Genesis Health Care Heliport Point in Space Coordinates

(Lat. 39°59′05″ N, long. 82°01′30″ W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 7-mile radius of the Zanesville Municipal Airport; and within 4 miles each side of the 034° bearing from the airport extending from the 7-mile radius of the airport to 11.4 miles northeast of the airport; and within 4 miles each side of the 214° bearing from the airport extending from the 7-mile radius of the airport to 11.5 miles southwest of the airport; and within a 6-mile radius of the Genesis Health Care Heliport point in space coordinates.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on August 26, 2024.

#### Martin A. Skinner,

Acting Manager, Operations Support Group, ATO Central Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2024–19477 Filed 8–29–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

#### Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[REG-111629-23]

RIN 1545-BM80

#### Guidance Regarding Elections Relating to Foreign Currency Gains and Losses

Correction

In Proposed Rule Document 2024— 18281, appearing on pages 67336— 67341, in the issue of Tuesday, August 20, 2024, make the following corrections:

- 1. On page 67336, in the second column, in the **DATES** section, in the third line, "October 18, 2024" should read "October 21, 2024".
- 2. On the same page, in the same column, in the same section, in the fourth line "August 20, 2024" should read "August 19, 2024".

[FR Doc. C1–2024–18281 Filed 8–28–24; 2:00 pm] BILLING CODE 0099–10–D

### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2024-0500]

RIN 1625-AA00

# Safety and Security Zones: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant, Plymouth Massachusetts

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is proposing to disestablish the existing security zone for Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant, Plymouth, Massachusetts. Since the implementation of the regulation, the facility has permanently ceased power operations making the provisions of the security zone no longer applicable. The waterfront facility's security zone will be removed from all charts, publications, and other navigational references. All related private aids to navigational marking the boundaries of the security zone will also be removed. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before September 30, 2024.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG—2024—0500 using the Federal Decision-Making Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for further instructions on submitting comments. This notice of proposed rulemaking with its plain-language, 100-word-or-less proposed rule summary will be available in this same docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this proposed rulemaking, call, or email Mr. Timothy Chase. Sector Boston, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 617–447–1620, email *Timothy.w.chase@uscg.mil*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations
COTP Captain of the Port Sector Boston
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking
§ Section
U.S.C. United States Code

#### II. Background, Purpose, and Legal Basis

On September 11, 2001, four commercial aircraft were hijacked and flown into the World Trade Center in New York City, and the Pentagon, inflicting catastrophic human casualties and property damage. National security and intelligence officials warned that future terrorist attacks were likely.

In response, on May 30, 2002, the Coast Guard published a final rule titled "Safety and Security Zones; Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant, Plymouth Massachusetts" in the Federal Register (67 FR 37693). On October 2, 2009 the regulation was amended by Federal Register (74 FR 50925) establishing a permanent safety and security zone on all waters of Cape Cod Bay and land adjacent to those waters enclosed by a line beginning at position 41-56'59.3" N, 070-34'58.5" W; thence to 41-57'12.2" N, 070-34'41.9" W; thence to 41-56'42.3" N, 070-34'00.1" W; thence to 41-56'29.5" N, 070-34'14.5" W within Captain of the Port (COTP) Sector Boston, Massachusetts as part of a comprehensive, port security regime designed to safeguard human life, vessels and waterfront facilities from sabotage or terrorist acts.

On June 10, 2019, Entergy Nuclear Operations Inc (site prior owner) notified the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) that the power operations have ceased at Pilgrim Nuclear Station (PNPS) and that the nuclear fuel was permanently removed from the PNPS reactor vessel as per 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1)(i). Effectively, Entergy understood and acknowledged that upon docketing these certifications (ML19161A033), the PNPS 10 CFR part 50 license no longer authorized operation of the reactor or emplacement or retention of fuel in the reactor vessel. Subsequently, the facility license and ownership of Pilgrim Station was transferred to HDI on August 27, 2019 (ML19235A050).

On December 14, 2021, HDI notified the NRC (ML21348A748) that all nuclear fuel was transferred out of the spent nuclear fuel pool and was placed in dry cask storage containers within the newly built Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI). These dry cask storage containers are air cooled and do not rely on cooling water from cape cod bay for nuclear fuel cooling.

On January 9, 2024, Entergy Nuclear Operation, Inc, notified the Coast Guard that they had provided all the required documentation for disestablishment to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission as per 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1)(i). Power operations have

ceased at the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station.

For the reason discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Coast Guard proposes to disestablish the security zone cited in 33 CFR 165.115, Safety and Security Zones: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant, Plymouth, Massachusetts by removing that section completely and reserving it for future use. The Coast Guard is proposing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034.

#### III. Discussion of Proposed Rule

The Coast Guard proposes to disestablish the security zone cited in 33 CFR 165.115, Safety and Security Zones: Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant, Plymouth, Massachusetts, by removing that section and reserving it for future

#### IV. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

#### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This NPRM has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094 (Modernizing Regulatory Review). Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the need to align the regulations with the current arrangements of the port as the waterfront facility safety zone is no longer required. The Captain of the Port Sector Boston proposes to amend 33 CFR 165.115(a)(1) and reserve it for future use.

#### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rulemaking would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the proposed rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132 (Federalism), if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have Tribal implications under Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or Tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the potential effects of this proposed rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023-01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule involves the disestablishment of a security zone. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(b) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023-01-001-01, Rev. 1. A preliminary Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the ADDRESSES section of this preamble. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

#### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places, or vessels.

# V. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this

document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

Submitting comments. We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal Decision-Making Portal at https://www.regulations.gov. To do so, go to https://www.regulations.gov, type USCG—2024—0500 in the search box and click "Search." Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If you cannot submit your material by using https://www.regulations.gov, call or email the person in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this proposed rule for alternate instructions.

Viewing material in docket. To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select "Supporting & Related Material" in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the https:// www.regulations.gov Frequently Asked Questions web page. Also, if you click on the Dockets tab and then the proposed rule, you should see a "Subscribe" option for email alerts. The option will notify you when comments are posted, or a final rule is published.

We review all comments received, but we will only post comments that address the topic of the proposed rule. We may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive.

Personal information. We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to https://www.regulations.gov will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions to the docket in response to this document, see DHS's eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard is proposing to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

## PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051, 70124; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.3.

#### § 165.115 [Removed]

■ 2. Remove § 165.115.

Dated: August 22, 2024.

#### J.C. Frederick,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector Boston.

[FR Doc. 2024–19592 Filed 8–29–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0663; EPA-R07-OAR-2021-0851; FRL-11688-03-R7]

Air Plan Disapproval; Missouri; Interstate Transport of Air Pollution for the 2015 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is extending the comment period for a proposed rule that published August 6, 2024. The current comment period for the proposed rule was set to end on September 20, 2024. In response to requests from commenters, the EPA is extending the comment period for the proposed action to October 21, 2024.

**DATES:** The comment period for the proposed rule published on August 6, 2024, at 89 FR 63860 is extended. Comments must be received on or before October 21, 2024.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2021-0851 to https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the Docket ID No. for this rulemaking. Comments received will be posted without change to www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on sending comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the "I. Written Comments" heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of the associated notice of proposed rulemaking (89 FR 63860 August 6, 2024).

Docket: There are two dockets supporting this action, EPA-R07-OAR-2021-0851 and EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0663. EPA-R07-OAR-2021-0851 contains information specific to Missouri, including the notice of

proposed rulemaking. Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0663 contains additional modeling files, emissions inventory files, technical support documents, and other relevant supporting documentation regarding interstate transport of emissions for the 2015 ozone NAAQS that are being used to support this action. All comments regarding information in either of these dockets are to be made in Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2021-0851. All documents in the docket are listed in the *https://www.regulations.gov* index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically in https:// www.regulations.gov.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Stone, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7 Office, Air and Radiation Division, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219; telephone number: (913) 551–7714; email address: stone.william@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 6, 2024, the EPA published the proposed rule "Air Plan Disapproval; Missouri; Interstate Transport of Air Pollution for the 2015 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards" in the Federal Register (89 FR 63860). The original deadline to submit comments was September 20, 2024. This action extends the comment period in response to requests from commenters. Written comments must now be received by October 21, 2024.

Dated: August 23, 2024.

#### Meghan A. McCollister,

Regional Administrator, Region 7. [FR Doc. 2024–19449 Filed 8–29–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P