

section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–15, 19–21, and 23–26 of the '511 patent; the claim of the D'205 patent; and the claim of the D'208 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "exercise equipment known as Pilates reformers";

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Balanced Body, Inc., 5909 88th St., Sacramento, CA 95828.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Guangzhou Oasis, LLC, d/b/a

trysauna.com, 1942 Broadway St., Suite 314C, Boulder, CO 80302

Ciga Pilates, 22/F, Star House, 3 Salisbury Road, Tism Sha Tsui, KL, Hong Kong

Shandong Tmax Machinery Technology Co. Ltd., 2nd Floor, No. 21, Building 1, Qixi Market, Xinhua Sub-district, Dezhou City, Shandong Province, China 253024

Shandong VOG Sports Products Co. Ltd., South, 50 meters east of the intersection of Zhenhua Street and Commercial Street, Ningjin County, Dezhou City, Shandong Province, China 253400

Dezhou Bodi Fitness Equipment Co., Ltd., No. 17 Taishan Road, Small and Medium-sized Enterprise Park, Ningjin County Development Zone, Dezhou City, Shandong Province, China

Suzhou Selfcipline Sports Goods Co., Ltd., Unit 384, Room 1601, Building 1, Yueliangwan, International Business Center, No. 9 Cuiwei Street, Suzhou Industrial Park, China (Jiangsu), Pilot Free Trade Zone, Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, China

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge,

U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 23, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024–22149 Filed 9–26–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1420]

Certain Smart Televisions; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 22, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Maxell, Ltd. of Japan. A letter supplementing the complaint was filed on September 10, 2024. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for

importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain smart televisions by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,549,109 ("the '109 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,451,860 ("the '860 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 11,924,502 ("the '502 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 10,958,971 ("the '971 patent"). The complainant further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2024).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 23, 2024, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claim 4 of the '109 patent; claims 7–11 of the '860 patent; claims 1, 4, 6, 11, 12, 15, 17, and 22 of the '502 patent; and claim 1 of the '971 patent, and whether an

industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "televisions with smart features and functionality";

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) *The complainant is:* Maxell, Ltd., 1. Koizumi, Oyamazaki, Oyamazaki-cho, Otokuni-gun, Kyoto, 618-8525 Japan.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

TCL Electronics Holdings Ltd. (f/k/a TCL, Multimedia Technology Holdings, Ltd.), 7th Floor, Building 22E, 22 Science Park East Avenue, Hong Kong Science Park, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

TCL Industries Holdings Co., Ltd., 22nd Floor, TCL Technical Tower, Huifeng 3 Road, Zhongkai Development, Zone Huizhou, Guangdong, China, 516006

T.C.L. Industries Holdings (H.K.) Limited, 8th Floor, Building 22E, Phase Three, Hong Kong Science Park, Pak Shek Kok, New Territories, Hong Kong

TTE Technology, Inc. (d/b/a TCL North America), 1860 Compton Avenue, Corona, CA 92881

TTE Corporation, 7th Floor, Building 22E, 22 Science Park East Avenue, Hong Kong Science Park, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

TCL King Electrical Appliances, (Huizhou) Co. Ltd., No. 78, Huifeng 4 Road, Zhongkai Development Zone Huizhou, China, 516006

Manufacturas Avanzadas S.A. de C.V., Blvd. Independencia No. 2151, Ciudad Juarez, Chihuahua, 32580, Mexico

TCL Smart Device (Vietnam) Co., Ltd., No. 26 VSIP II-A, Street 32, Vietnam Singapore Industrial Park II-A, Tan Binh Commune, Bac Tan Uyen District, Binh Duong Province, 75000, Vietnam

Shenzhen TCL New Technology Co., Ltd., 9th Floor, TCL Electronics Holdings Limited Building, TCL International E City, No. 1001 Zhongshan Park Road, Nanshan, China, 518067

TCL Optoelectronics Technology (Huizhou) Co., Ltd., No. 78, Huifeng 4 Road, Zhongkai Development Zone Huizhou, China, 516006

TCL Overseas Marketing Ltd., 5th Floor, Building 22E, 22 Science Park East Avenue, Hong Kong Science Park, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

TCL Technology Group Corporation, (f/k/a TCL Corp.), TCL Technology Building, No. 17, Huifeng Third Road, Zhongkai High-Tech Development Zone, Huizhou, Guangdong, China 516001

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 24, 2024.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2024-22187 Filed 9-26-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Theodore S. Wright Jr., M.D.; Decision and Order

On August 30, 2023, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Theodore S. Wright Jr., M.D., of Chicago, Illinois (Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA), Exhibit (RFAAX) 1, at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. AW2016651, alleging that Registrant's registration should be revoked because Registrant is "currently without authority to prescribe, administer, dispense, or otherwise handle controlled substances in the State of Illinois, the state in which [he is] registered with DEA." *Id.* at 1-2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).

The OSC notified Registrant of his right to file with DEA a written request for hearing, and that if he failed to file such a request, he would be deemed to have waived his right to a hearing and be in default. *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). Here, Registrant did not request a hearing. RFAA, at 2.¹ "A default, unless excused, shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of the [registrant's] right to a hearing and an admission of the factual allegations of the [OSC]." 21 CFR 1301.43(e).

Further, "[i]n the event that a registrant . . . is deemed to be in default . . . DEA may then file a request for final agency action with the Administrator, along with a record to support its request. In such circumstances, the Administrator may enter a default final order pursuant to [21 CFR] § 1316.67." *Id.* § 1301.43(f)(1). Here, the Government has requested final agency action based on Registrant's default pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43(c), (f), 1301.46. RFAA, at 1; *see also* 21 CFR 1316.67.

Findings of Fact

The Agency finds that, in light of Registrant's default, the factual allegations in the OSC are admitted. According to the OSC, effective February 21, 2023, the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation suspended

¹ Based on the Government's submissions in its RFAA dated October 17, 2023, the Agency finds that service of the OSC on Registrant was adequate. Specifically, the included declaration from a DEA Diversion Investigator indicates that on August 31, 2023, Registrant was personally served with the OSC at his registered address. RFAAX 2, at 1; *see also id.* at 3 (Form DEA-12 signed by Registrant on August 31, 2023).