China-wide entity; and (3) for all third country exporters of subject merchandise not listed in the table above, the cash deposit rate is the cash deposit rate applicable to the Chinese producer/exporter combination (or China-wide entity) that supplied that third-country exporter. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our final affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Because the final

¹² See *Certain Pea Protein from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, Preliminary Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination, and Alignment of Final Determination with Final Antidumping Duty Determination*, 88 FR 87403, (December 18, 2023); see also section 703(d) of the Act, which states that the provisional measures may not be in effect for more than four months, which in the companion CVD case is 120 days after the publication of the preliminary determination, or April 14, 2023.

determination in this proceeding is affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of pea protein from China no later than 45 days after this final determination. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all cash deposits will be refunded or canceled, and suspension of liquidation will be lifted. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, Commerce will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by Commerce, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation, as discussed above in the "Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation" section.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice will serve as the final reminder to the parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination and this notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: June 27, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistance Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigation

The product within the scope of this investigation is high protein content (HPC) pea protein, which is a protein derived from peas (including, but not limited to, yellow field peas and green field peas) and which contains at least 65 percent protein on a dry weight basis. HPC pea protein may also be identified as, for example, pea protein concentrate, pea protein isolate, hydrolyzed pea protein, pea peptides, and fermented pea protein. Pea protein, including HPC pea protein, has the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number 222400–29–5.

The scope covers HPC pea protein in all physical forms, including all liquid (*e.g.*, solution) and solid (*e.g.*, powder) forms, regardless of packaging or the inclusion of additives (*e.g.*, flavoring, suspension agents, preservatives).

The scope also includes HPC pea protein described above that is blended, combined, or mixed with non-subject pea protein or with other ingredients (*e.g.*, proteins derived from other sources, fibers, carbohydrates, sweeteners, and fats) to make products such as protein powders, dry beverage blends, and protein fortified beverages. For any such blended, combined, or mixed products, only the HPC pea protein component is covered by the scope of this investigation. HPC pea protein that has been blended, combined, or mixed with other products is included within the scope, regardless of whether the blending, combining, or mixing occurs in third countries.

HPC pea protein that is otherwise within the scope is covered when commingled (*i.e.*, blended, combined, or mixed) with HPC pea protein from sources not subject to this investigation. Only the subject component of the commingled product is covered by the scope.

A blend, combination, or mixture is excluded from the scope if the total HPC pea protein content of the blend, combination, or mixture (regardless of the source or sources) comprises less than five percent of the blend, combination, or mixture on a dry weight basis.

All products that meet the written physical description are within the scope of the investigation unless specifically excluded. The following products, by way of example, are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of the investigation:

- burgers, snack bars, bakery products, sugar and gum confectionary products, milk, cheese, baby food, sauces and seasonings, and pet food, even when such products are made with HPC pea protein;
- HPC pea protein that has gone through an extrusion process to alter the HPC pea protein at the structural and functional level, resulting in a product with a fibrous structure which resembles muscle meat upon hydration. These products are commonly

described as textured pea protein or texturized pea protein;

- HPC pea protein that has been further processed to create a small crunchy nugget commonly described as a pea protein crisp;
- protein derived from chickpeas.

The merchandise covered by the scope is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) categories 3504.00.1000, 3504.00.5000, and 2106.10.0000. Such merchandise may also enter the U.S. market under HTSUS category 2308.00.9890. Although HTSUS categories and the CAS registry number are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Adjustment Under Section 777A(f) of the Act
- IV. Adjustments to Cash Deposit Rates for Export Subsidies
- V. Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: The Zhongzhen Companies' Separate Rate Status
 - Comment 2: Junbang's Separate Rate Status
 - Comment 3: Calculation and Reporting Methodology
 - Comment 4: Rate Assigned to Separate Rate Companies
 - Comment 5: China-wide Entity Rate
 - Comment 6: Critical Circumstances
 - Comment 7: Verification
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024–14686 Filed 7–3–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) has determined that Shenzhen Sungold Solar Co., Ltd. (Sungold), and the companies to which Commerce granted separate rates, did not sell subject merchandise at prices below normal value (NV) during the period December 1, 2021, through November 30, 2022, the period of review (POR). Commerce also

determined that certain companies do not qualify for a separate rate, and that it is appropriate to rescind this review with respect to certain companies that did not ship subject merchandise during the POR.

DATES: Applicable July 5, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dakota Potts or Paola Aleman Ordaz, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0223 or (202) 482–4031, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 4, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review in the **Federal Register**.¹ For details regarding the events that occurred since publication of the *Preliminary Results* in the **Federal Register**, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order³

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, and modules, laminates, and panels, consisting of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not partially or fully assembled into other products, including, but not limited to, modules, laminates, panels and building integrated materials. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

We addressed all the issues raised in interested parties' case and rebuttal briefs in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised

¹ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Partial Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review, and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022*, 89 FR 457 (January 4, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

² See Memorandum “Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China; 2021–2022” dated concurrently with, and adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, and Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 73018 (December 7, 2012) (*Order*).