

tires, of rubber, that are not new, including recycled and retreaded tires; (2) non-pneumatic tires, such as solid rubber tires; and (3) tires that exhibit each of the following physical characteristics: (a) the designation "MH" is molded into the tire's sidewall as part of the size designation; (b) the tire incorporates a warning, prominently molded on the sidewall, that the tire is for "Mobile Home Use Only;" and (c) the tire is of bias construction (also known as non-radial construction) as evidenced by the fact that the construction code included in the size designation molded into the tire's sidewall is not the letter "R."

The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 4011.20.1015 and 4011.20.5020. Tires meeting the scope description may also enter under the following HTSUS subheadings: 4011.90.1010, 4011.90.1050, 4011.90.2010, 4011.90.2050, 4011.90.8010, 4011.90.8050, 8708.70.4530, 8708.70.4546, 8708.70.4548, 8708.70.4560, 8708.70.6030, 8708.70.6045, 8708.70.6060, and 8716.90.5059.

While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the subject merchandise is dispositive.

Appendix II

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part
- IV. Changes from the *Preliminary Determination*
- V. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inferences
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Treatment of Certain of Bridgestone's Sales Information and Sales Expenses Based on Commerce's Findings at Verification and Whether Commerce Should Apply Adverse Facts Available (AFA) to Bridgestone
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Adjust Bridgestone's Normal Value (NV) to Include Home Market (HM) Rebates
 - Comment 3: Whether Commerce Should Apply Total AFA to Prinx
 - Comment 4: Whether Commerce Should Apply Partial AFA to Certain of Prinx's Sales Expenses
 - Comment 5: Whether Commerce Should Use Bridgestone's Constructed Export Price (CEP) Profit to Calculate Prinx's CEP Profit Rate
 - Comment 6: Whether Commerce Should Rely on Bridgestone's Reported Data for Use in Prinx's Constructed Value (CV) Profit and Indirect Selling Expenses
 - Comment 7: Whether Prinx's U.S. Warranty Expenses, WARRU, Should Be Applied to Prinx's CEP Sales
 - Comment 8: Whether Commerce Should Use the Cohen's *d* Test
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024-23917 Filed 10-16-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-126]

Non-Refillable Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022-2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on non-refillable steel cylinders (non-refillable cylinders) from the People's Republic of China (China). We determine that Wuyi Xilinde Machinery Manufacture Co., Ltd. (Wuyi Xilinde) made sales at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) May 1, 2022, through April 30, 2023. Additionally, Commerce is rescinding this administrative review with respect to Ningbo Eagle Machinery & Technology Co., Ltd. (Ningbo Eagle).

DATES: Applicable October 17, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alex Cipolla, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4956.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 6, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review in the *Federal Register* and invited interested parties to comment on those results.¹ On July 8, 2024, we received a case brief from Wuyi Xilinde.² No other party to this proceeding submitted a case or rebuttal brief. On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.³ The deadline for these final results is now October 11, 2024. For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision

¹ See *Non-Refillable Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results, Partial Rescission, and Intent To Rescind, in Part, of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022-2023*, 89 FR 48370 (June 6, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Wuyi Xilinde's Case Brief, "Wuyi Xilinde's Case Brief," dated July 8, 2024.

³ See Memorandum, "Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings," dated July 22, 2024.

Memorandum.⁴ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁵

The products covered by this *Order* are non-refillable steel cylinders from China. For a complete description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issue raised by Wuyi Xilinde in its case brief is listed in the appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review and analysis of the comment received from Wuyi Xilinde, we made one change to the *Preliminary Results* margin calculation for the respondent.⁶

Rescission of Review, in Part

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce rescinded the review, in part, with respect to two firms identified in the *Initiation Notice*:⁷ Sanjiang Kai Yuan Co. Ltd. (SKY), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), and Zhejiang Kin-Shine Technology Co., Ltd. (Kin-Shine), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). For a third firm identified in the *Initiation Notice*, Ningbo Eagle, the *Preliminary Results* notified all interested parties of Commerce's preliminary intent to rescind this administrative review for this firm due

⁴ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of Non-Refillable Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China; 2022-2023," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See *Certain Non-Refillable Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 86 FR 25839 (May 11, 2021) (*Order*).

⁶ See Memorandum, "Analysis for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of Non-Refillable Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China," dated concurrently with this notice.

⁷ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 88 FR 44262 (July 12, 2023).

to the absence of evidence of suspended entries of subject merchandise from Ningbo Eagle during the POR and identified a period for parties to submit comment. No parties provided comment on Commerce’s intent to rescind review on Ningbo Eagle. Accordingly, Commerce is rescinding this review with respect to Ningbo Eagle in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). As a result, Wuyi Xilinde is the sole party initiated upon which remains subject to the final results of review.

China-Wide Entity

Under Commerce’s policy regarding the conditional review of the China-wide entity,⁸ the China-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or Commerce self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the China-wide entity in this review, the entity is not under review, and the entity’s rate (*i.e.*, 112.21 percent) is not subject to change.⁹

Final Results of the Review

Commerce determines the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period May 1, 2022, through April 30, 2023:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Wuyi Xilinde Machinery Manufacturing Co., Ltd	150.37

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose to parties to the proceeding the calculations performed for these preliminary results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with these final results of review. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these final results. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S.

⁸ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).
⁹ See *Order*, 86 FR 25840.

Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

For Wuyi Xilinde, which has a final weighted-average dumping margin that is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), we will calculate importer-specific assessment rates for that respondent, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), where the respondent reported the entered value of its U.S. sales, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where the respondent did not report entered value, we will calculate importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether an importer-specific per-unit assessment rate is *de minimis* in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will also calculate an importer-specific *ad valorem* ratio based on estimated entered values.

Pursuant to a refinement in our non-market economy practice, for sales that were not reported in the U.S. sales data submitted by companies individually examined during this review, we will instruction CBP to liquidate entries associated with those sales at the rate for the China-wide entity.

For Ningbo Eagle, for which the administrative review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from China entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for Wuyi Xilinde, which has a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in these final results of review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash

deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the China-wide entity (*i.e.*, 101.67 percent¹⁰); and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Chinese exporter that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

¹⁰ This cash deposit rate is adjusted for subsidy offsets. See *Order*, 86 FR 25840.

Dated: October 10, 2024.

Scot Fullerton,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- V. Discussion of the Issue

Comment: Export Subsidy Adjustment

VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024–23987 Filed 10–16–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–869]

Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) March 1, 2022, through February 28, 2023.

DATES: Applicable October 17, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lilit Astvatsatrian, AD/CVD Operations, Office IX, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6412.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 5, 2024, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* and invited comments from interested parties.¹ On May 16, 2024, Titan Tire Corporation (the petitioner), ATC Tires Private Limited/ATC AP Tires Private Limited (collectively, ATC), Asian Tire Factory Ltd./Lyallpur Rubber Mills (collectively, ATF), and Balkrishna Industries Ltd. submitted timely-filed case briefs. On May 23, 2024, the petitioner, ATC, and ATF submitted timely-filed rebuttal briefs. On July 3,

¹ See *Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 23973 (April 5, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

2024, Commerce extended the deadline for the final results until October 2, 2024.² On July 22, 2024, Commerce tolled certain deadlines in this administrative proceeding by seven days.³ The deadline for the final results is now October 9, 2024. For a complete description of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁵

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires from India. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are listed in the appendix to this notice and addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the margin calculations for ATC and ATF.⁶

Rate for Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

The Act and Commerce’s regulations do not address the rate to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its

² See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Final Results of 2022–2023 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated July 3, 2024.

³ See Memorandum, “Tolling of Deadlines for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings,” dated July 22, 2024.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2022–2023 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from India,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See *Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from India: Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 12553 (March 6, 2017) (*Order*).

⁶ For a full description of these changes, see Issues and Decision Memorandum.

examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in an investigation, for guidance for calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely on the basis of facts available.

In this review, we calculated weighted-average dumping margins of 2.62 percent and 2.76 percent for ATC and ATF, respectively, and we have assigned to the non-selected companies a rate of 2.63 percent, which is the weighted average of ATC and ATF’s margins, weighted by their publicly ranged U.S. sales values.⁷

Final Results of Review

For these final results, we determine the following estimated weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period March 1, 2022, through February 28, 2023:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
ATC Tires Private Limited; ATC Tires AP Private Limited	2.62
Asian Tire Factory Ltd.; Lyallpur Rubber Mills	2.76
Companies Not Selected for Individual Review ⁸	2.63

⁷ With two respondents under examination, Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the examined respondents using each company’s publicly ranged U.S. sale quantities for the merchandise under consideration. Commerce then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other producers and exporters. See, e.g., *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010). See also Memorandum, “Calculation of the Non-Selected Company Rate for the Final Results,” dated concurrently with this notice.

⁸ The exporters or producers not selected for individual review are listed in Appendix II.