

and energy-related aspects of the proposal. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the proposal, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. To ensure the docket does not contain duplicate comments, commenters should submit only one time if comments are filed electronically, or commenters should send only one copy of written comments if comments are filed in writing.

The FAA will file in the docket all comments it receives, as well as a report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed rulemaking. Before acting on this proposal, the FAA will consider all comments it receives on or before the closing date for comments. The FAA will consider comments filed after the comment period has closed if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. The FAA may change this proposal in light of the comments it receives.

Privacy: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(c), DOT solicits comments from the public to better inform its rulemaking process. DOT posts these comments, without edits, including any personal information the commenter provides, to www.regulations.gov, as described in the system of records notice (DOT/ALL-14 FDMS), which can be reviewed at www.dot.gov/privacy.

Availability of Rulemaking Documents

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the internet at www.regulations.gov. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's web page at www.faa.gov/air-traffic/publications/air-space-amendments/.

You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Operations office (see **ADDRESSES** section for address, phone number, and hours of operations). An informal docket may also be examined during regular business hours at the office of the Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 210, 1701 Columbia Ave., College Park, GA, 30337.

Incorporation by Reference

Class E airspace designations are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order JO 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 on an annual basis. This document proposes to amend the

current version of that order, FAA Order JO 7400.11J, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated July 31, 2024, and effective September 15, 2024. These updates will be published in the next update to FAA Order JO 7400.11. FAA Order JO 7400.11J is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. FAA Order JO 7400.11J lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

The Proposal

The FAA proposes an amendment to 14 CFR part 71 to amend Class E airspace by adding airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of the CarolinaEast Medical Center Heliport, New Bern, NC. This action also proposes to correct the state abbreviation in the title from AL (Alabama) to NC (North Carolina). Controlled airspace is necessary for the safety and management of instrument flight rules (IFR) operations in the area.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures," prior to any final regulatory action by the FAA.

Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration

proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

- 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

- 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order JO 7400.11J, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated July 31, 2024, and effective September 15, 2024, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

ASO NC E5 New Bern, NC

Coastal Carolina Regional Airport, NC
(Lat. 35°04'22" N, long. 77°02'35" W)
CarolinaEast Medical Center Heliport, NC
(Lat. 35°06'55" N, long. 77°03'51" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of Coastal Carolina Regional Airport and a 6-mile radius of CarolinaEast Medical Center Heliport.

* * * * *

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on October 31, 2024

Patrick Young,

Manager, Airspace & Procedures Team North, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.

[FR Doc. 2024–25695 Filed 11–5–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG–2023–0601]

RIN 1625–AA09

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway; West Palm Beach, FL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to modify the operating schedule that governs the Flagler Memorial (SR A1A) Bridge, mile 1021.8, the Royal Park (SR 704) Bridge, mile 1022.6, and the

Southern Boulevard (SR 700/80) Bridge, mile 1024.7, across Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AICW), at West Palm Beach, FL. Palm Beach County and the communities surrounding the bridges have requested the Coast Guard consider placing additional weekday restrictions during peak traffic hours to assist with alleviating vehicle congestion. This proposed modification will allow the drawbridges to operate in concert and determine whether a permanent change to the schedules is needed. We invite your comments on this proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before December 6, 2024.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG–2023–0601 using Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below for instructions on submitting comments. This notice of proposed rulemaking with its plain-language, 100-word-or-less proposed rule summary will be available in this same docket.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this supplemental proposed rule, call or email Ms. Jennifer Zercher, Bridge Management Specialist, Seventh Coast Guard District; telephone (571) 607–5951, email Jennifer.N.Zercher@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
FR	Federal Register
NPRM	Notice of proposed rulemaking
SNPRM	Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
ANPRM	Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
Pub. L.	Public Law
§	Section
U.S.C.	United States Code
TD	Temporary Deviation
AICW	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation

II. Background, Purpose and Legal Basis

On July 31, 2023, the Coast Guard published a temporary deviation entitled “Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, West Palm Beach, FL” in the **Federal Register** (88 FR 49287). The comment period ended September 29, 2023, with 529 comments received. Those comments were addressed in the NPRM.

On May 20, 2024, we published a NPRM entitled “Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, West Palm Beach, FL” in the **Federal Register** (89 FR 43797). The comment period ended June 20, 2024, with 1,153 comments received. Those comments will be addressed in section III.

The Flagler Memorial (SR A1A) Bridge, across the AICW, mile 1021.8, at West Palm Beach, FL is a double-leaf bascule bridge with a 24-foot vertical clearance at mean high water in the closed position. The normal operating schedule is set forth in 33 CFR 117.261(u). The Royal Park (SR 704) Bridge, across the AICW, mile 1022.6, at West Palm Beach, FL is a double-leaf bascule bridge with a 21-foot vertical clearance at mean high water in the closed position. The normal operating schedule is set forth in 33 CFR 117.261(v). The Southern Boulevard (SR 700/80) Bridge, across the AICW, mile 1024.7, at West Palm Beach, FL is a double-leaf bascule bridge with a 25-foot vertical clearance at mean high water in the closed position. The normal operating schedule is set forth in 33 CFR 117.261(w).

Palm Beach County and the communities surrounding the bridges have requested the Coast Guard consider placing additional weekday restrictions during peak traffic hours to assist with alleviating vehicle congestion. Vehicle traffic across these bridges is exceeding the roadway capacity during certain times of the day. The Coast Guard is proposing to limit drawbridge openings during high vehicle traffic times to assist with vehicle congestion while requesting state and local agencies consider alternate mitigation measures to improve traffic flow and roadway congestion. The drawbridges will open twice an hour at all other times or as outlined in each drawbridge operating regulation.

III. Discussion of Comments and Change

Many of the comments received from the NPRM were similar to comments received during the temporary deviation. A total of 811 comments supported the proposed changes and included generic comments, such as “I support” or “I support this initiative.” The second highest group included 150 commentors who proposed alternate operating schedules. Those proposed alternate schedules included not opening during rush hour, only open once an hour always and changing the morning and afternoon rush hour times to various other intervals. The

designated times included in the rule proposal to allow the drawbridge to open on the hour during rush hour was previously determined by local authorities. The Coast Guard feels that a modification to those time periods is not necessary because the blocks of time presented have been determined to be the best use of hourly openings. To allow the drawbridge to remain closed to navigation during the designated times or to only open once an hour always would result in an unreasonable obstruction to navigation on this waterway. The AICW is a Federal Project Waterway extending over 1,500 miles from Boston to Florida Bay. It is the main waterway artery for all recreational and commercial traffic that are unable to safely transit offshore. The Coast Guard has the responsibility to ensure reasonable access to this waterway is maintained. Allowing the bridges to remain closed to navigation or open only once an hour always would be contrary to our responsibility. We received 137 comments that were either outside the scope of the NPRM and/or did not provide an opinion on the proposed rule. Instead, the comments discussed adjacent drawbridges and school zones in the area. A total of 23 comments were against the proposed changes. Most felt that no change was needed as marine traffic was already placed on scheduled openings. The Coast Guard recognizes that vehicle traffic in the area appears to exceed roadway capacity, causing vehicle congestion. Placing additional weekday restrictions during peak traffic hours should assist with alleviating vehicle congestion. Other commentors stated that further limiting openings for marine traffic would cause longer delays when the bridge opened. Their concern was that more vessels would be waiting which in turn would increase the overall opening time. This action can be an unintended consequence of placing drawbridges on scheduled openings. The last concern was the proposed change would have an impact on charter and catamaran businesses in the area. The Coast Guard feels that the limited time the bridge will open once an hour will meet the reasonable needs of navigation. Lastly, 32 comments were duplicates and not considered actionable.

It was discovered the Royal Park (SR 704) Bridge proposed schedule change conflicted with the operating schedule when the Mar-a-Lago Security Zone was being enforced. An explanation of the change is provided in the below section.

IV. Discussion of Proposed Rule

In the NPRM we proposed to modify the drawbridge operating schedules for the three bridges to allow once an hour openings Monday through Friday, from 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m. and from 4 p.m. to 6 p.m. However, the proposed change for the Royal Park (SR 704) Bridge shifted the hourly opening from the half hour to the three-quarter hour. This shift did not take into consideration the alternate operating schedule authorized for the bridge when the Mar-a-Lago Security Zone is being enforced. We are proposing the Royal Park (SR 704) Bridge be placed back on the half hour opening schedule with no changes to the proposed operating schedules for the Flagler Memorial (SR A1A) and Southern Boulevard (SR 80) Bridges. Additionally, the operating schedules for Flagler Memorial (SR A1A) and Royal Park (SR 704) Bridges during the enforcement of the Mar-a-Lago Security Zone has been updated to show the proposed rule change.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive Orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes and Executive Orders.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This proposed rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094 (Modernizing Regulatory Review). Accordingly, the NPRM has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the ability that vessels can still transit the bridge during the designated times and vessels able to pass without an opening may do so at any time.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the bridge may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Government

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132 (Federalism), if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the

Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this proposed rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this proposed rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning Policy COMDTINST 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f). The Coast Guard has that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule promulgates the operating regulations or procedures for drawbridges. Normally such actions are categorically excluded from further review, under paragraph L49, of Chapter 3, Table 3–1 of the U.S. Coast Guard Environmental Planning Implementation Procedures.

Neither a Record of Environmental Consideration nor a Memorandum for the Record are required for this rule. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this proposed rule.

VI. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

Submitting comments. We encourage you to submit comments through the

Federal Decision Making Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov>. To do so, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2023–0601 in the search box and click “Search.” Next, look for this document in the Search Results column, and click on it. Then click on the Comment option. If your material cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

Viewing material in docket. To view documents mentioned in this proposed rule as being available in the docket, find the docket as described in the previous paragraph, and then select “Supporting & Related Material” in the Document Type column. Public comments will also be placed in our online docket and can be viewed by following instructions on the <https://www.regulations.gov> Frequently Asked Questions web page. Also, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published of any posting or updates to the docket.

We review all comments received, but we will only post comments that address the topic of the proposed rule. We may choose not to post off-topic, inappropriate, or duplicate comments that we receive.

We accept anonymous comments. Comments we post to <https://www.regulations.gov> will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and submissions in response to this document, see DHS’s eRulemaking System of Records notice (85 FR 14226, March 11, 2020).

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05–1; DHS Delegation No. 0170.1. Revision No. 01.3.

■ 2. Amend § 117.261 by revising paragraph (u), (v), and (w)(1) to read as follows:

§ 117.261 Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from St. Marys River to Key Largo.

* * * * *

(u) *Flagler Memorial (SR A1A) Bridge, mile 1021.8, at West Palm Beach.* (1)

The draw will open on the quarter and three-quarter hour, except Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays) from 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m. and from 4 p.m. to 6 p.m., the draw need only open on the quarter hour.

(2) When the security zone is enforced, the draw shall operate as follows:

(i) Monday through Friday (except on Federal holidays).

(A) 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m., the draw need only open on the quarter hour.

(B) 9 a.m. to 2:15 p.m., the draw need only open on the quarter and three-quarter hour.

(C) 2:15 p.m. to 6 p.m., the draw need only open on the quarter hour.

(D) 6 p.m. to 7:30 a.m., the draw need only open on the quarter and three-quarter hour.

(ii) Saturday, Sunday, and Federal holidays the draw shall open on the quarter and three-quarter hour.

(v) *Royal Park (SR 704) Bridge, mile 1022.6, at West Palm Beach.* (1) The draw will open on the hour and half hour, except Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays) from 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m. and from 4 p.m. to 6 p.m., the draw need only open on the half hour.

(2) When the security zone is enforced, the draw shall operate as follows:

(i) Monday through Friday (except on Federal holidays).

(A) 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m., the draw need only open on the half-hour.

(B) 9 a.m. to 2:15 p.m., the draw need only open on the hour and half-hour.

(C) 2:15 p.m. to 6 p.m., the draw need only open on the half-hour.

(D) 6 p.m. to 7:30 a.m., the draw need only open on the hour and half-hour.

(ii) Saturday, Sunday, and Federal holidays the draw shall open on the hour and half-hour.

(w) *Southern Boulevard (SR 80) Bridge, mile 1024.7, at West Palm Beach.* (1) The draw will open on the quarter and three-quarter hour, except Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays) from 7:30 a.m. to 9 a.m. and from 4 p.m. to 6 p.m., the draw need only open on the quarter hour.

* * * * *

Dated: October 30, 2024.

Douglas M. Schofield,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, Coast Guard Seventh District.

[FR Doc. 2024–25660 Filed 11–5–24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Part 570

[GSAR Case 2021–G530; Docket No. GSA–GSAR 2024–0019; Sequence No. 1]

RIN 3090–AK51

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); Construction Labor Requirements for Lease Acquisitions

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).
ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration is proposing to amend the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation to add a new section that adopts the amended definition of the term “public building or public work” from the Department of Labor’s Updating the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts Regulations final rule and to include compliance with the minimum wage and sick leave Executive Orders, and other requirements for leasehold acquisitions when there is a qualifying construction event as defined in this rule.

DATES: Interested parties should submit written comments to the Regulatory Secretariat Division at the address shown below on or before January 6, 2025 to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in response to GSAR Case 2021–G530 to: [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov): <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for “GSAR Case 2021–G530”. Select the link “Comment Now” that corresponds with GSAR Case 2021–G530. Follow the instructions provided at the “Comment Now” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “GSAR Case 2021–G530” on your attached document. If your comment cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, call or email the points of contact in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite GSAR Case 2021–G530 in all correspondence related to this case. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check <https://www.regulations.gov> approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting.