

purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.³

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 14, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-01138 Filed 1-16-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-919 (Fourth Review)]

Certain Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe From Japan; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Full Five-Year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain welded large diameter line pipe from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date.

DATES: December 9, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nitin Joshi (202-708-1669), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436.

Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For further

³ Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 9, 2024, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (89 FR 71417, September 3, 2024) were adequate, and determined to conduct a full review of the order on imports from Japan. A record of the Commissioners' votes will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 14, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-01146 Filed 1-16-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules; Hearing of the Judicial Conference

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules; notice of cancellation of open hearing.

SUMMARY: The following public hearing on proposed amendments to the Federal Rules of Evidence has been canceled: Evidence Rules Hearing on February 12, 2025.

DATES: February 12, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: H. Thomas Byron III, Esq., Chief Counsel, Rules Committee Staff, Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle NE, Suite 7-300, Washington, DC 20544, Phone (202) 502-1820, RulesCommittee_Secretary@ao.uscourts.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The announcement for this hearing was previously published in the **Federal**

Register on July 31, 2024 at 89 FR 61498.

(Authority: 28 U.S.C. 2073.)

Dated: January 13, 2025.

Shelly L. Cox,

Management Analyst, Rules Committee Staff.

[FR Doc. 2025-01059 Filed 1-16-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Laura M. Bellew, N.P.; Decision and Order

On December 7, 2023, the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA or Government) issued an Order to Show Cause (OSC) to Laura M. Bellew, N.P. of Albuquerque, New Mexico (Registrant). Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA), Exhibit (RFAAX) 1, at 1, 3. The OSC proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration No. MB1955108, alleging that Registrant's registration should be revoked because Registrant is "currently without authority to handle controlled substances in New Mexico, the state in which [she is] registered with DEA." *Id.* at 1-2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3)).¹

The OSC notified Registrant of her right to file a written request for hearing, and that if she failed to file such a request, she would be deemed to have waived her right to a hearing and be in default. *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). Here, Registrant did not request a hearing. RFAA, at 3.² "A default, unless

¹ According to Agency records, Registrant's registration expired on July 31, 2024. The fact that a registrant allows her registration to expire during the pendency of an OSC does not impact the Agency's jurisdiction or prerogative under the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) to adjudicate the OSC to finality. *Jeffrey D. Olsen, M.D.*, 84 FR 68474, 68476 through 68479 (2019).

² Based on the Government's submissions in its RFAA dated March 1, 2024, the Agency finds that service of the OSC on Registrant was adequate. Specifically, the Declaration for a DEA Diversion Investigator (DI) indicates that on December 11, 2023, the DI served the OSC via email to an email address associated with Registrant, and the DI's email was successfully delivered. RFAAX 2, at 2, Attachment 2; *Mohammed S. Aljanaby, M.D.*, 82 FR 34552, 34553 (2017) (finding that service by email satisfies due process where the email is not returned as undeliverable and other methods have been unsuccessful). The DI made several other attempts to serve Registrant with the OSC, but they were unsuccessful. On December 11 and 12, 2023, the DI left voicemails at a business associated with Registrant, InnovAge, but did not receive any response. RFAAX 2, at 2. Further on December 12, 2023, the DI mailed two copies of the OSC to Registrant's registered address. *Id.* at 2, Attachments 3-5. On the same date, the DI visited two additional addresses associated with Registrant, but when the DI arrived at each address, no person answered. *Id.* at 2-3. The DI left a business card at each address, but received no response. *Id.* Also on December 12,