

later than 11:59 p.m., September 6, 2024. To receive full consideration, nominations should include all information requested. EPA's nomination form requests: contact information about the person making the nomination; contact information about the nominee; the disciplinary and specific areas of expertise of the nominee; committee preference; the nominee's curriculum vita and/or resume; and additional information that would be useful for considering the nomination such as background and qualifications (e.g., current position, educational background, expertise, research areas), experience relevant to one or more of ORD's research programs, service on other advisory committees and professional societies, and availability to participate as a member of the Executive Committee and/or Subcommittee. EPA values and welcomes diversity. To obtain nominations of diverse candidates, EPA encourages all qualified candidates to apply regardless of gender, race, disability, or ethnicity, as well as from a variety of backgrounds (e.g., tribal, industry, non-profit organizations, academia, and government). Persons having questions about the nomination procedures, or who are unable to submit nominations through the BOSC website, should contact Mr. Tom Tracy, as indicated above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice.

Evaluation of Nominations

The BOSC is a balanced and diverse board of experts designed to possess the necessary domains of expertise, depth and breadth of knowledge, and diverse and balanced scientific perspectives to best support the needs of the EPA's ORD. Nominations will be evaluated on the basis of several criteria including: (a) demonstrated scientific and/or technical credentials and disciplinary expertise, knowledge, and experience in relevant fields; (b) availability to serve and willingness to commit time to the committee (approximately one to three meetings per year both by teleconferences and possibly face-to-face meetings); (c) absence of financial conflicts of interest; (d) absence of an appearance of a lack of impartiality; (e) demonstrated ability to work constructively and effectively on committees; and (f) background and experiences that would contribute to the diversity of viewpoints on the Executive Committee, e.g., workforce sector, geographical location, social, cultural, and educational backgrounds, and professional affiliations.

To help the Agency in evaluating the effectiveness of its outreach efforts, nominees and nominators are requested to inform the Agency of how you learned of this opportunity when completing the nomination form.

Final selection of BOSC members is a discretionary function of the Agency and will be announced as soon as selections are made on the BOSC website at <https://www.epa.gov/bosc>.

Kathleen Deener,

Acting Director, Office of Science Advisor, Policy and Engagement.

[FR Doc. 2024-18373 Filed 8-15-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-12120-01-OAR]

2025 Clean Air Excellence Awards Program; Request for Nominations

Correction

In Notice document, 2024-16156, appearing on pages 59735 through 59736 in the issue of Tuesday July 23, 2024, on page 59735, in the second column, in the second line and first lines from the bottom, the text "October 11, 2024" is corrected to read "October 22, 2024".

[FR Doc. C1-2024-16156 Filed 8-15-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 0099-10-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OGC-2024-0390; FRL-12174-01-OGC]

Proposed Settlement Agreement, Endangered Species Act and Administrative Procedure Act Claims

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator's March 18, 2022, memorandum regarding "Consent Decrees and Settlement Agreements to resolve Environmental Claims Against the Agency," notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement in *Center for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, et al.*, No. 1:22-cv-486-BAH (D.D.C.). On February 24, 2022, the Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia against the EPA alleging that the Agency

had violated the Administrative Procedure Act and Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in connection with EPA's 1993, 1998, and 2007 approvals under the Clean Water Act of Washington State's water quality criteria for cyanide. EPA seeks public input on a proposed settlement agreement prior to its final decision-making with regard to potential settlement of the litigation.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by September 16, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2024-0390 online at <https://www.regulations.gov> (EPA's preferred method). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID number for this action. Comments received may be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments, see the "Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Settlement Agreement" heading under the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eleanor Garretson, Water Law Office, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; telephone: (202) 564-9636; email address: Garretson.Eleanor@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Additional Information About the Proposed Settlement Agreement

On February 24, 2022, the Plaintiff filed a complaint against the EPA, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) (collectively "the Agencies"), alleging violations of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*, related to EPA's Clean Water Act Section 303(c) actions to approve Washington's water quality criteria for cyanide in 1993, 1998 and 2007. The complaint alleges that the EPA failed to complete ESA Section 7 consultation on its approvals of Washington's cyanide criteria and failed to ensure those approvals would not jeopardize ESA-listed species or adversely modify critical habitat. The complaint further alleges that the Agencies violated the ESA by failing to reinstate consultation on Washington's cyanide criteria following the designation and revision of critical habitat and new information regarding the impact of Washington's cyanide