

### A. Conditions

In addition to those conditions already required by 29 CFR 1910.7, SGS must abide by the following conditions of the recognition:

1. SGS must inform OSHA as soon as possible, in writing, of any change of ownership, facilities, or key personnel, and of any major change in its operations as a NRTL, and provide details of the change(s);

2. SGS must meet all the terms of its recognition and comply with all OSHA policies pertaining to this recognition; and

3. SGS must continue to meet the requirements for recognition, including all previously published conditions on SGS's scope of recognition, in all areas for which it has recognition.

Pursuant to the authority in 29 CFR 1910.7, OSHA hereby expands the scope of recognition of SGS as a NRTL, subject to the limitations and conditions specified above.

### III. Authority and Signature

James S. Frederick, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, authorized the preparation of this notice. Accordingly, the agency is issuing this notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 657(g)(2), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 8–2020 (85 FR 58393, Sept. 18, 2020), and 29 CFR 1910.7.

Signed at Washington, DC, on August 20, 2024.

**James S. Frederick,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2024–19163 Filed 8–26–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–26–P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: 24–057]

### NASA Planetary Science Advisory Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) announces a meeting of the Planetary Science Advisory Committee. The meeting will be held specifically to discuss reporting under the Government Performance and Results Act Modernization Act (GPRAMA).

**DATES:** Friday, September 20, 2024, 12:00 p.m.–3:00 p.m. eastern time.

**ADDRESSES:** Virtual meeting via WebEx only.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. KarShelia Kinard, Science Mission Directorate, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358–2355 or [karshelia.kinard@nasa.gov](mailto:karshelia.kinard@nasa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** As noted above, the meeting will be available to the public via WebEx only. The meeting event address for attendees is: <https://nasaevents.webex.com/nasaevents/j.php?MTID=m636ac9f4c2cdc0dd0cef8d34d158c3a5>. The Webinar number is 2830 953 3722 and the password is 3hYpfBRGT73 (34973274 when dialing from a phone or video system). To join by telephone, call: 312–500–3163 United States Toll (Chicago) or 415–527–5035 United States Toll; Access code: 283 095 33722

**Accessibility:** Captioning will be provided for this meeting. We are committed to providing equal access to this meeting for all participants. If you need alternative formats or other reasonable accommodations, please contact Ms. KarShelia Kinard, Science Mission Directorate, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358–2355 or [karshelia.kinard@nasa.gov](mailto:karshelia.kinard@nasa.gov). The agenda for the meeting will include reporting under the Government Performance and Results Act Modernization Act (GPRAMA) for NASA's Planetary Science Division.

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

For more information, please visit <https://science.nasa.gov/researchers/nac/science-advisory-committees/pac/>.

**Jamie M. Krauk,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer,  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2024–19241 Filed 8–26–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510–13–P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Request for Information on the CHIPS and Science Act Section 10343. Research Ethics

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Request for Information.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) is an independent federal agency that supports research at the frontiers of current knowledge, across all fields of science, engineering and education in all 50 states and U.S. territories. NSF is issuing this Request for Information (RFI) to seek input to

inform the development of the agency's response to Section 10343. Research Ethics in the CHIPS and Science Act of 2022. NSF welcomes feedback from interested parties. This includes representatives from non-profit organizations, philanthropies, industry, local, state, and tribal government offices/agencies, K–12 schools and districts, institutions of higher education, trade, and/or vocational schools.

**DATES:** Interested persons or organizations are invited to submit comments on or before 11:59 p.m. (EST) on Friday, November 15, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** To respond to this Request for Information, please use the official submission form available at: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/ResearchEthicsRFI>.

Respondents only need to provide feedback on one or more questions of interest or relevance to them. Each question is voluntary and optional. The response to each question has a 4,000-character limit including spaces.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information, please direct questions to Jason Borenstein through email: [CHIPSEthicsRFI@nsf.gov](mailto:CHIPSEthicsRFI@nsf.gov), phone: 703–292–4207, or mail: 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314, USA.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 10343 (“Research Ethics”) of the CHIPS and Science Act of 2022 (Pub. L. 117–167) directs NSF to incorporate ethical, social, safety, and security considerations into the merit review process that is used to evaluate research projects or other activities for funding. Section 10343 notes that “a number of emerging areas of research have potential ethical, social, safety, and security implications that might be apparent as early as the basic research stage.” In addition, Section 10343 states that “the incorporation of ethical, social, safety, and security considerations into the research design and review process for Federal awards may help mitigate potential harms before they happen.” Moreover, Section 10343 states that “The Foundation should continue to work with stakeholders to promote best practices for governance of research in emerging technologies at every stage of research.”

Through this Request for Information, NSF seeks input on ways to incorporate ethical, social, safety, and security considerations into the agency's merit review process and to develop strategies for mitigating the potential harms of scientific research and amplifying societal benefits from such research. Responses to one or more of the

questions listed below can be sent to NSF by using the official submission form.

### Ethical, Social, Safety, and Security Considerations

**Question 1:** Describe ethical, social, safety, and/or security risks from current or emerging research activities that you believe might be of concern to the community, profession, or organization with which you are connected.

**Question 2:** Which products, technologies, and/or other outcomes from research do you think could cause significant harm to the public in the foreseeable future?

**Question 3:** Describe one or more approaches for identifying ethical, social, safety, and/or security risks from research activities and balancing such risks against potential benefits.

**Question 4:** Describe one or more strategies for encouraging research teams to incorporate ethical, social, safety, and/or security considerations into the design of their research approach. Also, how might the strategy vary depending on research type (for example, basic vs. applied) or setting (for example, academia or industry)?

### NSF's Approach to Ethical, Social, Safety, and Security Considerations

**Question 5:** How might NSF work with stakeholders to promote best practices for governance of research in emerging technologies at every stage of research?

**Question 6:** How could ethical, social, safety, and/or security considerations be incorporated into the instructions for proposers or into NSF's merit review process? Also, what challenges could arise if the merit review process is modified to include such considerations?

**Question 7:** What other measures could NSF consider as it seeks to identify and mitigate ethical, social, safety, and/or security risks from research projects or other activities that the agency supports?

NSF, at its discretion, will use the information submitted in response to this RFI to help inform future program directions, new initiatives, and potential funding opportunities. The information provided will be analyzed, may appear in reports, and may be shared publicly on agency websites. Respondents are advised that the government is under no obligation to acknowledge receipt of the information or provide feedback to respondents with respect to any information submitted. *No proprietary, classified, confidential, or sensitive information should be included in your*

*response submission.* The government reserves the right to use any non-proprietary technical information in any resultant solicitations, policies, or procedures.

(Authority: Public Law 117–167.)

Dated: August 22, 2024.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**  
*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 2024–19245 Filed 8–26–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555–01–P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2024–527 and CP2024–535; MC2024–528 and CP2024–536; MC2024–529 and CP2024–537; MC2024–530 and CP2024–538; MC2024–531 and CP2024–539; MC2024–532 and CP2024–540]

### New Postal Products

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* August 29, 2024.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the Market Dominant or the Competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal

Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern Market Dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern Competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

## II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s):* MC2024–527 and CP2024–535; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & USPS Ground Advantage Contract 305 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* August 21, 2024; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:* Jennaca D. Upperman; *Comments Due:* August 29, 2024.

2. *Docket No(s):* MC2024–528 and CP2024–536; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & USPS Ground Advantage Contract 306 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* August 21, 2024; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:* Gregory S. Stanton; *Comments Due:* August 29, 2024.

3. *Docket No(s):* MC2024–529 and CP2024–537; *Filing Title:* USPS Request

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).