

www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Public Housing Agency (PHA) Lease and Grievance Requirements.

OMB Approval Number: 2577-0006.
Type of Request: Reinstatement, with change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Form Number: None.
Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The Public Housing (PH) dwelling lease and grievance procedures are required by the United States Housing Act of 1937, specifically under Sections 6(k) and 6(l). These sections outline requirements such as establishing administrative grievance procedures for Public Housing Agencies (PHAs), utilizing leases with a

12-month term automatically renewed except for specific noncompliance instances, and specifying lease provisions for families paying the alternative non-public housing rent (alternative rent). Detailed regulations can be found in 24 CFR part 966—Public Housing Lease and Grievance Procedure, Subpart A—Dwelling Leases, Procedures, and Subpart B—Grievance Procedures and Requirements. Upon renting and receiving rental housing assistance, residents must sign a lease and acknowledge the grievance procedures, as outlined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). PHAs are responsible for maintaining records and following these procedures, ensuring consistency with HUD’s legal and administrative obligations.

The implementation of the Housing Opportunity Through Modernization Act of 2016 (HOTMA) created new lease requirements in both section 24 CFR 960.507 and 24 CFR 960.509 and made modifications to section 24 CFR 966.4 (a)(2)(iii). PHAs must now modify the PH lease to become month-to-month in the period before termination of an over-

income family and 24 CFR 960.509 explains the new lease requirements for Non-Public Housing Over-Income (NPHOI) families. 24 CFR 960.509 stipulates that over-income families permitted to continue as tenants must pay the alternative rent and sign the lease for NPHOI families no later than either the next lease renewal or 60 days after receiving the third notification outlined in 24 CFR 960.507(c)(3), whichever comes first. HUD specifies various provisions required in leases for NPHOI families, including details about lease parties, duration, covered costs, renewal or termination procedures, tenant rent and potential charges, usage rights, PHA and tenant responsibilities, repair and access obligations, lease termination processes, grievance procedures, and modification protocols.

Members of affected public: Public Housing leaseholders.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 809,425.
Estimated Number of Responses: 1,133,195.
Frequency of Response: 1.4.
Average Hours per Response: .5.
Total Estimated Burdens: 566,598.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
Tenant leases and grievance procedures (recordkeeping)	809,425	1.4	1,133,195	.5	* 566,598	** \$22.27	\$12,618,137

* Calculation: 809,425 households (as of 7/22/2024 per the Public Housing Data Dashboard) × 1.4 median number of new and interim leases + changes + grievances (formal and informal) × 30 minutes (.5 of an hour) median time to complete = 566,598 total hours. (https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/public_indian_housing/programs/ph/PH_Dashboard)

** Calculation: 566,598, total hours × \$22.27 per hour = \$12,618,137. The hourly rate assumes that the national average for housing authority staff responsible for preparing leases earn the equivalent of the 2024 GS Grade 8 Step 1 (https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/pdf/2024/GS_h.pdf).

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

- (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comments in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35.

Sylvia Whitlock,

Acting Director, Office of Policy, Programs and Legislative Initiatives.

[FR Doc. 2024-22831 Filed 10-2-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-7080-N-49]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection; Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan—HUD 935.2A, HUD 935.2B, and HUD 935.2C; OMB Control No.: 2529-0013

AGENCY: Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* November 4, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

1. *Electronic Submission of Comments.* Interested persons may also submit comments electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at www.regulations.gov. HUD strongly encourages commenters to submit comments electronically. Electronic submission of comments allows the commenter maximum time to prepare and submit a comment, ensures timely receipt by HUD, and enables HUD to make them immediately available to the public. Comments submitted electronically through the www.regulations.gov website can be viewed by other commenters and interested members of the public. Commenters should follow the instructions provided on that site to submit comments electronically.

Note: To receive consideration as public comments, comments must be submitted through one of the two methods specified above. Again, all submissions must refer to the docket number and title of the notice. Facsimile (FAX) comments are not acceptable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, REE, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 7th Street SW, Room 8210, Washington, DC 20410; email Colette.Pollard@hud.gov or telephone (202) 402–3400. This is not a toll-free number. HUD welcomes and is prepared to receive calls from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, as well as individuals with speech or communication disabilities. To learn more about how to make an accessible telephone call, please visit <https://www.fcc.gov/consumers/guides/telecommunications-relay-service-trs>.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A. The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the

information collection for a period of 60 days was published on June 26, 2024, at 80 FR 53437.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan.

OMB Approval Number: 2529–0013.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Form Number: HUD 935.2A, HUD 935.2B, HUD 935.2C.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: HUD is requesting that the OMB approve the revision of forms: HUD–935.2A Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan—Multifamily Housing, HUD–935.2B Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan—Single Family Housing, and HUD–935.2C Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Plan—Condominiums or Cooperatives. These forms assist HUD in fulfilling its duty under the Fair Housing Act to administer its programs and activities relating to housing and urban development in a manner that affirmatively furthers fair housing, by promoting a condition in which individuals of similar income levels in the same housing market area have available a like range of housing choices, regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex (including sexual orientation and gender identity), disability, or familial status. These forms assist HUD grantees and insured housing with their compliance with the Fair Housing Act and other civil rights requirements. This collection also promotes compliance with Executive Order 11063, which requires Federal agencies to take all necessary and appropriate action to prevent discrimination in federally insured and subsidized housing. Under the Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Regulations (24 CFR part 200, subpart M), an AFHMP must be submitted by all applicants for participation in Federal Housing Administration (FHA) subsidized and unsubsidized housing programs that involve the development or rehabilitation of the following types of housing: (1) multifamily projects or manufactured home parks of five or more lots, units, or spaces; (2) a single family property, where the property is located in a subdivision and the builder or developer intends to sell five or more properties in the subdivision, and a lender is making an initial application for mortgage insurance; or (3) dwelling units, when the applicant’s

participation in FHA housing programs had exceeded or would thereby exceed the development of five or more of such dwelling units during the year preceding the application (not counting the development of single family dwelling units for occupancy by a mortgagor on property owned by the mortgagor and in which the applicant had no interest prior to entering into the contract for construction or rehabilitation). The regulations require applicants to submit the AFHMP on a form prescribed by the Department (*i.e.*, HUD–935A; HUD–935B and HUD 935–C). If this information was not collected, it would prevent HUD from ensuring compliance with affirmative fair housing marketing requirements.

HUD is revising the HUD–935.2A to clarify the instructions involving marketing activities and reduce the need to provide information that has already been reported to HUD. The revision also substantially reduces the burden hours of completing the form using Microsoft Excel to automate the retrieval of project demographics and geocoded Census data once users enter an existing nine-digit Property ID.

Respondents: Applicants for FHA subsidized and unsubsidized housing programs.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5,703 For the HUD 935.2A: On an annual basis, there are approximately 303 respondents that submit new plans and 1,080 respondents that review their existing plans and submit updated plans. There are 4,320 respondents who will review their AFHMP and determine that it does not need to be submitted for HUD approval.

For HUD 935.2.B & C: On an annual basis, there are approximately 30 respondents that submit new plans.

Estimated Number of Responses: 5,733.

Frequency of Response: 1 per annum.

Average Hours per Response: The average hours per response is 2.7 hours. (For HUD–935.2A, the hours per response are: 4 hours (new plans) and 2 hours (to review and update plans. Census data needed for updates are now automated) and 2 hours (review only, *i.e.* those who review and determine that an update is not needed). For HUD–935.2B & C, the hours per response is 3 hours (average hours between those that will certify that they do not need to complete the form and those that will complete the form).

Total Estimated Burden: 12,102 hours.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
HUD-935.2A (MFH)	5,703	1	5,703	New 4 × 303 Review & Update 2 × 1,080. Review 2 × 4,320.	New 1,212 Review & Update 2,160. Review 8,640.	<i>Respondents</i> \$40/hr (professional work). \$18/hr (clerical work). \$1.35 per report mailing. <i>Government</i> \$40.45/hr* (professional work). \$18.40/hr** (clerical work).	<i>Respondents</i> New = (\$40 × 4 × 303) + (\$18 × 2 × 303) = \$59,388. Updates = (\$40 × 2 × 1,080) + (\$18 × 2 × \$1,080) = \$125,280. Reviews = (\$40 × 2 × 4,320) = \$345,600. Mailing Costs = \$1.35 × 100 = \$135. Annual Cost = \$59,388 + \$125,280 + \$345,600 + \$135 = \$530,403. <i>Government</i> New = (\$40.45 × 3 × 303) + (\$18.40 × 0.5 × 303) = \$39,556.65. Reviews & Updates = (\$40.45 × 3 × 1,080) + (\$18.40 × 0.5 × 1,080) = \$140,994. Annual Cost = \$39,556.65 + \$140,994 = \$180,550.65.
HUD-935.2B (SFH) & C (Condos and Co-Ops).	30	1	30	3	90	<i>Respondents</i> \$40/hr (professional work). \$18/hr (clerical work). \$1.35 per report mailing. <i>Government</i> \$40.42/hr (professional work). \$18.40/hr (clerical work).	<i>Respondents</i> (\$40 × 3 × 30) + (\$18 × 2 × 30) = \$4,680. \$1.35 × 0 = \$0. Annual Cost = \$4,680 + \$0 = \$4,680. <i>Government</i> Annual Cost = (\$40.42 × 3 × 30) + (\$18.40 × 0.5 × 30) = \$3,918.8.
Total	5,733	1 each	5,733	Avg. of 2.12	12,102	Avg. of \$17.84	<i>Respondents</i> \$535,083. <i>Government</i> \$184,469.49.

* Base rate for GS 12 Step 5 (\$40.42/hr) based on the salary information available on *OPM.gov*.
** Base rate for GS 5 step 5 (\$18.40/hr) based on the salary information available on *OPM.gov*.

Discussion of Revisions

HUD received comments from one commenter, who strongly supported the continued use of the AFHMP. In response to the comments, HUD made minor revisions to the forms as described in the responses below.

General Comments

Form Clarity: The commenter suggested that the AFHMP form clarify the meaning of “market area.” The commenter suggested using broader metropolitan areas such as MSAs or regional planning geographies rather than a developer’s subjective view of the HMA without considering regional patterns of segregation. The commenter also suggested the replacement of “tenants” with “potential residents” because market area selection requirements include homeownership. Lastly, the commenter suggested that the demographics of income eligible persons be considered.

HUD Response: HUD appreciates these suggestions and has replaced the term “tenants” with “potential residents” in Forms 935.2B and 935.2C

to reflect that these units are for owner-occupants.

HUD agrees that the demographics of income-eligible persons are an important consideration and will explore how to incorporate additional data sources in future versions of the form.

With regard to geographies, the form instructions define a housing market area (HMA) as an area from which a multifamily housing project owner/agent, may “reasonably expect to draw a substantial number of tenants,” and an Expanded Housing Market Area (EHMA) as “a larger geographic area, such as a Metropolitan Division or a Metropolitan Statistical Area, which may provide additional demographic diversity regarding race, color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status, or disability.” The automated form 935.2A selects default HMA and EHMA based on a property’s location. The form instructions detail the default selections. The default HMA is the Census Place (e.g. population centers such as municipalities, cities, towns, villages, or Zip Code Tabulation Areas

for unincorporated places) where the development is located. In response to public comments, we have added examples of Census Places to the instructions. The default EHMA is a Metropolitan or Micropolitan Statistical Area, if applicable, or a County for properties not located within an MSA. In response to this comment, we have added additional details to instructions of all three forms giving examples of Census-defined places.

To account for unusual circumstances and data or technical issues, the automated form retains a manual input feature that may be used to select alternate HMA and EHMA geographies. Users choosing alternate HMAs or EHMA’s must explain and justify their selections in Question 13. HUD expects that defining default HMA/EHMA’s while also allowing manual selections will result in more standardized AFHMP submissions and more clarity around the intent of analyzing demographics at both the HMA and EHMA levels, while preserving flexibility for housing providers and

reviewers to account for local conditions.

Preferences: The commenter supported the inclusion of the residency preferences questions. The commenter suggested that HUD require that any residency preference be clearly defined by its geographic impact and demonstrate that they do not discriminatorily limit access by applicants. The commenter also suggested that the AFHMP form provide instructions for analyzing whether other types of preferences exclude applicants who are least likely to apply.

HUD Response: All admissions preferences must comply with nondiscrimination requirements and applicable civil rights laws. However, HUD regulations at 24 CFR 5.655(c)(1) and 24 CFR 5.105(a), specifically require that HUD review and approve residency preferences as part of the AFHMP process. Accordingly, HUD has required and will continue to require a geographic analysis for AFHMP submissions requesting or modifying residency preferences. If during the course of reviewing an AFHMP submission HUD becomes aware of other admissions preferences in response to question 1j that violate program requirements or civil rights, reviewers will work with owners and/or agents to revise preferences prior to approval.

Burden Estimate: The commenter noted that the burden hours could be substantially longer than estimated unless demographic information is readily and easily available.

HUD Response: The revised Form 935–2A automates the retrieval of demographic and geocoded census data which is estimated to substantially reduce the burden hours to complete the form. HUD reorganized forms 935–2B and 935–2C to highlight the self-certification option, which reduces the burden hours of completing the forms.

Alternative Marketing Techniques: The commenter suggested that the instructions should include alternative media to reach out to people least likely to apply, including outreach to persons on the Housing Choice Voucher waiting list, social media, direct mailings, advertising on buses and trains, flyers at daycare, senior, and recreation centers; groceries; and tours of the housing and neighborhood.

HUD Response: The forms currently include examples of various marketing outreach and community contacts, including PHAs. Housing providers are encouraged to continue to exhaust various means to advertise housing and draw potential residents that are least likely to apply.

Solicitation of Public Comment

In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), HUD is specifically soliciting comment from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

(5) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comments in response to these questions.

C. Authority

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35

Colette Pollard,

*Department Reports Management Officer,
Office of Policy Development and Research,
Chief Data Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2024–22833 Filed 10–2–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

**[FWS–HQ–FAC–2024–N047; FF09F42300
FVWF97920900000 XXX]**

Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service gives notice of a public meeting of the Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council (Council), in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

DATES:

Meetings: The Council will meet on Tuesday, October 29, 2024, from 8:30

a.m. to 4:45 p.m. and Wednesday, October 30, 2024, from 8:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. mountain time.

Registration: Registration is required. The deadline for registration is October 25, 2024.

Accessibility: The deadline for accessibility accommodation requests is October 22, 2024. Please see *Accessibility Information*, below.

For additional public input deadlines, see *Public Input*, below.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bozeman Fish Technology Center at 4050 Bridger Canyon Road, Bozeman, MT 59715. Virtual participation will also be available via teleconference and broadcast over the internet. To register and receive the web address and telephone number for virtual participation, contact the Designated Federal Officer (see **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom McCann, Designated Federal Officer, by email at thomas_mccann@fws.gov, or by telephone at 571–329–3206. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Established in 1993, the Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council (Council) advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Director of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), and the Secretary of Commerce, through the Assistant Administrator of the National Marine Fisheries Service of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), on aquatic conservation endeavors that benefit recreational fishery resources and recreational boating and that encourage partnerships among industry, the public, and government.

Meeting Agenda

- Opening remarks
- National Fish Habitat Partnership board seat nomination
- Recreational Boating and Fishing Foundation board seat review and nomination
- Agency updates
- Subcommittee report out
- Council business and open discussion
- Public comment period

The final agenda and other related meeting information will be posted on