

historic properties in order to advise the Board of the qualifications of each property being proposed for National Historic Landmark designation, and to make recommendations regarding the possible designation of those properties as National Historic Landmarks to the Board at a future meeting. The Committee also makes recommendations to the Board regarding amendments to existing designations and proposals for withdrawal of designation. The members of the Committee are:

Dr. Lindsay Robertson, Chair  
 Dr. David G. Anderson  
 Dr. Ethan Carr  
 Dr. Julio Cesar Capó  
 Dr. Cynthia G. Falk  
 Dr. Victor Galan  
 Dr. Richard Longstreth  
 Dr. Alexandra M. Lord  
 Dr. Vergil E. Noble  
 Mr. Adam Smith  
 Dr. Sharita Jacobs Thompson  
 Dr. Carroll Van West  
 Dr. Richard Guy Wilson

*Request for Accommodations:* Please make requests in advance for sign language interpreter services, assistive listening devices, language translation services, or other reasonable accommodations. We ask that you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this notice at least seven (7) business days prior to the meeting to give the Department of the Interior sufficient time to process your request. All reasonable accommodation requests are managed on a case-by-case basis.

The meeting will be open to the public. Pursuant to 36 CFR part 65, any member of the public may file, for consideration by the Committee, written comments concerning the National Historic Landmark nominations, amendments to existing designations, or proposals for withdrawal of designation.

Comments should be submitted to Sherry A. Frear, Chief, National Register of Historic Places and National Historic Landmarks Program, National Park Service, 1849 C Street NW, Mail Stop 7228, Washington, DC 20240, or email to [nhl\\_info@nps.gov](mailto:nhl_info@nps.gov). All comments received will be provided to the Committee and the Board.

*Purpose of the Meeting:* The Board and its Committee may consider the following nominations:

Iowa  
 REEVE REA POWER GENERATING PLANT, Hampton, IA  
 New Hampshire  
 LUCKNOW (CASTLE IN THE CLOUDS), Moultonborough, NH  
 New Mexico  
 HURD, PETER AND HENRIETTE

WYETH, HOUSE AND STUDIOS, San Patricio, NM

Proposed Amendments to Existing Designations:

Alaska  
 FORT WILLIAM H. SEWARD (Updated Documentation), Port Chilkoot, AK  
 Michigan  
 FAIR LANE (HENRY FORD ESTATE) (Updated Documentation), Dearborn, MI  
 Pennsylvania  
 CARRIE BLAST FURNACES NUMBER 6 AND 7 (Updated Documentation), multiple, Alleghany County, PA

*Public Disclosure of Comments:* Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

*Authority:* 36 CFR 65.5.

**Alma Ripps,**  
 Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2024-18991 Filed 8-23-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-WASO- NPS0038238; 24XP103905—PPWONRADE2—PMP00E105.YP0000]

#### Finding of No Significant Impact for the Use of Electric Bicycles in the National Park System Programmatic Environmental Assessment

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for a programmatic environmental assessment (PEA) that evaluates, on a nationwide scale, use of electric bicycles (e-bikes) within National Park System units.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jay Calhoun, Chief, Division of Regulations, Jurisdiction, and Special Park Uses, National Park Service; [waso\\_regulations@nps.gov](mailto:waso_regulations@nps.gov); (202) 281-0734.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 2, 2020, the NPS promulgated a final rule (rule) governing use of e-

bikes within the National Park System (85 FR 69175). On May 24, 2022, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia issued an opinion finding that the NPS had improperly relied upon a categorical exclusion to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for the rule. The Court remanded the rule to the NPS and directed the NPS to conduct additional NEPA analysis for the rule. *Pub Emps. For Env't Responsibility v. Nat'l Park Serv.*, 605 F. Supp. 3d 28 (D.D.C. 2022). The rule remained in place pending the outcome of the required NEPA analysis. The PEA was prepared consistent with the Court's May 24, 2022 opinion.

The PEA evaluates the environmental impacts, on a nationwide scale, of a no-action alternative and the proposed action (the rule). The no-action alternative assumes that the rule has not been promulgated and that there is no nationwide policy about the use of e-bikes. Under the no-action alternative, superintendents would have no specific authority to allow e-bike use in System units and no policy direction about how to use existing authorities to manage e-bikes. This would result in inconsistent management of e-bikes use across the National Park System. In most System units, visitors would likely be allowed to use e-bikes on public roads and parking lots where motor vehicle use is allowed. In some System units, e-bike use also could occur on administrative roads and trails. Under the proposed action (the rule), e-bikes are defined uniformly and subject to a standard set of operating requirements, while superintendents have the discretion to allow e-bike use in National Park System units on a case-by-case basis, on public roads, parking lots, administrative roads, and trails where traditional bicycle use is allowed. The proposed action has been identified as the NPS preferred alternative. The PEA analyzes impacts to soils, vegetation, visitor use and experience, and wildlife.

The NPS has selected the proposed action (the rule) for implementation. Based on the analysis in the PEA and the discussion in the FONSI, the NPS has determined that implementation of the selected alternative will not result in significant impacts to the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an EIS will not be prepared. The FONSI is available online at: <https://parkplanning.nps.gov/e-bikes>.

**Raymond M. Sauvajot,**  
 Associate Director, Natural Resource Stewardship and Science.

[FR Doc. 2024-18426 Filed 8-23-24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-52-P**