

more than 90 days for the sole purpose of enabling a U.S. vessel to complete its voyage and proceed to a port in the U.S. when an inspection can be held. An information application must be submitted by a ship's owner, operator, or authorized agent. The application must be electronically submitted to the FCC Headquarters (via email to Ghassan.Khalek@fcc.gov, Katie.Knox@fcc.gov, Kathleen.Curameng@fcc.gov, and Thomas.Derenge@fcc.gov) at least three days before the ship's arrival. The application must provide specific information that is contained in rule section 80.59. The forms to be completed are FCC Forms 806, 824, 827, and 829.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-21472 Filed 9-19-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notice of Proposals To Engage in or To Acquire Companies Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y, (12 CFR part 225) to engage de novo, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board's Freedom of Information Office at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/request.htm>. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act.

Comments received are subject to public disclosure. In general, comments

received will be made available without change and will not be modified to remove personal or business information including confidential, contact, or other identifying information. Comments should not include any information such as confidential information that would not be appropriate for public disclosure.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20551-0001, not later than October 7, 2024.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond (Brent B. Hassell, Assistant Vice President) P.O. Box 27622, Richmond, Virginia 23261. Comments can also be sent electronically to Comments.applications@rich.frb.org:

1. *Primis Financial Corp. McLean, Virginia*; to engage, through its indirect subsidiary, Panacea Financial Holdings, Inc., Dover, Delaware, in financial advisory activities pursuant to section 225.28(b)(6)(ii), (iii), and (v); management consulting activities pursuant to section 225.28(b)(9)(i)(A)(2); and data processing activities pursuant to section 225.28(b)(14)(i) and (ii), all of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Erin Cayce,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2024-21576 Filed 9-19-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Notice-PBS-2024-12; Docket No. 2024-0002; Sequence No. 42]

Notice of Availability for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Modernization of the Bridge of the Americas LPOE in El Paso, Texas

AGENCY: Office of Public Building Service (PBS); General Services Administration, (GSA).

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: The GSA, in cooperation with the U.S. Customs and Border Protection, the U.S. International Boundary and Water Commission and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), announces the availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed modernization of the Bridge of the

Americas Land Port of Entry in El Paso, Texas.

The Draft EIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts of GSA's Proposed Action for the GSA to support CBP's mission by bringing the BOTA LPOE operations in line with current CBP land port design standards and operational requirements while addressing existing deficiencies identified with the ongoing port operations.

DATES: September 20, 2024.

Interested parties should submit written comments on or before Monday November 04, 2024, 45 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** to be considered in the formation of the Final EIS. The 45-day comment period will be set by the date the EPA publishes the NOA not the date GSA publishes the NOA.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be sent to GSA via email at BOTA.NEPAcomments@gsa.gov, or the address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Further information, including an electronic copy of the DEIS, may be found online at the following website: www.gsa.gov/bota under the Environmental Review section or by contacting Karla R. Carmichael, NEPA Program Manager, Environmental, Fire and Safety & Health Branch, GSA/PBS, Facilities Management and Services Programs Division, Greater Southwest Region 7, 819 Taylor St, Fort Worth, TX, 76102 or via telephone at 817-822-1372.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Bridge of the Americas is located in El Paso County Texas along the Rio Grande River, which serves as the boundary between the U.S. and Mexico. The BOTA LPOE connects with the Mexican land port of "Cordova" in Juarez, Chihuahua, Mexico and is one of 4 crossings in the City of El Paso. The port currently processes toll-free inbound and outbound private vehicular, pedestrian, and commercial truck traffic.

The existing LPOE facilities were built in 1967 with minor updates and repairs occurring in the 80's and 90's. The facilities at BOTA are inadequate for processing the amount of inbound and outbound private vehicular, pedestrian, and commercial truck traffic it receives daily leading to significant wait times, congestion and lines of idling cargo trucks. Thus, the purpose and need for the modernization project

at the Bridge of the Americas Land Port of Entry.

GSA conducted internal and external scoping meetings to seek input on alternatives and issues associated with implementation of the proposed action through various alternatives. The GSA has narrowed the alternatives that best fulfill the purpose and need to the following two with the addition of the No Action Alternative:

Multi-Level Modernization with High/Low Booths Primarily within Existing Port Boundaries with Minor Land Acquisition. (Viable Action Alternative #A1)

Multi-Level Modernization within Existing Port Boundaries with Minor Land Acquisition Immediately Adjacent to the Port and Elimination of Commercial Cargo Operations. (Viable Action Alternative #4)

The Draft EIS states the purpose and need for the Proposed Action, analyzes the alternatives considered, including the option of No Action and assesses environmental impacts of each alternative, including avoidance, minimization, and potential mitigation measures.

GSA, in cooperation with CBP has selected Viable Action Alternative #4 Multi-Level Modernization within Existing Port Boundaries with Minor Land Acquisition Immediately Adjacent to the Port and Elimination of Commercial Cargo Operations as its Preferred Alternative.

GSA believes this alternative would best fulfill its statutory mission and responsibilities, giving consideration to economic, environmental, technical and other factors and is seeking public and stakeholder comments on this alternative before a final decision is made.

Michael Clardy,

Director, Facilities Management Division (7PM), General Services Administration—Public Building Service, Greater Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 2024–21068 Filed 9–19–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–AY–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, HHS.

ACTION: Information collection notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, this notice announces the intention of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to request that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approve the reinstatement without change of the information collection project *Evaluating the Implementation of PCOR to Increase Referral, Enrollment, and Retention through Automatic Referral to Cardiac Rehabilitation (CR) with Care Coordinator OMB No. 0935–0252* for which approval has expired. The reinstatement of this previously approved PRA collection for which approval has expired is required in order to discontinue this collection.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by November 19, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to: Doris Lefkowitz, Reports Clearance Officer, AHRQ, by email at REPORTSCLEARANCEOFFICER@ahrq.hhs.gov.

Copies of the proposed collection plans, data collection instruments, and specific details on the estimated burden can be obtained from the AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Lefkowitz, AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer, (301) 427–1477, or by email at REPORTSCLEARANCEOFFICER@ahrq.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Information Collection: Evaluating the Implementation of PCOR to Increase Referral, Enrollment, and Retention through Automatic Referral to Cardiac Rehabilitation (CR) with Care Coordinator.

OMB No.: 0935–0252.

Type of Request: Reinstatement without change to discontinue the collection.

The aim of this project, known as TAKEheart, was to (a) raise awareness about the benefits of cardiac rehabilitation (CR) after myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization, then to (b) spread knowledge about the best practices to increase referrals to CR, and, finally, (c) to increase CR uptake.

AHRQ evaluated TAKEheart to assess:

- the extent and effectiveness of the dissemination and implementation efforts

- the uptake and usage of Automatic Referral with Care Coordination and
- levels of referral to CR at the end of the intervention.

Evaluation results were used to improve the intervention and to provide guidance for future AHRQ

dissemination and implementation projects. Two cohorts of “Partner Hospitals,” up to 125 hospitals in total, engaged in efforts to implement Automatic Referral with Care Coordination over twelve-month periods. The evaluation ascertained the diversity of hospitals engaged in the activities that contributed to (or hindered) their efforts, and the types of support which they reported having been most (and least) useful. This information was used to improve recruitment, technical assistance, and tools for the second cohort.

In addition, hospitals—including those involved in the implementation—were invited to attend Affinity Group virtual meetings organized around specific topics of interest which are not intrinsic to Automatic Referral with Care Coordination. Hospital staff engaged in Affinity Groups created a vibrant Learning Community. The evaluation determined which Affinity Groups engaged the most participants of the Learning Community, and which resources participants determined the most useful. This information was used to develop resources which were available on a new, permanent website dedicated to improving CR.

This study was conducted by AHRQ through its contractor, Abt Associates Inc., pursuant to AHRQ’s statutory authority to disseminate government-funded research relevant to comparative clinical effectiveness research. 42 U.S.C. 299b–37(a).

Method of Collection

To collect data on the many facets of the intervention, the collection implemented multiple data collection tools, each of which had a specific purpose and set of respondents.

1. *Partner Hospital Champion Survey.* Each Partner Hospital designated a “Champion” who coordinated activities associated with implementing Automatic Referral with Care Coordination at the hospital and provide the Champion’s name and email address. Champions could have had any role in the hospital, although they were expected to be in relevant positions, such as cardiologists or quality improvement managers. We conducted online surveys of 125 Champions (one Champion per hospital). We used the email addresses to send the Champion a survey at two points: seven months after the start of implementation and at the end of the 12-month implementation period. The first survey focused on four constructs. First, it captured data about the hospital context, such as whether it had prior experience customizing an EMR or is a safety net hospital. Second,