

Dated: August 1, 2024.

Melanie O'Brien,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 2024-17877 Filed 8-9-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NAGPRA-NPS0038469;
PPWOCRADN0-PCU00RP14.R50000]

Notice of Intended Repatriation: New York State Museum, Albany, NY

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), the New York State Museum intends to repatriate certain cultural items that meet the definition of unassociated funerary objects and that have a cultural affiliation with the Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations in this notice.

DATES: Repatriation of the cultural items in this notice may occur on or after September 11, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Lisa Anderson, New York State Museum, 3049 Cultural Education Center, Albany, NY 12230, telephone (518) 486-2020, email lisa.anderson@nysed.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA. The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the New York State Museum, and additional information on the determinations in this notice, including the results of consultation, can be found in the summary or related records. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

Abstract of Information Available

A total of 13 cultural items have been requested for repatriation. The 13 unassociated funerary objects are two bone awls or pins, two antler pressure flakers, two mica flakes, five fragments of carbonized textile, and one fragment of carbonized cordage from the White site, Chenango County, NY, donated by the family of Mr. Stanford Gibson in 2009; and one brass kettle from the vicinity of Verona, Oneida County, NY, acquired from Mr. Dwinel F. Thompson in 1914.

Determinations

The New York State Museum has determined that:

- The 13 unassociated funerary objects described in this notice are reasonably believed to have been placed intentionally with or near human remains, and are connected, either at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony of a Native American culture according to the Native American traditional knowledge of a lineal descendant, Indian Tribe, or Native Hawaiian organization. The unassociated funerary objects have been identified by a preponderance of the evidence as related to human remains, specific individuals, or families, or removed from a specific burial site or burial area of an individual or individuals with cultural affiliation to an Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization.

- There is a reasonable connection between the cultural items described in this notice and the Oneida Indian Nation.

Requests for Repatriation

Additional, written requests for repatriation of the cultural items in this notice must be sent to the authorized representative identified in this notice under **ADDRESSES**. Requests for repatriation may be submitted by any lineal descendant, Indian Tribe, or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice who shows, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the requestor is a lineal descendant or a culturally affiliated Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization.

Repatriation of the cultural items in this notice to a requestor may occur on or after September 11, 2024. If competing requests for repatriation are received, the New York State Museum must determine the most appropriate requestor prior to repatriation. Requests for joint repatriation of the cultural items are considered a single request and not competing requests. The New York State Museum is responsible for sending a copy of this notice to the Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations identified in this notice and to any other consulting parties.

Authority: Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, 25 U.S.C. 3004 and the implementing regulations, 43 CFR 10.9.

Dated: August 1, 2024.

Melanie O'Brien,

Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 2024-17882 Filed 8-9-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-52-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1367]

Certain Electronic Devices and Semiconductor Devices Having Wireless Communication Capabilities and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion to Terminate the Investigation as to ASUS Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 54) issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting an unopposed motion for termination of the investigation as to respondents ASUSTek Computer Inc. and ASUS Computer International (collectively, "ASUS") based on settlement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 27, 2023, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Bell Northern Research, LLC ("Complainant") of Chicago, Illinois. 88 FR 48493-494 (Jul. 27, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices and semiconductor devices having wireless communication

capabilities and components thereof by way of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,416,862 (“the ‘862 patent”); RE 48,629 (“the ‘629 patent”); and 7,564,914 (“the ‘914 patent”). *Id.* at 48493. The complaint also alleged a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named eight (8) respondents: NXP Semiconductors, N.V. of Eindhoven, Netherlands; NXP USA, Inc. of Austin, Texas (collectively, “NXP”); Laird Connectivity, LLC (“Laird”) of Akron, Ohio; Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. (“Qualcomm”) of San Diego, California; MediaTek Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; MediaTek USA Inc. of San Jose, California; ASUSTek Computer Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; and ASUS Computer International of Fremont, California. *Id.* at 48494. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also participating in this investigation. *Id.*

The investigation was terminated as to Qualcomm, Laird, and NXP and as to the ‘914 and ‘862 patents based on withdrawal of the complaint. *See* Order No. 12 (Sept. 1, 2023), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Sept. 22, 2023); Order No. 33 (Jan. 30, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Feb. 29, 2024); Order No. 37 (Feb. 27, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Mar. 25, 2024); Order No. 52 (May 1, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (May 22, 2024).

Respondents MediaTek Inc. and MediaTek USA Inc. were terminated from the investigation based on settlement. *See* Order No. 53 (May 30, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm’n Notice (Jun. 26, 2024).

On July 9, 2024, Complainant moved to terminate the investigation as to ASUS based on settlement. Complainant provided both confidential and public versions of the settlement and license agreement with its motion. OUII filed a response supporting the motion. No other party filed a response.

On July 16, 2024, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 54) granting the motion to terminate ASUS. The ID found the motion complies with the Commission Rules. ID at 1–2. The ID also observed that termination of this investigation as to ASUS based on settlement will not adversely affect the public interest. *Id.* at 2–3. No party filed a petition for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The last remaining respondents, ASUSTek Computer Inc. and ASUS Computer International, are terminated from the investigation based on settlement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 6, 2024.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2024.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2024–17836 Filed 8–9–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1350]

Certain Integrated Circuits, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Grant in Part a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Due to Settlement; Denial of Request To Take No Position With Respect to Unreviewed Issues Addressed in Initial Determination; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to grant in part a joint motion to terminate this investigation in view of a settlement agreement. Specifically, the Commission has determined to grant the motion to terminate but denies the request to reconsider its previous determination not to review and take no position with respect to the unreviewed issues addressed in the final initial determination (“FID”). This investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2382. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its

internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 24, 2023, the Commission instituted the above-captioned investigation based on a complaint, as supplemented, filed by Realtek Semiconductor Corporation of Hsinchu, Taiwan (“Realtek”). 88 FR 4205–06 (Jan. 24, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges that respondent Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. of Santa Clara, California (“AMD”) violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C 1337, by importing into the United States, selling for importation, or selling within the United States after importation certain integrated circuits, components thereof, and products containing the same that infringe one or more asserted claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,936,245 (“the ‘245 patent”); 8,006,218 (“the ‘218 patent”); or 9,590,582 (“the ‘582 patent”). The complaint alleges that a domestic industry exists. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation.

The presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) held a claim construction (*Markman*) hearing on June 5, 2023. The ALJ issued the claim construction order on July 25, 2023. Order No. 21 (July 25, 2023).

On June 20, 2023, AMD moved to preclude Mr. Steve Baik, Realtek’s outside counsel, from testifying as a fact witness in the evidentiary hearing. On July 7, 2023, the ALJ issued Order No. 19, ordering AMD to show cause why Winston & Strawn (“Winston”), AMD’s counsel, should not be disqualified due to an alleged conflict of interest. Order No. 19 at 2 (July 7, 2023).

On August 4, 2023, the ALJ held a teleconference with the parties regarding Mr. Baik and Winston. On August 17, 2023, the ALJ issued Order No. 23, which granted AMD’s motion to preclude Mr. Baik from testifying on behalf of Realtek but did not disqualify Winston. Order No. 23 at 1 (Aug. 17, 2023). On August 24, 2023, the ALJ denied Realtek’s motions for reconsideration and for interlocutory review of Order No. 23. Order No. 24 (Aug. 24, 2023). On September 6, 2023, Realtek filed a petition in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (“Federal Circuit”) seeking a writ of mandamus to order the ALJ to vacate the ruling striking Mr. Baik. The Federal Circuit denied the petition on September 25, 2023. *In re Realtek*