

The 60-day Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 2, 2024 (2024–17058 (89 FR 63224)). The agency received and responded to one comment in response to the Notice.

Agency: Institute of Museum and Library Services.

Title: Public Libraries Survey, FY 2024–FY 2026.

OMB Number: 3137–0074.

Agency Number: 3137.

Affected Public: State and local governments, State library administrative agencies, and public libraries.

Number of Respondents: 56.

Frequency: Annually.

Burden Hours per Respondent: 138.3.

Total Burden Hours: 7,744.8.

Total Annual Costs: \$239,701.56.

Total Annual Federal Costs: \$877,063.96.

Dated: October 10, 2024.

Suzanne Mbollo,

Grants Management Specialist, Institute of Museum and Library Services.

[FR Doc. 2024–23864 Filed 10–15–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7036–01–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Sunshine Act Meetings

The National Science Board's (NSB) Committee on External Engagement hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a teleconference for the transaction of National Science Board business pursuant to the National Science Foundation Act and the Government in the Sunshine Act.

TIME AND DATE: Friday, October 18, 2024, from 5:00–6:00 p.m. EDT.

PLACE: This meeting will be held by teleconference through the National Science Foundation.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The agenda of the teleconference is: Chair's Opening Remarks; planning for NSF–NSB's 75th anniversary events; planning for Congressional engagement; and other business, including member ideas and questions.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Point of contact for this meeting is: Nadine Lynn, nlynn@nsf.gov, 703/292–7000. Members of the public can observe this meeting through a YouTube livestream. Meeting information including a YouTube link is available from the NSB website at <https://>

www.nsf.gov/nsb/meetings/index.jsp#up.

Ann Bushmiller,

Senior Counsel to the National Science Board.

[FR Doc. 2024–24015 Filed 10–11–24; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board

AGENCY: National Transportation Safety Board.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the appointment of members of the National Transportation Safety Board, Performance Review Board (PRB).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anh Bolles, Executive Resources Manager, Human Capital Management and Training, National Transportation Safety Board, 490 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Washington, DC 20594–0001, (202) 314–6355.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of title 5, United States Code requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more SES Performance Review Boards (PRB). The board reviews and evaluates the initial appraisal of a senior executive's performance by the supervisor and considers recommendations to the appointing authority regarding the performance of the senior executive.

The following have been designated as members of the 2024 Performance Review Board of the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB):

Ms. Veronica Marshall, Chief Human Capital Officer, Office of Human Capital Management and Training, National Transportation Safety Board, PRB Chair.

Mr. Timothy LeBaron, Director, Office of Aviation Safety, National Transportation Safety Board.

Mr. Akbar Sultan, Director, Airspace Operations and Safety Program, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Ms. Kathryn Catania, Deputy Director, Office of Safety Recommendations and Communications, National Transportation Safety Board (alternate member to review the evaluations of SES members serving on this PRB).

Candi R. Bing,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 2024–23831 Filed 10–15–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–018; NRC–2024–0175]

General Electric Hitachi; Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor Developmental Power Reactor; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) and accompanying environmental assessment (EA) regarding the NRC's consideration of a license amendment request from General Electric Hitachi (GEH or the licensee) seeking approval of a license termination plan (LTP) for the Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor (VBWR) Developmental Power Reactor (DPR)–1. If approved, the amendment would add a license condition to the license reflecting the NRC's approval of its LTP. Based on the EA, the NRC staff has concluded that there will be no significant impacts to environmental resources from the requested license amendment, and therefore, a FONSI is appropriate.

DATES: The EA and FONSI referenced in this document are available September 30, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2024–0175 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Website:* Go to <https://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2024–0175. Address questions about Docket IDs in *Regulations.gov* to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301–415–0624; email: Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, at 301–415–4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions

about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the “Availability of Documents” section.

- *NRC’s PDR*: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of publicly available documents, is open by appointment. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 or 301–415–4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jill Caverly, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: 301–415–76744; email: Jill.Caverly@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC is considering issuance of a license amendment for approval of an LTP for the VBWR DPR–1 located in Alameda County, California, as part of a part 50 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), “Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities,” Facility Operating License No. DPR–1. If granted, the license amendment would add a condition reflecting the NRC’s approval of the LTP and establishing criteria for determining when changes to the LTP require prior NRC approval. As required by 10 CFR part 51, “Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions,” the NRC prepared an EA. Based on the results of the EA, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the license amendment request and is issuing a FONSI.

General Electric (GE) was issued a license for Construction Permit Power Reactor-3 to construct and operate the VBWR on May 14, 1956, as DPR. License CX–2 to operate DPR was issued on July 29, 1957, and initial criticality was achieved on August 3, 1957. License DPR–1 was issued to GE on August 31, 1957, and full power was attained on October 19, 1957. The VBWR ceased operations on December 9, 1963, was defueled on December 24, 1963, and GE was issued a license to possess but not operate the VBWR by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, the predecessor of the NRC, on September 9, 1965. The license was renewed in 1973 and has remained effective under the provisions of 10 CFR 50.51(b). On October 22, 2007, license DPR–1 amendment 21 was issued, transitioning ownership of the VBWR from GE to GEH.

The facility has been maintained in SAFSTOR (*i.e.*, the facility is placed in a safe, stable condition and maintained in that state (safe storage) until it is subsequently decontaminated and dismantled to levels that permit license termination) condition and the VBWR has been monitored. The licensee submitted the Limited Post-Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report in 2022 that describes a change in the VBWR status from SAFSTOR to DECON (*i.e.*, where radioactive contaminants are promptly removed or decontaminated). Currently, all VBWR spent fuel has been removed from both the reactor and the site.

The licensee submitted the LTP on September 15, 2023, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(9). The NRC held a public meeting related to the LTP request in Pleasanton, California, on May 21, 2024. The meeting covered the NRC’s decommissioning process, the process for approving the LTP, and addressed public questions and comments on the LTP.

II. Environmental Assessment

Description of the Proposed Action

The proposed action is the review and subsequent approval, if appropriate, of a license amendment to approve the LTP for the VBWR. If approved, the amendment would add a license condition reflecting the NRC’s approval of the LTP and establishing criteria for determining when changes to the LTP require prior NRC approval. The proposed action is described in the LTP application dated September 15, 2023, an application supplement dated October 31, 2023, and responses to requests for additional information.

Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

The purpose of and need for the proposed action is to allow completion of decommissioning of the VBWR site, termination of the VBWR operating license by the NRC, and subsequent release of the site for unrestricted use. The NRC regulation at 10 CFR 50.82 sets forth the process for the licensee to decommission its nuclear power plant, including submission of the LTP. The NRC will approve the LTP, provided that the LTP meets the criteria in 10 CFR 50.82(a)(10).

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC staff considered the potential impacts of the proposed action on land use; visual and scenic resources/aesthetics; climatology, meteorology, and air quality; noise;

geology and soils; water resources; historic and cultural resources; ecological resources; socioeconomic; public and occupational health; transportation and traffic; environmental justice; and waste management. The final Generic Environmental Impact Statement on Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities (NUREG–0586 or Decommissioning GEIS) generically addressed many of the potential environmental impacts of decommissioning at the VBWR. During its review of the LTP, the NRC concluded that the impacts for most resource areas—onsite land use; water resources; air quality; ecology within the operational area; socioeconomic; historic and cultural resources within the operational area; aesthetics; noise; and transportation—were still bounded by the generic environmental impacts statement in the Decommissioning GEIS. Therefore, the NRC does not expect impacts associated with these issues beyond those discussed in the NUREG–0586, which concluded that the impact level for these issues was SMALL.

In the EA, the NRC staff evaluated the potential environmental impacts on the six site-specific environmental resource areas as well as the five resource areas not evaluated in the Decommissioning GEIS and did not identify any significant impacts. There are no planned activities outside of the operational area, and the licensee plans to use best management practices and approved decommissioning practices for any decommissioning activities. Therefore, the proposed action would result in no significant impacts and there would be no significant cumulative effects when added to the past, present, or reasonably foreseeable future actions at the VBWR site.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered the “no-action” alternative. Under the no-action alternative, the NRC would not approve the LTP or the license amendment request because regulatory requirements have not been met.

If the NRC was unable to approve the LTP because the regulatory requirements were not met, GEH would need to take additional actions to prepare an LTP that meets the requirements in 10 CFR 50.82(a)(10). Under that scenario, GEH would resubmit the LTP, activities at the VBWR would likely continue, and the environmental impacts would not change as a result of the additional time required for the LTP resubmission.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On August 13, 2024, the NRC staff provided a copy of the draft EA to the State of California Department of Public Health for its review and comment. The State responded in a letter dated September 12, 2024. The NRC staff updated the EA to incorporate the State's comments where appropriate.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

Based on its review of the proposed license amendment request, in

accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR part 51, the NRC staff has determined that issuing the requested amendment, if appropriate, amending GEH's DPR-1 license would not significantly affect the quality of human environment. No significant radiological or non-radiological impacts are expected from the proposed action. Therefore, the NRC staff has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.31, "Determinations based on environmental assessment," preparation

of an EIS is not required for the proposed action, and pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, "Finding of no significant impact," a FONSI is appropriate. In accordance with 10 CFR 51.32(a)(4), this FONSI incorporates the EA set forth in this notice by reference.

IV. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through ADAMS.

Document description	ADAMS accession No.
General Electric Hitachi. License Termination Plan for the Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor License DPR-1, dated September 15, 2023	ML23261A591 (Package)
General Electric Hitachi. GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Americas, LLC, Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor Environmental Report, dated August 18, 2023	ML23261A593
General Electric Hitachi. GEH Vallecitos Nuclear Center, Email: Response to Acceptance Review of the VBWR License Termination Plan, dated October 31, 2023	ML23304A300
General Electric Hitachi. GE-Hitachi Nuclear Energy Americas, LLC, Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor (VBWR) License Termination Plan, dated August 18, 2023	ML23261A594
General Electric Hitachi. Response to Request for Additional Information, dated March 25, 2024	ML24085A792
NorthStar Vallecitos, LLC. Update to License Termination Plan for the Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor—Environmental Report, Vallecitos Nuclear Center, dated August 7, 2024	ML24220A200
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Email: Request for State review of an environmental assessment for the GEH Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor, dated August 13, 2024	ML24283A171
California Department of Health response to a request for review of the GEH Vallecitos VBWR LTP draft environmental assessment, dated September 12, 2024	ML24260A220
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Environmental Assessment for the License Termination Plan for the Vallecitos Boiling Water Reactor at the General Electric Vallecitos Nuclear Center, Sunol, California, dated September 30, 2024	ML24274A192

Dated: October 10, 2024.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert Sun,

Chief, Environmental Project Management Branch 2, Division of Rulemaking, Environmental, and Financial Support, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 2024-23863 Filed 10-15-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for Review 3206-0253: Leadership Assessment Surveys

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: 60-Day notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for review of a currently approved collection, Leadership Assessment Surveys. OPM is requesting approval of the OPM Leadership 360™, Leadership Potential Assessment, the OPM Personality Assessment for Leaders, the Leadership for Engagement Survey, the Leadership for Inclusion Survey, and the DEIA

Pulse Survey as a part of this collection. Approval of these surveys is necessary to collect information on Federal agency performance and leadership effectiveness.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until December 16, 2024. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1).

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and/or Regulatory Information Number and title, by the following method:

Federal Rulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or RIN for this document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Human Resources Strategy and Evaluation

Solutions, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, Rm. 2469 NW, Washington, DC 20415, Attention: Coty Hoover, C/O Henry Thibodeaux, via phone at 202-606-8001, or via email to Organizational_Assessment@opm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2), OPM is soliciting comments for this collection. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or