

executive summaries as the basis of the comment summaries included in the issues and decision memorandum that will accompany the final determination in this investigation. We request that interested parties include footnotes for relevant citations in the public executive summary of each issue. Note that Commerce has amended certain of its requirements pertaining to the service of documents in 19 CFR 351.303(f).¹¹

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants and whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Postponement of Final Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures

Section 735(a)(2) of the Act provides that a final determination may be postponed until not later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise, or in the event of a negative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by the petitioner. Section 351.210(e)(2) of Commerce's regulations requires that a request by exporters for postponement of the final determination be accompanied by a request for extension of provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not more than six months in duration.

On October 15, 2024, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.210(e), UPC MY requested that Commerce postpone the final determination and that provisional measures be extended to a period not to exceed six months.¹² In accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19

CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because: (1) the preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, Commerce is postponing the final determination and extending the provisional measures from a four-month period to a period not greater than six months. Accordingly, Commerce will make its final determination no later than 135 days after the date of publication of this preliminary determination.

U.S. International Trade Commission Notification (ITC)

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, Commerce will notify the ITC of its preliminary determination of sales at LTFV. If the final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the final determination whether these imports of DOTP from Malaysia are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry.

Notification to Interested Parties

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: October 29, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is dioctyl terephthalate (DOTP), regardless of form. DOTP that has been blended with other products is included within this scope when such blends include constituent parts that have not been chemically reacted with each other to produce a different product. For such blends, only the DOTP component of the mixture is covered by the scope of the investigations.

DOTP that is otherwise subject to this investigation is not excluded when commingled with DOTP from sources not subject to these investigations. Commingled refers to the mixing of subject and non-subject DOTP. Only the subject component of such commingled products is covered by the scope of these investigations.

DOTP has the general chemical formulation of C₆H₄ (C₈H₁₇COO)₂ and a chemical name of "bis (2-ethylhexyl) terephthalate" and has a Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) registry number of 6422–86–2. Regardless of the label, all DOTP is covered by this investigation.

Subject merchandise is currently classified under subheading 2917.39.2000 of the

Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Subject merchandise may also enter under subheadings 2917.39.7000 or 3812.20.1000 of the HTSUS. While the CAS registry number and HTSUS classifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Period of Investigation
- IV. Discussion of the Methodology
- V. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2024–25640 Filed 11–4–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–889]

Certain Quartz Surface Products From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that certain producers/exporters subject to this administrative review did not make sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV) during the June 1, 2022, through May 31, 2023, period of review (POR).

DATES: Applicable November 5, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita or Anjali Mehindiratta, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243 or (202) 482–9127, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 9, 2024, Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2022–2023 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain quartz surface products (quartz surface products) from India¹ in the **Federal Register** and invited interested parties

¹ See *Certain Quartz Surface Products from India and Turkey: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 85 FR 37422 (June 22, 2020) (*Order*).

¹¹ See *APO and Service Final Rule*.

¹² See UPC MY's Letter, "UPC Chemicals (Malaysia) SDN Bhd.'s Request to Postpone Final Antidumping Duty Determination," dated October 15, 2024.

to comment.² We received no comments from interested parties on the *Preliminary Results*, and we have made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*. Accordingly, no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. The *Preliminary Results* are hereby adopted in these final results. Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this *Order* are quartz surface products. For a full description of the scope of the *Order*, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Non-Selected Companies

The Act and Commerce’s regulations do not directly address the

establishment of a rate to be applied to individual companies not selected for examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual review in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this administrative review, we calculated dumping margins of zero percent for both mandatory respondents: Marudhar Rocks International Pvt. Ltd./Marudhar Quartz Surface Private Limited (Marudhar)³ and Pokarna Engineered Stone Limited (PESL). Thus, in accordance with the expected method, and consistent with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit’s decision in *Albemarle*,⁴ we assign to the 44 companies, which were not selected for individual review in this administrative review, a zero percent rate based on the rates calculated for the two mandatory respondents.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period June 1, 2022, through May 31, 2023:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Pokarna Engineered Stone Limited	0.00
Marudhar Rocks International Pvt. Ltd./Marudhar Quartz Surface Private Limited	0.00
Non-Individually Examined Companies ⁵	0.00

Disclosure

Normally, Commerce discloses to interested parties the calculations of the final results of an administrative review within five days of a public announcement or, if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of publication of the notice of final results in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). However, because we have made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*, there are no calculations to disclose.

Assessment Rates

Consistent with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), upon completion of the administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise covered by this review. Because the respondents’ weighted-average dumping margins or importer-specific assessment rates are zero in the

final results of review, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁶ The final results of this administrative review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁷

In accordance with Commerce’s “automatic assessment” practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which Marudhar and PESL did not know that their merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate established in the original less-than-fair value (LTFV) investigation (*i.e.*, 1.02 percent) if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these final results of this

review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of quartz surface products from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the companies listed above (including the non-selected companies listed in the appendix) will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review

² See *Certain Quartz Surface Products from India: Preliminary Results and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2022–2023*, 89 FR 56292 (July 9, 2024) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

³ Commerce previously collapsed Marudhar Rocks International Pvt. Ltd. and Marudhar Quartz Surface Private Limited (collectively, Marudhar) and treated the firms as a single entity. See *Certain*

Quartz Surface Products from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2021–2022, 88 FR 80689 (November 20, 2023).

⁴ See *Albemarle Corp. v. United States*, 821 F.3d 1345, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (*Albemarle*) (holding that Commerce may only use “other reasonable methods” if it reasonably concludes that the expected method is “not feasible” or “would not be

reasonably reflective of potential dumping margins”).

⁵ See Appendix.

⁶ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8102–03 (February 14, 2012); see also 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

⁷ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

(i.e., 0.00 percent); (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or another completed segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent completed segment for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 1.02 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.⁸ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties, and/or an increase in the amount of antidumping duties by the amount of the countervailing duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing the final results of this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: October 29, 2024.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Non-Individually Examined Companies Receiving a Review-Specific Rate

1. 3HQ Surfaces Pvt. Ltd.
2. Antique Granito Shareholders Trust
3. Antique Marbonite Pvt Ltd; Prism Johnson Limited; Shivam Enterprises
4. Argil Ceramics
5. ARO Granite Industries Ltd.
6. ASI Industries Limited
7. Asian Granito India Ltd.
8. Baba Super Minerals Pvt Ltd.
9. Camrola Quartz Limited
10. Classic Marble Co Pvt Ltd.
11. Cuarzo
12. Divine Surfaces Private Limited
13. Divya Shakti Granites Ltd.
14. Divya Shakti Ltd.
15. Esprit Stones Pvt Ltd.
16. Evetis Stone Pvt Ltd.
17. Global Stones Pvt. Ltd.
18. Global Surfaces Ltd.
19. Glowstone Industries Pvt Ltd.
20. Hi Elite Quartz LLP
21. Imperiaal Granimarmo Pvt Ltd.
22. Indus Trade and Technology LLC
23. Internaational Stones India Pvt. Ltd.
24. Keros Stone LLP
25. Mahi Granites Pvt Ltd.
26. Malbros Marbles and Granites Industries
27. Mountmine Impex Pvt Ltd.
28. Pacific Industries Ltd.
29. Pacific Quartz Surfaces LLP
30. Paradigm Stone India Pvt Ltd.
31. Pelican Buildmat Pvt Ltd.
32. Pelican Quartz Stone
33. QuartzKraft LLP
34. Renshou Industries
35. Rocks Forever
36. Safayar Ceramics Pvt Ltd.
37. Satya Exports
38. Shanmukha Exports
39. Southern Rocks and Minerals Pvt Ltd.
40. Sunex Stones Pvt Ltd.
41. Tab India Granites Pvt. Ltd.
42. Universal Marketing Agencies Private Limited
43. Universal Quartz & Natural Stones Pvt Ltd.
44. Venkata Sri Balaji Quartz Surfaces

[FR Doc. 2024-25611 Filed 11-4-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-007, C-533-909]

Barium Chloride From the People's Republic of China and India: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews and Revocation of the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is revoking the antidumping duty (AD) order on barium chloride from the People's Republic of China (China) and the countervailing duty (CVD) order on barium chloride from India.

DATES: Applicable November 5, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan Goins, AD/CVD Operations, Office V (China), and Harrison Tanchuck, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI (India), Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0884 and (202) 482-7421.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 17, 1984, Commerce issued the AD order on barium chloride from China, and on March 7, 2023, Commerce issued the CVD order on barium chloride from India.¹ On September 18, 2024, Commerce published the initiation and preliminary results of the changed circumstances reviews (CCRs) and revocation of the *Orders* pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216(b) and 19 CFR 351.222.² We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Honeywell International Inc (Honeywell) submitted comments agreeing with the *Preliminary Results* and stating that Commerce's general practice in these cases is to liquidate without regard to antidumping and/or countervailing duties, and to refund any

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order; Barium Chloride from the People's Republic of China*, 49 FR 40635 (October 17, 1984) (*AD Order*); and *Barium Chloride from India: Countervailing Duty Order*, 88 FR 14120 (March 7, 2023) (*CVD Order*) (collectively, *Orders*).

² See *Barium Chloride from the People's Republic of China and India: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent to Revoke the Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 89 FR 76448 (September 18, 2024) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results*).

⁸ See *Order*.