## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1318 (Modification (II))]

Certain Graphics Systems, Components Thereof, and Digital Televisions Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion to Termination a Modification Proceeding Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of the Modification Proceeding

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

## **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 79) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainants and respondent Realtek Semiconductor Corporation's ("Realtek") joint motion to terminate based on a settlement agreement a proceeding to modify a limited exclusion order ("LEO") issued in the underlying investigation. The modification proceeding is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at https://edis.usitc.gov. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at https://www.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 7, 2022, based on a complaint filed by Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. of Santa Clara, California and ATI Technologies ULC of Ontario, Canada (together, "AMD"). 87 FR 34718–19 (June 7, 2022). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on certain graphics systems, components thereof, and digital televisions containing the same by reason of infringement of certain

claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,742,053; 8,760,454; 11,184,628; 8,468,547; and 8,854,381 ("the '381 patent"). Id. at 34718. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. Id. The notice of institution named 14 respondents: (1) TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (2) TCL Industries Holdings (H.K.) Co. Limited of Hong Kong, China; (3) TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd. f/k/a TCL Multimedia Technology Holdings, Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (4) TCL Technology Group Corporation of Guangdong, China; (5) TTE Corporation of Hong Kong, China; (6) TCL Holdings (BVI) Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (7) TCL King Electrical Appliances (Huizhou) Co. Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (8) Shenzhen TCL New Technology Co., Ltd. of Guangdong, China; (9) TCL MOKA International Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; (10) TCL Smart Device (Vietnam) Co., Ltd. of Binh Duong Province, Vietnam; (11) Manufacturas Avanzadas SA de CV of Chihuahua, Mexico; (12) TCL Electronics Mexico, S de RL de CV of Benito Juarez, Mexico; (13) TCL Overseas Marketing Ltd. of Hong Kong, China; and (14) Realtek of Hsinchu, Taiwan. Id. at 34719, as amended, 87 FR 62452-53 (Oct. 14, 2022). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a party to this investigation. 87 FR at 34719.

On September 26, 2022, the Commission allowed TTE Technology, Inc. of Corona, California to intervene in this investigation as an additional respondent (collectively, with all named respondents except for Realtek, "TCL"). *See* Order No. 17 (Aug. 30, 2022), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 26, 2022).

On January 24, 2024, the Commission issued a final determination finding a violation of section 337 by TCL and Realtek with respect to claims 19 and 20 of the '381 patent. 89 FR 5934-35 (Jan. 30, 2024); see Comm'n Opinion (Jan. 24, 2024). The Commission determined that the appropriate remedy is: (i) an LEO against TCL's and Realtek's infringing products and (ii) cease and desist orders ("CDOs") against each of the TCL entities, but not against Realtek. 89 FR at 5935. The Commission also set the bond during the period of Presidential review at zero (0) percent of the entered value of the infringing articles. Id.

On March 28, 2024, Realtek filed an appeal from the Commission's final determination with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. *See Realtek Semiconductor Corp.* v. *ITC,* Appeal Nos. 24–1613. On July 1, 2024, the Federal Circuit granted Realtek's voluntary dismissal of the appeal. *See id.,* ECF No. 28. On June 7, 2024, based on a settlement agreement between AMD and TCL, the Commission issued a modified LEO directed only to Realtek's infringing products, and rescinded the CDOs against TCL. *See* Modified LEO at 1 (June 7, 2024); Comm'n Order at 6 (June 7, 2024).

On May 21, 2024, the Commission instituted a modification proceeding as to the LEO based on a changed condition of fact alleged by Realtek. 89 FR 46158-59 (May 28, 2024). On June 27, 2024, AMD and Realtek filed a joint motion to terminate the modification proceeding based on a June 17, 2024 settlement agreement between AMD and Realtek, attaching thereto as Exhibit B a non-confidential version of the settlement agreement. That same day, Realtek filed a separate correspondence to the Secretary attaching thereto as Exhibit A the unredacted version of the settlement agreement.

On July 5, 2024, the ALJ issued Order No. 78, which (i) ordered AMD and Realtek to "revise and refile the nonconfidential version of the agreement required by Commission Rules 210.21(a)(2) and 210.21(b)(1) [19 CFR 210.21(a)(2) and (b)(1)], and in accordance with Commission Rule 201.6 [19 CFR 201.6]," and (ii) stated that the motion to terminate will be ruled upon after the revised nonconfidential version of the agreement is refiled. Order No. 78 at 2 (July 5, 2024). On July 12, 2024, in response to Order No. 78, Realtek filed a revised nonconfidential version of the subject agreement (Ex. B).

On July 15, 2024, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 79) granting the joint motion to terminate the modification proceeding. The ALJ found that the motion complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), including the submission of confidential and non-confidential versions of the subject agreement in compliance with Commission Rule 201.6 (19 CFR 201.6). and that there is no evidence that terminating the proceeding would be contrary to the public interest in accordance with Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). Order No. 79 at 2 (July 15, 2024). No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The modification proceeding is terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on August 14,

2024. The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section

337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as

amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 14, 2024.

#### Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2024–18582 Filed 8–19–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105-0104]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Previously Approved Collection; District/Aviation Security Officers (DSO/ASO) Personal Qualifications Statement

**AGENCY:** U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. **DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until October 21, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Assistant Chief Karl Slazer/Management Support Division, U.S. Marshals Service Headquarters, 1215 S Clark St., Ste. 10017, Arlington, VA 22202–4387, by telephone at 202–360–7359 or by email at *karl.slazer@usdoj.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- -Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; Evaluate whether and if a how the
- -Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Abstract:* This form will primarily be used to collect applicant reference information. Reference checking is an objective evaluation of an applicant's past job performance based on information collected from key individuals (*e.g.*, supervisors, peers, subordinates) who have now and worked with the applicant. Reference checking is a necessary supplement to

## TOTAL BURDEN HOURS

the evaluation of resumes and other Descriptions of training and experience, and allows the selecting official to hire applicants with a strong history of performance. The questions on this form have been developed following the OPM, MSPB, and DOJ "Best Practice" guidelines for reference checking.

## **Overview of This Information Collection**

1. Type of Information Collection: No material or nonsubstantive change to a currently approved collection.

2. The Title of the Form/Collection: District/Aviation Security Officers (DSO/ASO) Personal Qualifications Statement.

3. The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: USM-234.

4. Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as the obligation to respond:

• Affected Public: District/Aviation Security Officers Job Applicants.

• The obligation to respond is voluntary.

5. An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:

An estimated 1,000 respondents will utilize the form, and it will take each respondent approximately 45 minutes to complete the form.

6. An estimate of the total annual burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 750 hours, which is equal to 1,000 (total # of annual responses) \* (45 mins).

7. An estimate of the total annual cost burden associated with the collection, if applicable:

Activity	Number of respondents	Frequency	Total annual responses	Time per response	Total annual burden (hours)
Ex: Survey (individuals or households) Unduplicated Totals	1,000 1,000	1/annually	1,000 1,000	45 min	750 750

If additional information is required contact: Darwin Arceo, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 4W–218, Washington, DC. Dated: August 14, 2024.

## Darwin Arceo,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice. [FR Doc. 2024–18568 Filed 8–19–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–04–P

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Confined Spaces in Construction

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (DOL) is submitting this Occupational