

currently designated: (1) Aliens who did not arrive by sea, who are apprehended anywhere in the United States more than 100 air miles from a U.S. international land border, and who have been continuously present in the United States for less than two years; and (2) aliens who did not arrive by sea, who are apprehended within 100 air miles from a U.S. international land border, and who have been continuously present in the United States for at least 14 days but for less than two years. Each alien placed in expedited removal under this designation bears the affirmative burden to show to the satisfaction of an immigration officer that the alien has been present in the United States continuously for the relevant period. This designation does not apply to aliens who arrive at U.S. ports of entry, because those aliens are already subject to expedited removal. Nor does this designation apply to or otherwise affect aliens who satisfy the expedited removal criteria set forth in any of the previous designations. See 82 FR 4902, 69 FR 48877; 67 FR 68923.

(C) With the exception of the March 21, 2022 Notice rescinded above, this Notice does not supersede, abrogate, or amend or modify any of the Pre-2019 Designations,⁴ which shall remain in full force and effect in accordance with their respective terms.

Signed at Washington, DC.

Benjamin C. Huffman,

Acting Secretary of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2025-01720 Filed 1-21-25; 4:45 pm]

BILLING CODE 9110-9M-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-606 and 731-TA-1416 (Review)]

Quartz Surface Products From China

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the countervailing duty and antidumping duty orders on quartz surface products from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United

States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 3, 2024 (89 FR 47614) and determined on September 6, 2024 that it would conduct expedited reviews (89 FR 97653, December 9, 2024).

The Commission made these determinations pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determinations in these reviews on January 17, 2025. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 5578 (January 2025), entitled *Quartz Surface Products from China: Investigation Nos. 701-TA-606 and 731-TA-1416 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 17, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-01632 Filed 1-23-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1433]

Certain Glass Substrates for Liquid Crystal Displays, Products Containing the Same, and Methods for Manufacturing the Same; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 18, 2024, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Corning Incorporated, Corning, New York. A supplement to the Complaint was filed on January 7, 2025. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,851,394 (“the ‘394 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 8,627,684 (“the ‘684 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 9,512,025 (“the ‘025 patent”). The complainant, as supplemented, also alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation and sale of certain glass substrates for liquid crystal displays, products

containing the same, and methods for manufacturing the same by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure a domestic industry. The complaint, as supplemented, further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2024).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 17, 2025, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine:

(a) whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1, 5, 6, and 8-10 of ‘394 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, 7, and 10-12 of the ‘684 patent; and claims 15-20 of the ‘025 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

⁴ See, e.g., 82 FR 4902 (Jan. 17, 2017); 69 FR 48877 (Aug. 11, 2004); 67 FR 68924 (Nov. 13, 2002).

¹ The record is defined in § 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

(b) whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(A) of section 337 in the importation into the United States of certain products identified in paragraph (2), or in the sale of such products identified in paragraph (2) by the owner, importer, or consignee, by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is "glass substrates for LCDs, display panels containing the same, and electronic devices containing the same, which are TVs, monitors, notebook and laptop computers, and tablets";

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Corning Incorporated, One Riverfront Plaza, Corning, NY 14831

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint and supplement is to be served:

Caihong Display Devices Co., Ltd., d/b/a Irico Display Devices Co., Ltd., Area A, China-Korea Industrial Park, Qindu District, Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province, 712023, China

Hisense USA Corporation, 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024

HKC Corporation Ltd., HKC Industrial Park, 1 Gongye 2nd Road, Shilong Community, Shiyuan Street, Baoan District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, 518108, China

HKC Overseas Ltd., Unit 8 28/F W50, 50 Wong Chuk Hang Road, Hong Kong 999077

LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., 111 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

TCL China Star Optoelectronics, Technology Co., Ltd., 9-2 Tangming Avenue, Guangming New District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, 518132, China

TTE Technology, Inc., d/b/a TCL North America, 189 Technology Drive, Irvine, CA 92618

VIZIO, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92628
Xianyang CaiHong Optoelectronics, Technology Co., Ltd., No. 1, Gaoke Yilu, Qindu District, Xianyang City, Shaanxi Province 71200, China

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade

Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint, as supplemented, and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 17, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025-01645 Filed 1-23-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1422-1423 (Review)]

Strontium Chromate From Austria and France; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty

orders on strontium chromate from Austria and France would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: January 6, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alec Resch (202-708-1448), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On January 6, 2025, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (89 FR 79947, October 1, 2024) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group responses were inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on March 5, 2025. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's website.