

material injury to, a U.S. industry.<sup>48</sup> A negative ITC determination will result in the investigation being terminated.<sup>49</sup> Otherwise, this LTFV investigation will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

### Submission of Factual Information

Factual information is defined in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) as: (i) evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by Commerce; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). Section 351.301(b) of Commerce's regulations requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted<sup>50</sup> and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct.<sup>51</sup> Time limits for the submission of factual information are addressed in 19 CFR 351.301, which provides specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. Interested parties should review the regulations prior to submitting factual information in this investigation.

### Extensions of Time Limits

Parties may request an extension of time limits before the expiration of a time limit established under 19 CFR 351.301, or as otherwise specified by Commerce. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the expiration of the time limit established under 19 CFR 351.301, or as otherwise specified by Commerce.<sup>52</sup> For submissions that are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. ET on the due date. Under certain circumstances, Commerce may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions

which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, we will inform parties in a letter or memorandum of the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. An extension request must be made in a separate, standalone submission; under limited circumstances we will grant untimely filed requests for the extension of time limits, where we determine, based on 19 CFR 351.302, that extraordinary circumstances exist. Parties should review Commerce's regulations concerning the extension of time limits and the *Time Limits Final Rule* prior to submitting factual information in this investigation.<sup>53</sup>

### Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.<sup>54</sup> Parties must use the certification formats provided in 19 CFR 351.303(g).<sup>55</sup> Commerce intends to reject factual submissions if the submitting party does not comply with the applicable certification requirements.

### Notification to Interested Parties

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Parties wishing to participate in this investigation should ensure that they meet the requirements of 19 CFR 351.103(d) (e.g., by filing the required letter of appearance). Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).<sup>56</sup>

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 732(c)(2) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.203(c).

<sup>48</sup> See 19 CFR 351.302; see also, e.g., *Time Limits Final Rule*.

<sup>49</sup> See section 782(b) of the Act.

<sup>50</sup> See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (*Final Rule*). Additional information regarding the *Final Rule* is available at <https://access.trade.gov/Resources/filing/index.html>.

<sup>51</sup> See *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 88 FR 67069 (September 29, 2023).

Dated: August 6, 2024.

**Scot Fullerton,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

### Appendix

#### Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by the investigation is certain tungsten spheres or balls, also known as shot, that are 92.6 percent or greater tungsten by weight, not including the weight of any additional coating. In scope shot have a diameter ranging from 1.5 millimeters (mm) to 10.0 mm. Subject shot can be referred to as "Tungsten Super Shot." Merchandise is covered regardless of the combination of compounds that comprise the non-tungsten material and whether or not the tungsten shot is additionally coated with another material, including but not limited to copper, nickel, iron, or metallic alloys.

Tungsten shot subject to the investigation may be classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading: 9306.29.0000. Merchandise may also be entered under HTSUS subheading 8101.99.8000. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2024–18008 Filed 8–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–067]

#### Forged Steel Fittings From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Review, in Part; 2022–2023

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Yingkou Guangming Pipeline Industry Co., Ltd. (Yingkou Guangming), the sole mandatory respondent in this review and an exporter of forged steel fittings from People's Republic of China (China), has not established its eligibility for a separate rate, and is therefore part of the China-wide entity. Commerce also preliminarily determines that Jiangsu Forged Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu Forged) is also not eligible for a separate rate and is thus part of the China-wide entity. Further, Commerce is rescinding the administrative review with respect to Qingdao Bestflow Industrial Co., Ltd. (Qingdao Bestflow) and Xin Yi

<sup>48</sup> See section 733(a) of the Act.

<sup>49</sup> *Id.*

<sup>50</sup> See 19 CFR 351.301(b).

<sup>51</sup> See 19 CFR 351.301(b)(2).

<sup>52</sup> See 19 CFR 351.301; see also *Extension of Time Limits; Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013) (*Time Limits Final Rule*), available at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>.

International Trade Co. Ltd. (Xin Yi). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable August 13, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Hannah Lee or Robert Palmer, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1216 and (202) 482-9068, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 29, 2023, Commerce published the notice of initiation of this administrative review, covering four companies.<sup>1</sup> On March 27, 2024, Commerce selected Yingkou Guangming as a mandatory respondent, as it was the only remaining company under review that submitted a separate rate application (SRA) or a separate rate certification (SRC).<sup>2</sup> On March 29, 2024, Commerce issued Yingkou Guangming the standard non-market economy (NME) antidumping duty questionnaire.<sup>3</sup> On April 19, 2024, Yingkou Guangming notified Commerce of its intent not to participate in this administrative review.<sup>4</sup> On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.<sup>5</sup> The deadline for the preliminary results is now August 8, 2024.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this administrative review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>6</sup> A list of the topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included in Appendix I to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 88 FR 90168 (December 29, 2023) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, “Respondent Selection,” dated March 27, 2024; see also *Initiation Notice*, 88 FR at 90168, 90170 (“Exporters and producers must file a timely Separate Rate Application or Certification if they want to be considered for individual examination.”).

<sup>3</sup> See Commerce’s Letter, “Request for Information,” dated March 29, 2024 (NME Questionnaire).

<sup>4</sup> See Yingkou Guangming’s Letter, “Yingkou Notice of Intent Not to Participate,” dated April 19, 2024 (Intent Not to Participate).

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Forged Steel Fittings from the People’s Republic of China; 2022–2023,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

**Scope of the Order**<sup>7</sup>

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is carbon and alloy forged steel fittings, whether unfinished (commonly known as blanks or rough forgings) or finished. Subject carbon and alloy forged steel fittings are normally entered under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7307.99.1000, 7307.99.3000, 7307.99.5045, and 7307.99.5060. They also may be entered under HTSUS subheadings 7307.92.3010, 7307.92.3030, 7307.92.9000, and 7326.19.0010. The HTSUS subheadings and specifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes; the written description of the scope is dispositive. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**Methodology**

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**Partial Rescission of Administrative Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. On January 8, 2024, Bonney Forge Corporation (the petitioner), withdrew its request for review for Qingdao Bestflow and Xin Yi.<sup>8</sup> No other party requested a review of these exporters. Therefore, Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to Qingdao Bestflow and Xin Yi, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

<sup>7</sup> See *Forged Steel Fittings from Italy and the People’s Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 83 FR 60397 (November 26, 2018) (*Order*).

<sup>8</sup> See Petitioner’s Letter, “Withdrawal of Requests for Administrative Reviews,” dated January 24, 2024.

**Separate Rates**

Commerce preliminarily finds that Jiangsu Forged did not establish its eligibility for a separate rate because it failed to provide an SRA or SRC. Commerce also preliminarily finds that Yingkou Guangming did not establish its eligibility for a separate rate. While Yingkou Guangming submitted an SRA, it did not respond to the antidumping duty questionnaire.<sup>9</sup> As stated in the *Initiation Notice*, companies that submit an SRA or SRC which are subsequently selected as mandatory respondents must respond to all parts of Commerce’s questionnaire in order to be eligible for separate-rate status.<sup>10</sup> As such, we preliminarily determine that Jiangsu Forged and Yingkou Guangming are part of the China-wide entity. For additional information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**The China-Wide Entity**

Commerce’s policy regarding conditional review of the China-wide entity applies to this administrative review.<sup>11</sup> Under this policy, the China-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests and Commerce initiates, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the China-wide entity.<sup>12</sup> Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity and Commerce did not self-initiate a review of the China-wide entity for this review period, the China-wide entity is not under review and the China-wide entity’s rate (*i.e.*, 142.72 percent) is not subject to change.<sup>13</sup> For additional information, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**Disclosure and Public Comment**

Normally, Commerce discloses to interested parties the calculations performed in preliminary results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, as we have determined all companies under review, and not rescinded herein, to be part of the China-wide entity, and there were no calculations performed for the

<sup>9</sup> See Intent Not to Participate.

<sup>10</sup> See *Initiation Notice*, 88 FR at 90169–70.

<sup>11</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> See *Order*, 83 FR at 60397.

mandatory respondent, there are no calculations to disclose.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), interested parties may submit a case brief no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>14</sup> Interested parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding must submit: (1) a table of contents listing each issue; and (2) a table of authorities.<sup>15</sup>

As provided under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), in prior proceedings we have encouraged interested parties to provide an executive summary of their brief that should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In this review, we instead request that interested parties provide at the beginning of their briefs a public, executive summary for each issue raised in their briefs.<sup>16</sup> Further, we request that interested parties limit their executive summary of each issue to no more than 450 words, not including citations. We intend to use the executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final results in this administrative review. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).<sup>17</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS. Requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. An electronically filed hearing request must be received successfully in its entirety by Commerce's electronic records system, ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d); see also *Administrative Protective Order, Service, and Other Procedures in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 88 FR 67069, 67077 (September 29, 2023) (*APO and Final Service Rule*).

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>16</sup> We use the term "issue" here to describe an argument that Commerce would normally address in a comment of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>17</sup> See *APO and Final Service Rule*.

### Final Results of Review

Unless the deadline is extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

### Assessment Rates

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review. Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>18</sup> Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

If we continue to find in the final results that Jiangsu Forged and Yingkou Guangming are ineligible for a separate rate and are, therefore, considered part of the China-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to apply an antidumping duty assessment rate of 142.72 percent (*i.e.*, the rate for the China-wide entity) to all entries of subject merchandise during the POR which were exported by those companies.

For Qingdao Bestflow and Xin Yi, for which Commerce is rescinding this administrative review, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of this notice (*see* Appendix III).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters for

<sup>18</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

which a review was not requested and that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (2) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 142.72 percent); and (3) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

### Notification to Interested Parties

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.213, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: August 6, 2024.

### Scot Fullerton,

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

### Appendix I

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Partial Rescission of Review
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Recommendation

### Appendix II

#### Companies Preliminarily Not Eligible for a Separate Rate and Treated as Part of the China-Wide Entity

1. Jiangsu Forged Pipe Fittings Co., Ltd.
2. Yingkou Guangming Pipeline Industry Co., Ltd.

**Appendix III****Companies Rescinded from Review**

1. Qingdao Bestflow Industrial Co., Ltd.
2. Xin Yi International Trade Co. Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2024–18001 Filed 8–12–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A–583–837]

**Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Rescission of Review, in Part; 2022–2023**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET Film) from Taiwan. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023. This review covers the following producers and exporters from Taiwan: Nan Ya Plastics Corporation (Nan Ya); and Shinkong Materials Technology Corporation (SMTC)/Shinkong Synthetic Fibers Corporation (SSFC). Commerce preliminarily determines that sales of subject merchandise have not been made below normal value (NV) by Nan Ya during the POR. In addition, we preliminarily find that SMTC/SSFC had no shipments during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable August 13, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles DeFilippo, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3797.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

On July 3, 2023, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity<sup>1</sup> to request an administrative review of the AD order on PET film from Taiwan.<sup>2</sup> On

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 88 FR 42693 (July 3, 2023).

<sup>2</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and*

September 11, 2023, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the *Order*.<sup>3</sup>

On March 27, 2024, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), Commerce extended the due date for the preliminary results until June 28, 2024.<sup>4</sup> On June 20, 2024, Commerce extended the preliminary results until July 30, 2024.<sup>5</sup> On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.<sup>6</sup> The deadline for the preliminary results is now August 6, 2024.

For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>7</sup> A list of the topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is PET film. A complete description of the scope of the *Order* is provided in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**Preliminary Determination of No Shipments and Preliminary Partial Rescission**

On October 6, 2023, Commerce placed U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) entry data for U.S. imports of PET

*Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip (PET Film) from Taiwan*, 67 FR 44174 (July 1, 2002) (*Order*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 88 FR 62322 (September 11, 2023).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated March 27, 2024.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, “Second Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated June 20, 2024.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Taiwan; 2022–2023” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

film from Taiwan during the POR, which showed that SMTC/SSFC<sup>8</sup> did not make any shipments of PET Film during the POR.<sup>9</sup> Therefore, the record demonstrates that SMTC/SSFC had no shipments during the POR. On this basis, we are preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to SMTC/SSFC, and will issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review.<sup>10</sup> For additional information regarding this determination, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**Methodology**

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Act. Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

As a result of this review, Commerce preliminarily determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period July 1, 2022, through June 30, 2023:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Nan Ya Plastics Corporation .....	0.00

**Disclosure and Public Comment**

Commerce intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties for these preliminary results within five days of any public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>8</sup> In the 2011–2012 administrative review, we treated SMTC and SSFC as a single entity. See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Taiwan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 78 FR 48651 (August 9, 2013), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, unchanged in *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 79 FR 11407 (February 28, 2014). We have treated SMTC and SSFC as a single entity in all subsequent reviews. There is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead Commerce to reconsider that determination. Accordingly, we continue to treat SMTC and SSFC as a single entity for purposes of this administrative review.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, “Release of U.S. Customs Entry Data for Respondent Selection; 2022–2023,” dated October 6, 2023.

<sup>10</sup> We invite interested parties to comment on the preliminary rescission.