



GAO's
AGENCY
PROTOCOLS

GAO's Mission

GAO exists to support the Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and ensure the accountability of the federal government for the benefit of the American people.

Scope of Work

GAO performs a range of oversight, insight- and foresight-related work to support the Congress, including the following:

- evaluations of federal programs, policies, operations, and performance;
- management and financial audits to determine whether public funds are spent efficiently, effectively, and in accordance with applicable laws;
- investigations to assess whether illegal or improper activities are occurring;
- analyses of the financing for government activities;
- constructive engagements in which GAO works proactively with agencies, when appropriate, to help guide their efforts towards transformation and achieving positive results;
- legal opinions to determine whether agencies are in compliance with applicable laws;
- policy analyses to assess needed actions and the implications of proposed actions; and
- additional assistance to the Congress in support of its oversight, appropriations, legislative, and other responsibilities.

Core Values

Accountability

Integrity

Reliability

Source: GAO (front and inside front covers).


October 21, 2004

This document contains the updated protocols that govern the U.S. Government Accountability Office's (GAO) work with executive branch agencies. The protocols provide clearly defined and transparent policies and practices on how GAO interacts with agencies in performing its work. As you know, GAO supports the Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and strives to help improve the performance and ensure the accountability of the federal government for the benefit of the American people. Although our primary client is the Congress and we must maintain our independence from the entities that are the subject of our work, we seek to continue constructive working relationships with the executive branch. In all cases, we seek to conduct our work in a professional, objective, fact-based, nonpartisan, and nonideological manner, in order to help improve government.

Since we began pilot-testing the protocols in December 2002, we have been monitoring their application. During this period, we have received comments indicating that the protocols (1) help to ensure a consistent and unified GAO approach throughout the federal government and (2) could benefit, in some areas, from additional clarification. In response to the comments, which were few in number, we revised the protocols to clarify our relationship with the agencies where we perform work and our methods of communicating and exchanging information. The highlights page of this document identifies these revisions as well as other important aspects of *GAO's Agency Protocols*.

Along with members of the GAO team, I look forward to using these protocols to continue to serve the Congress and the American people while maintaining a constructive working relationship with the federal

departments, agencies, and other entities where GAO performs work. Questions or comments about *GAO's Agency Protocols* may be directed to Mr. Gene L. Dodaro, Chief Operating Officer, at (202) 512-5600 or via e-mail at AgencyProtocols@gao.gov.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D M Walker", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

David M. Walker
Comptroller General
of the United States

Highlights of GAO's

1. GAO has broad authority to

- investigate all matters related to the receipt, disbursement, and expenditure of federal funds; and
- evaluate the results of a program carried out under existing law
 - when ordered by either house of Congress,
 - when requested by a committee of jurisdiction, or
 - on the initiative of the Comptroller General.

GAO has broad rights of access to a wide range of agency information, but has finite resources and can only perform work that is within its scope of authority and competency.

2. Objectives of *GAO's Agency Protocols*

- Provide clearly defined, consistently applied, and transparent policies and practices on how GAO will perform work at the entities it audits, subsequently referred to as agencies.
- Identify what the agencies can expect from GAO and what GAO expects of the agencies.
- Cover most situations that arise during the course of GAO's work. The protocols build on practices that have proved successful in the past and supersede prior arrangements with the agencies, including memorandums of understanding.
- Are consistent with the protocols that govern GAO's work for the Congress.

3. Exceptions to *GAO's Agency Protocols*

- GAO follows modified protocols for work leading up to congressional testimony and work performed by its Office of Special Investigations.
- The protocols are not applicable to work GAO conducts in support of legal opinions and decisions.
- The protocols do not govern GAO's relationship with the federal Inspectors General (IG) community. GAO and agency IGs are all part of the accountability community; therefore, the relationships between GAO and agency IGs are considered additional to, and separate from, these protocols.

Source: GAO.

Agency Protocols

4.

Communication between GAO and the agencies

What agencies can expect from GAO:

- At least annually, at agencies where GAO has a substantial ongoing audit presence, GAO senior executives and leaders responsible for GAO's work will meet with agency-designated senior executives.
- GAO will notify agencies of work to be undertaken and coordinate the entrance conference, exit conference, and request for agency comments on a draft report through the agency-designated central liaison or primary point of contact.
- Where GAO's work involves reviews that cut across the federal government and require work to be conducted at more than one agency, GAO will generally send a notification letter to the applicable central agency, such as the Office of Personnel Management or the Office of Management and Budget, and notify the individual agencies of such work by telephone or e-mail message.
- GAO will generally give an agency from 7 up to 30 calendar days to comment on a draft report. The time will vary depending on the nature of the engagement and the needs of the Congress.
- When an agency-designated senior official provides oral comments, rather than the preferred written comments, GAO will summarize them and provide a copy of the summary to the official for verification before finalizing the report.
- GAO will discuss the status of recommendations in its publicly available database with cognizant agency officials on an ongoing basis. However, special attention is directed to the status of recommendations close to the end of each fiscal year.

What GAO expects of agencies:

- The entrance conference will be scheduled within 14 calendar days of GAO's request.
- The agency will promptly comply with GAO's request for access to the agency's records.
- Agency officials who have oversight of the issues related to the engagement's objectives will attend the exit conference.
- Comments from the agency on a draft report will provide (1) a single position on the extent of their agreement or disagreement with key GAO findings and on any conclusions and recommendations and (2) the rationale for any disagreement.
- The agency's classification review of draft reports containing national security or sensitive information will be communicated (1) in writing, (2) in conjunction with the agency's submission of comments on the draft report, and (3) within the time frame identified in GAO's letter transmitting the draft report soliciting agency comments.
- When GAO issues a report containing recommendations to the head of an agency, GAO requests that the agency provide it with a copy of the statement of action that the agency prepares as required by 31 U.S.C. §720.

Contents

Letter	1
--------	---

Highlights of GAO's Agency Protocols	4
--	---

GAO's Agency Protocols	
Preface	7
Source of GAO Work	9
GAO's Approach	9
Statutory Responsibilities	10
Communication between GAO and Agencies	11
Notification of GAO Work	13
Entrance Conference	15
Closeout Meeting at Field Locations	16
Exit Conference with Agency	17
Agency Comments	18
Procedures for Soliciting Agency Comments	21
Disposition of Agency Comments	22
Testimony	22
Disposition of Work	24
Follow-up on GAO Recommendations	25
Office of Special Investigations	27
Access to Agency Information	29
Press Policy	34

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. It may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

GAO's Agency Protocols

Preface

This document contains the protocols governing the U.S. Government Accountability Office's (GAO) work at federal departments, agencies, and entities (subsequently referred to in this document as agencies). The protocols are the general principles governing GAO's relationships with federal agencies when GAO conducts its work at these agencies. GAO, in the course of its work, examines the use of federal funds; evaluates federal programs and activities; conducts investigations; and provides information, analyses, options, recommendations, and other assistance to help the Congress make effective policy, funding, and oversight decisions. These protocols are intended to enhance GAO's working relationships with agencies by building on practices that have proved successful in the past and by establishing a framework that will supersede prior arrangements with the agencies, including those set forth in memorandums of understanding. If there are sensitive areas that require special handling that are not covered by the protocols, GAO will discuss these areas with the affected agencies to determine whether a supplemental procedure is needed.

The purpose of these protocols is to set forth clearly defined and transparent policies and practices on how GAO carries out its work at these agencies. The protocols identify what the agencies can expect from GAO and what GAO expects of the agencies. They are expected to cover most situations that arise during the course of GAO's work. Furthermore, the protocols will help to ensure the consistency, fairness, and effectiveness of interactions between GAO and the agencies with which it works. The protocols reflect the framework of GAO's engagement and audit activities. These activities include communication between GAO and the agencies, interactions during the course of GAO's work, and follow-up on GAO's recommendations. GAO follows modified protocols in work leading up to congressional testimony and during investigations of

fraud, abuse, or misconduct conducted by its Office of Special Investigations. These modified protocols are articulated in the sections of this document entitled Testimony and Office of Special Investigations.

These protocols are not applicable to the work GAO conducts in support of its legal opinions and decisions. GAO's General Counsel issues legal opinions in response to requests from the Congress and its committees. GAO also has statutory authority to render decisions on matters such as bid protests and the availability and use of appropriated funds. Further information about this work can be found in *Bid Protests at GAO: A Descriptive Guide* and *Principles of Federal Appropriations Law, Volumes I, II, III, IV*, which are available on GAO's Web site www.gao.gov.

In addition, these protocols are not meant to govern GAO's relationship with the federal Inspectors General (IG) community. GAO and agency IGs are all part of the accountability community; therefore, the relationships between GAO and agency IGs are considered additional to and separate from these protocols. GAO and IG interactions are intended to underscore a constructive working relationship that effectively leverages resources; builds a mutual knowledge base; and maximizes the oversight of federal programs, offices, and activities. For example, GAO relies on the work of the IGs and other auditors to meet the requirements of the Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act for audited financial statements. Through successful working relationships between GAO and the IGs, agencies have consistently met the CFO requirements. Also, through the IG's active participation in the Comptroller General's Advisory Council on Government Auditing Standards, the Domestic Working Group, and the activities of the Intergovernmental Audit Forums, GAO and the IGs share information, identify emerging issues, and achieve broad coordination. Furthermore, at agencies where GAO has a substantial ongoing audit presence, as a

professional courtesy, GAO meets periodically with representatives of the agency's Office of Inspector General to (1) coordinate work between GAO and the Office of Inspector General, (2) achieve efficiencies and minimize duplication, and (3) identify specific issues that might benefit from a collaborative effort between GAO and the Office of Inspector General.

Source of GAO Work

GAO undertakes work through three primary means: (1) congressional mandates, (2) congressional requests, and (3) the Comptroller General's authority. Information about the priorities that govern GAO's work for the Congress and GAO's operating plan can be found in *GAO's Congressional Protocols* in the section entitled Priorities for Undertaking Work and *GAO's Strategic Plan*, both of which are posted on GAO's Web site at www.gao.gov.

GAO's Approach

To effectively support the Congress, GAO must be professional, objective, fact-based, nonpartisan, and nonideological, in all its work. GAO is committed to meeting the highest level of professional standards while conducting audits, evaluations, and investigations reflective of its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability. GAO performs its audit and analytical work in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS or the "Yellow Book" standards, which can be found at www.gao.gov). GAO conducts its investigations—which involve allegations of serious wrongdoing that may involve potential violations of criminal law—and its testing of the security of agencies' systems, controls, and property in accordance with standards established by the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency as adapted for GAO's work.

Statutory Responsibilities

The Budget and Accounting Act of 1921, authorized GAO to “investigate all matters relating to the receipt, disbursement, and expenditure of public funds.” To assist GAO in performing its work, the Congress provided GAO broad rights of access to a wide range of agency information. Specifically, the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921 directs each agency to give GAO information the Comptroller General requires about the duties, powers, activities, organizations, and financial transactions of the agency.

Since World War II, the Congress has expanded the original charter and clarified how GAO work is initiated. Specifically:

- The Government Corporation Control Act of 1945 provides GAO with the authority to audit the financial transactions of government corporations.
- The Budget and Accounting Procedures Act of 1950 assigns GAO the responsibility for establishing accounting standards for the federal government and for carrying out audits of internal controls and financial management.
- The Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970 authorizes GAO “to evaluate the results of a program or activity the Government carries out under existing law” when ordered by either house of Congress, when requested by a committee of jurisdiction, or on the initiative of the Comptroller General. In addition, the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 authorizes GAO to conduct program evaluations and analyses of a broad range of federal activities.
- The Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act of 1990 and the Government Management Reform Act of 1994 authorize GAO to audit agencies’ financial

statements and annually audit the consolidated financial statements of the United States.

- Numerous other laws complement GAO's basic audit and evaluation authorities, including the Inspector General Act of 1978, providing for GAO-established standards for the audit of federal programs and activities, and the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984, providing for GAO's review of protested federal contracting actions.

Communication between GAO and Agencies

GAO is committed to maintaining constructive and continuing communication with agencies and major components within agencies. These communications will take several forms, including, as facts and circumstances warrant, meetings between GAO's Comptroller General or Chief Operating Officer and the heads of agencies or their designees at the presidential appointee with Senate confirmation (PAS) level to discuss areas of mutual interest and concern.

Other forms of communication include periodic meetings with an agency's leadership and executives and specific communications with an agency pertaining to planned and ongoing work. Specifically:

- At least annually, at agencies where GAO has a substantial ongoing audit presence, GAO senior executives and leaders responsible for managing and coordinating GAO's work, generally known as GAO's agency executive liaisons, will meet with agency-designated senior executives, such as an Assistant Secretary for Administration, an Associate Administrator for Management Systems, or an Assistant Secretary for a particular program. These executive-level meetings will help build an understanding of key and emerging issues; provide an opportunity to discuss GAO's short-and long-term

work plans, as well as the general working relationship between GAO and the agency, including the agency's use of GAO's work; and facilitate the discussion of issues associated with particular work. For the agencies that designate a central GAO liaison, once an executive-level meeting has been scheduled, as a professional courtesy, GAO will notify the liaison that the meeting has been scheduled.

- When GAO initiates work at an agency, the agency can expect GAO to designate a primary point of contact who will be available throughout the engagement to respond to the agency's requests for information on the status of work and to any concerns about the work's scope or approach. In turn, GAO expects the agency to designate a point of contact who is knowledgeable about the agency's relevant programs and organization and is able to facilitate GAO's ability to complete its work in a timely manner. Furthermore, the agency-designated central liaison or point of contact should be able to, among other things, set up necessary meetings (such as entrance, exit, and agency comment meetings), identify and ensure that GAO meets with the appropriate agency representatives, help resolve problems, and coordinate agency comments on any draft report that may result from the work.

In response to inquiries from agencies not involved in a particular ongoing review—except for classified work and investigations—GAO will provide information on the source of the request, the project's objectives, scope, and methodology; and the expected completion date, when known. For congressionally requested work, further information may be shared after consultation with the congressional requester(s).

Notification of GAO Work

Before beginning any new engagement that requires GAO to seek information, data, or both, from an agency, GAO generally notifies the agency of the work to be undertaken. When GAO's work cuts across the federal government and is conducted at more than one agency (e.g., performance management and budgeting reviews), GAO will generally send a notification letter to the applicable central agency, such as the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) or the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and notify the individual agencies of such work by telephone or e-mail message. When GAO notifies an agency of work by telephone or e-mail message, it will, if requested, subsequently provide a notification letter in instances when the work is on an issue with widespread national implication or is resource intensive. However, when GAO conducts an investigation it does not notify the agency of the work because to do so might jeopardize the investigation. GAO's modified protocols for investigations are described in detail in the Office of Special Investigations section of this document.

To the extent practical, the notice to an agency will identify the

- engagement subject;
- engagement's unique identification number (i.e., engagement code);
- source of the work;
- objectives or key questions of the work;
- agency(ies) and, when possible, anticipated location(s) to be contacted;
- estimated start date for the work;

- time frame for holding an entrance conference between GAO and the agency;
- GAO team performing the engagement; and
- GAO points of contact (name, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address).

If the objectives of the work to be performed change significantly or if the location of the work needs to be modified, GAO will notify the agency-designated central liaison or primary point of contact of these changes.

GAO will generally provide written notification to the agencies involved in the work electronically in an agreed-upon format that protects the files from alteration. GAO will provide the notification to the agency-designated liaison or agency-designated point of contact. If an agency has not designated a central liaison, GAO will provide the notification to the responsible agency management official. In some instances, GAO may ask an agency liaison to distribute the notification to the agency's respective major components. In addition, if applicable, the notification letter will be accompanied or followed by a notice of visit form that includes the appropriate security clearance information.

For certain types of work to be performed at an agency, GAO may initially provide only telephone or e-mail message notification. Such work includes (1) congressional requests for quickly developed testimony based on new work, (2) work that is to be completed within a short time frame, (3) requests for information on the implementation status of recommendations made in issued reports, and (4) descriptive information being gathered from or about agencies as part of a governmentwide review. GAO's recommendation follow-up process is discussed

in detail in the Follow-up on GAO Recommendations section of this document. As previously stated, when GAO notifies an agency of work by telephone or e-mail message, it will, if requested, subsequently provide a notification letter when the work is on an issue that has widespread national implications or is resource-intensive.

Entrance Conference

An entrance conference is a meeting that GAO holds with agency officials at the start of an engagement. GAO expects that an agency will arrange for its personnel to be available for an entrance conference no later than 14 calendar days after receiving a request for a meeting. At the entrance conference, GAO will discuss the (1) source of the work; (2) roles and responsibilities of the GAO staff; (3) information needs (e.g., data and access to agency officials); (4) key objectives (research questions); (5) sites where GAO expects to conduct its work, when known; and (6) need for any precautions to protect the data and information, such as special clearances. To the extent possible, GAO will also provide the agency with an estimate of how long the work will take. During the entrance conference, GAO will also ask agency officials to designate a key contact to assist, as applicable, in obtaining temporary office space in agencies where GAO does not already have office space as well as fax and telephone equipment needed for GAO to complete its work at the agency. In addition, GAO staff will request that agency officials identify knowledgeable agency personnel and discuss the kinds of information that would be useful to carry out the work's objectives, such as available studies or electronic files.

The attendance of key agency officials—those responsible for work related to GAO's key objectives—at the entrance conference enhances the opportunity for a substantive exchange of information. If the review

includes work at separate agency field locations, or if requested by the agency, GAO will consider holding additional entrance conferences when work is begun at these field locations.

In certain cases, GAO's work involves crosscutting governmentwide reviews at more than one agency, including reviews on such issues as performance management and budgeting. For example, GAO's work on how well agencies are incorporating a results orientation into their budget decisions and resource allocation process involves all major agencies. For such a governmentwide review, an entrance conference is generally held with applicable central agencies, such as OMB or OPM. If requested, GAO will consider either including all of the agencies under the review at a single entrance conference or holding a separate entrance conference with specific agencies.

Generally, GAO will not hold an entrance conference in those instances when it is (1) responding to congressional requests for testimony based on ongoing or recently completed work, (2) performing work that is to be completed within a short time frame and is closely related to ongoing work, (3) updating the implementation status of recommendations made in issued reports, or (4) collecting descriptive information from or about agencies as part of a governmentwide review.

Closeout Meeting at Field Locations

After conducting work at a field location, to the extent appropriate, GAO staff will hold a closeout meeting with agency officials who are responsible for the operations of the field location and have oversight for issues related to the work's objectives. The purpose of the closeout meeting is to ensure that GAO staff have been provided with a full understanding of the information they have gathered at a field location and its relevance to the

engagement's objectives. In deciding on whether or not a closeout meeting will be held, GAO will consider the preferences of the agency officials and whether the work has involved interviews with numerous people, over a significant period of time, at that particular location.

At the closeout meeting, GAO staff may discuss the implications of the information gathered at that field location. Such discussions may identify additional relevant information and thus lead to further data gathering at the location. In addition, as appropriate, the closeout meeting may cover the extent to which data and documents were made available and access to relevant field officials was provided. Generally, work undertaken at individual field locations provides only location-specific information that needs to be evaluated in the context of findings from other locations. Therefore, GAO's preliminary conclusions or recommendations are not discussed at the closeout meeting.

Exit Conference with Agency

GAO holds an exit conference (in person or by telephone) with an agency after completing its data collection and analysis. The purpose of the exit conference is to confirm that the critical facts and key information used to formulate GAO's analyses and findings are current, correct, and complete. GAO officials responsible for the completion of the engagement will participate in the meeting. Agency officials who have oversight of the issues related to the engagement's objectives are also expected to attend the meeting. Written material, if provided, will be used to confirm that the critical facts and key information used to formulate GAO's analyses and findings are current, correct, and complete. Observations, preliminary conclusions, and potential recommendations that flow

from the factual information collected may be discussed but are not provided in writing.

GAO generally holds an exit conference at all affected agencies when its reviews are crosscutting and require substantive work to be conducted at more than one agency. GAO coordinates the scheduling of the exit conference with the agency-designated liaison or agency-designated point of contact. If an agency has not designated a central liaison, GAO coordinates with the responsible agency management official.

Agency Comments

As required by generally accepted government auditing standards, GAO provides responsible parties with an opportunity to review and comment on a draft of a report before it is issued. Responsible parties include agency officials and other directly affected parties that have responsibilities for the programs under review. The amount of time available for the agency to comment is determined on a facts-and-circumstances basis. However, GAO does not seek comments from an agency or affected party when (1) disclosure of an investigation's results could pose risks to individuals and their confidentiality, (2) premature disclosure of information could compromise the results of the work, or (3) a product largely reflects prior GAO work. For example, a testimony statement that is largely based on issued GAO work is not provided for comment. However, GAO does obtain the views of agency officials for those statements that are based on new or ongoing work. As previously noted, GAO follows modified protocols for testimonies, which are described separately in the section of this document entitled Testimony. Furthermore, GAO follows modified protocols for work undertaken by its criminal investigators. These modified protocols are described in the section of this document entitled Office of Special Investigations.

GAO, when determining the amount of time available for comment, will consider (1) the timing needs of the requester, (2) the extent to which substantive discussions have already been held between GAO and the agency, (3) the length of time spent on the engagement, and (4) the amount of resources GAO and the agency have expended to answer the engagement's objectives. Using these criteria, GAO will generally give an agency from 7 to 30 calendar days to comment on a draft report unless otherwise required by law. *See 31 U.S.C. §718(a) for a list of financial institutions that by law must receive 30 days for comment.* The exact time will vary depending on the nature of the engagement and the needs of the Congress. In rare cases, the Comptroller General may grant an extension beyond 30 calendar days if the agency shows that an extension is necessary and will likely result in a more accurate report. *See 31 U.S.C. §718(b).*

GAO reserves the right to issue the report to the congressional requester(s) if the comments are not received within the time allotted. In such cases, the reason for not including the agency comments will be stated in the report.

GAO prefers that agencies provide written comments, and GAO requests that the written comments be provided electronically. However, GAO will accept comments provided in hard copy, orally, or in an unsigned e-mail message. GAO requests that agencies provide their written comments electronically in order to comply with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act. This act requires that persons with disabilities have access to and use of information and data that are comparable to the access and use provided to persons without disabilities. *See 29 U.S.C. §794d.* GAO's goal is to provide its products in a format that is compatible with assistive technologies such as text-to-sound screen reader software. Submitting an electronic file ensures that an agency's comments are accurately reproduced in

GAO's accessible product format. Contact Knowledge Services at (202) 512-3691, for specific information about how to electronically transmit agency comments to GAO. Comments on reports containing classified or sensitive information should be transmitted in the manner agreed to by GAO and the agency.

GAO expects an agency to provide (1) a single position on the extent of their agreement or disagreement with key GAO findings, and on any conclusions, and recommendations—including a resolution of disparate agency views if necessary—and (2) the rationale for any disagreement. When an agency's designated senior official provides oral comments, GAO will summarize these comments and provide a copy of the summary to the official to verify that the comments are accurately stated before finalizing the report. The GAO senior executive official responsible for the completion of the engagement, along with the staff that performed the work, will participate in this meeting. In addition, for governmentwide work, GAO will generally request that comments be provided by the agency(ies) with whom the entrance conference was held.

Consistent with *GAO's Congressional Protocols*, the congressional requester(s) will be notified before a draft report is provided to an agency for comment and will be offered an opportunity to receive a copy of the draft for informational purposes when the draft report is provided to the agency for comment. Furthermore, under 31 U.S.C. §718(b)(2), the Senate Governmental Affairs and House Government Reform committees may request copies of any draft report generated under GAO's legal authority to undertake work on its own initiative when the draft report is sent to the agency for comment. GAO will advise these committees when such a draft is sent to the agency for comment.

Procedures for Soliciting Agency Comments

GAO does not have classification authority for its reports. Therefore, for those reports that contain national security or sensitive information, GAO will request that the agency perform a review for this type of information and communicate the review's results in writing to GAO. GAO will advise the congressional requester(s) when the agency has completed its classification review. Both the agency's classification review and comments on the draft report must be completed within the time frame identified in GAO's letter soliciting comments on the draft report.

GAO transmits most draft reports for comment to agencies electronically in an agreed-upon format that protects the files from alteration. GAO will provide the draft report to the agency-designated liaison or agency-designated point of contact. If an agency has not designated a central liaison, GAO will provide the notification to the responsible agency management official. Reports containing classified or sensitive information are transmitted through other means. A transmittal letter accompanies each draft report to inform recipients of GAO's request for written or oral comments and the time frame within which the comments are due. The transmittal letter also states that the draft report is not final; is therefore subject to change; and must be safeguarded to prevent its transmittal to unauthorized personnel, alteration, or premature release.

Draft reports are at risk of being prematurely released once they leave GAO's control. In some limited circumstances, when there is concern that a draft report may be prematurely released, GAO will take extra precautions in obtaining agency comments. For example, GAO may decide not to transmit a draft report electronically and instead provide limited printed copies of the draft to the agency. In addition, GAO may determine that the particular sensitivity of a draft

report's information or recommendations requires restrictive comment procedures. In these instances, GAO may request that agency officials review the draft report in the presence of GAO staff and that these officials provide the agency's consolidated comments at that time. In such cases, the draft report will be available for review only at a meeting with GAO staff. Although agency officials may take notes as they review the draft, at the conclusion of the meeting, all copies of the draft report will be returned to GAO.

Disposition of Agency Comments

After receiving agency comments, GAO considers their substance, revises the draft report as appropriate, and indicates in the issued report whether the agency agreed or disagreed with GAO's findings, conclusions, and recommendations. If the agency disagrees with GAO's findings, conclusions, and recommendations, GAO will accept further explanations from agency officials in support of the agency's position. However, any available documented evidence supporting this explanation must be provided simultaneously to allow for verification if it materially affects the content of the report. When the agency disagrees with a finding, conclusion, or recommendation, GAO identifies the disagreement and states its own position in the issued report. An agency's electronic or hard-copy written comments are typically reproduced in an appendix to the issued report. An unsigned e-mail message describing an agency's comments will not be printed in the issued report. However, GAO identifies the disposition of this e-mail message in the Agency Comments section of the report, just as it does for oral comments.

Testimony

Congressional committee or subcommittee Chairs frequently request that GAO prepare testimony statements and that GAO witnesses appear at hearings. GAO is required to follow the rules of the Senate and the

House and relevant committees or subcommittees in connection with any such testimonies. Accordingly, *GAO's Agency Protocols* are modified for these requirements as described in this section. For example, because most hearing appearances are requested and statements are delivered within short time frames or are based on work that is new and quickly developed, ongoing, or already completed, GAO generally does not hold an entrance conference with agency officials. When agency officials need to be contacted for information that was not previously obtained or was not part of a previous review, GAO will notify the agency-designated central liaison, generally by telephone or e-mail message. If the agency has not designated a liaison, GAO will provide notification to the responsible agency management official.

For testimony based on new or ongoing work, regardless of whether it is a preliminary or a final product, GAO will, consistent with professional auditing standards, obtain the views of agency officials on the information collected before the testimony statement is completed to (1) validate the accuracy of the data gathered and (2) discuss the implications that flow from the data gathered. The agency's views are generally obtained through a meeting with the official designated to speak for the agency or through other means, such as a telephone conference call. The views of the agency official will be considered in the development of the testimony statement. If the agency is unable to schedule a meeting to provide its views within the time frame specified by GAO, the testimony statement will reflect that GAO was unable to obtain the agency's views. Generally, when the testimony statement is based on recently reported work, GAO will not seek agency views. Additionally, when GAO testifies on investigations it conducts, it does not obtain testimony statement views because such actions could jeopardize future proceedings. GAO's modified protocols related to investigations are explained in greater detail in the

separate section of this document entitled Office of Special Investigations. GAO will distribute its written testimony statement in accordance with the rules of the Senate or House, including the applicable committees' rules.

Disposition of Work

Consistent with generally accepted government auditing standards, GAO prefers using published products to communicate the final results of its work because they (1) communicate the results consistently to all interested parties, (2) make the results available to the public, (3) build a readily available subject-matter record for future use, and (4) facilitate follow-up to determine whether appropriate corrective measures have been taken when needed. However, an oral briefing may be used, for example, when GAO (1) determines that further work is not warranted; (2) provides information that is readily available to the public, such as that in Inspector General reports; or (3) develops a summary of previously issued GAO products that does not contain any new findings, conclusions, or recommendations. In these instances, GAO will notify the agency-designated central liaison or point of contact that the engagement has been terminated without a published product.

GAO will provide electronic copies of reports to agencies upon issuance or release. GAO teams responsible for the engagement will provide to the agency contact via e-mail the final electronic version of the report and the transmittal letter. However, GAO will provide the agencies with hard copies of the report, if requested. In addition to providing copies of the report to the agencies involved, GAO's goal is to post products on its Web site www.gao.gov within 24 hours of release. All GAO reports have a targeted issuance date. However, the congressional requester(s) may ask GAO to restrict the release of a report for up to 30 calendar

days beyond the targeted issuance date. GAO reserves the right to release any report that is under restriction, but issued to the requester, if the report's contents are made public prior to the expiration of the restriction date. GAO reports that contain classified or sensitive data are not posted on the Web site.

Classified reports are distributed only to those with the appropriate security clearances and a need to know. Reports that contain sensitive information are distributed only to recipients who are authorized by statute or regulation to receive the reports, have a need to know, or both.

Follow-up on GAO Recommendations

GAO's recommendations are intended to improve the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of an agency's operations and to improve the accountability of the federal government for the benefit of the American people. Consequently, GAO monitors agencies' progress in implementing these recommendations. To accomplish this monitoring, GAO maintains a database of open recommendations. As new products with recommendations are released, their recommendations are incorporated into the database. This database serves both GAO and the agencies by helping them meet their record maintenance and monitoring responsibilities.

GAO's goal is to remove all closed recommendations from the database on an ongoing basis. However, close to the end of each fiscal year, special attention is directed to this effort. GAO removes a recommendation from its database after determining that (1) the agency has implemented the recommendation or has taken action that in substance meets the intent of the recommendation or (2) circumstances have changed and the recommendation is no longer relevant. The open recommendations database is available to the public on GAO's Web site at www.gao.gov. Specific

recommendations can be identified because the database is searchable by agency, congressional committee, and key words. Congressional appropriations, authorization, and oversight committees can use the database to prepare for hearings and budget deliberations.

Agencies also have a responsibility to monitor and maintain accurate records on the status of recommendations. These requirements are detailed in two OMB Circulars. *OMB Circular A-50* provides the policies and procedures for use by executive agencies when considering reports issued by GAO and Inspectors General, other executive branch audit organizations, and nonfederal auditors where follow-up is necessary; and *OMB Circular A-123* addresses internal management control systems. Among the requirements included are that the agency (1) appoint a top-level audit follow-up official, (2) maintain accurate records on the status of recommendations, and (3) assign a high priority to following up on audit recommendations.

Additionally, when GAO issues a report containing recommendations to the head of an agency, 31 U.S.C. §720 requires that the agency head submit a written statement of the actions taken by the agency on GAO's recommendations to the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs and the House Committee on Government Reform not later than 60 days after the date of the report. The agency's statement of action shall also be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with the first request for appropriations that is submitted more than 60 days after the date of the report. If the congressional requester has asked that the distribution of the report be restricted, as provided by *GAO's Congressional Protocols*, the 60-day period begins on the date the report is released.

Because agency personnel serve as the primary source of information on the status of recommendations, GAO requests that the agency also provide it with a copy of the agency's statement of action to serve as preliminary information on the status of open recommendations. GAO will follow up by discussing the status of recommendations with cognizant agency officials; obtaining copies of agency documents supporting the recommendations' implementation; and performing sufficient work to verify that the recommended actions are being taken and, to the extent possible, that the desired results are being achieved.

Office of Special Investigations

The Office of Special Investigations (OSI) is a specialized unit within GAO that is staffed by criminal investigators. OSI's mission is to improve the performance and ensure the accountability of government by conducting oversight investigations concerning allegations of serious wrongdoing that may involve potential violations of criminal law. When OSI investigations disclose potential violations of law, the information is referred to the appropriate law enforcement agency, such as the Office of Inspector General or the Department of Justice. OSI investigations typically focus on allegations of corruption, fraud, misconduct, contract and procurement improprieties, conflicts of interest, and ethics violations in federal programs or activities.

OSI also engages in proactive operations that test the security of agencies' systems, controls, and property. These operations are coordinated with appropriate authorities, such as the Department of Justice. OSI will inform the agency of any serious security issues discovered during these operations shortly after the operations have been completed and before any of the related findings are made public. In addition, OSI's work involves law enforcement-related issues or programs.

OSI also utilizes GAO's FraudNET, an automated system that affords the public an opportunity to report allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement of federal funds. Typically, OSI refers this information to the appropriate agency's Office of Inspector General or another law enforcement agency for action. GAO expects to receive a report from the agency on the results of the action.

It is GAO's policy to conduct investigations according to standards established by the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency (PCIE) as adapted for GAO's work. PCIE standards place upon GAO and its investigators the responsibility to ensure that (1) investigations are conducted by personnel who collectively possess the required knowledge, skills, and abilities to perform the investigations; (2) judgments made in collecting and analyzing evidence and communicating results are impartial; and (3) due professional care (e.g., thoroughness, appropriate use of investigative techniques, impartiality, objectivity, protection of individual rights, and timeliness) is exercised. OSI seeks evidence of wrongdoing either in conjunction with or independently of audits and evaluations. OSI focuses on physical, testimonial, documentary, and analytical evidence that is relevant, material, and admissible in criminal and civil proceedings. To ensure admissibility in subsequent judicial proceedings, OSI performs its work in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Constitution, statutes, and court decisions applicable to obtaining evidence in criminal and civil cases.

Like GAO's other units, OSI expects that an agency will promptly comply with requests for access to its records and to agency personnel directly involved with the matter under investigation. Furthermore, there should be no interference with an investigator's ability to obtain relevant information concerning an investigative matter. When OSI becomes aware of an ongoing executive

branch investigation pertaining to a matter OSI is currently investigating, OSI will coordinate its work with the law enforcement agency involved.

Investigations by their very nature do not support the use of entrance or exit conferences. Nor do the standards of the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency require these conferences. Revealing information at the start of an investigation may lead to the destruction or concealment of evidence, thus jeopardizing the investigation. However, in investigations that will result in a public report or testimony, OSI will brief agency officials after the investigation has been completed and before the information is made public. On occasion, OSI works jointly with other GAO units or independently on compliance or evaluation issues. In those cases, the provisions of GAO's standard agency protocols are applicable.

Access to Agency Information

The Congress relies on GAO to examine virtually every federal program, activity, and policy, as well as institutions that rely on federal funds. Generally accepted government auditing standards make GAO analysts and financial auditors responsible for planning, conducting, and reporting their work in a timely manner without internal or external impairments to the work. These standards require that analysts and financial auditors promptly obtain sufficient, competent, and relevant evidence to afford a reasonable basis for any related findings and conclusions. Therefore, prompt access to all records and other information associated with these activities is needed for the effective and efficient performance of GAO's work. While for the most part, agencies have provided GAO with requested information within agreed-upon time frames, the following sections describe the steps GAO is authorized

to follow if it believes it is experiencing unreasonable delays in obtaining the requested access.

The Congress has given GAO broad statutory rights of access to a wide range of federal agency documents. The basic access authority is established in 31 U.S.C. §716(a), which requires each agency to give GAO “information the Comptroller General requires about the duties, powers, activities, organization, and financial transactions of the agency.” GAO is also authorized to inspect an agency’s records to secure the required information. This authority includes access to inter- and intra-agency memorandums and electronic files as well as sensitive information, including business-confidential or proprietary data. While the Freedom of Information Act, the Trade Secrets Act, and other statutes may generally protect certain categories of information from disclosure by an agency to the public, this protection does not justify withholding the information from GAO. GAO has a statutory right to access predecisional and deliberative documents unless the President or the Director of OMB certifies that certain standards are met under 31 U.S.C. §716(d)(1)(C), as added by the General Accounting Office Act of 1980. (*For further information see Senate Report No. 96-570, Feb. 8, 1980, pp. 6-8.*) Aside from the general access statute, various forms of special legislation govern GAO’s access to certain types of agency records and information, such as tax, social security, financial institution, and employee benefit plan records and information. In addition, under certain circumstances, GAO has the authority to access information from other entities receiving federal funds, such as the District of Columbia, state and local governments, and private sector contractors.

Handling and
Disclosing
Information

GAO secures all information obtained during the course of its work. When GAO needs access to classified, proprietary, or otherwise sensitive information, it will comply with all applicable statutory requirements, including obtaining the necessary security and other clearances for assigned GAO staff. Under 31 U.S.C. §716(e), GAO is obligated to give the information the same level of confidentiality and protection required of the agency. Because of its statutory access authority, GAO generally does not sign a nondisclosure or other agreement as a condition of gaining access to sensitive or proprietary data to which it is entitled.

While GAO is not subject to the Freedom of Information Act, its disclosure policy follows the spirit of the act consistent with GAO's duties and responsibilities to the Congress. It is GAO's policy not to provide records to the public that originated in another agency or a nonfederal organization. Instead, GAO refers those who request such records to the originating organization. Further information on the public availability of the documents and data that form GAO's audit documentation can be found in 4 C.F.R. part 81.

GAO will grant Members of Congress, upon their written request, access to its audit documentation at GAO offices or will provide copies of selected audit documentation after a product has been made publicly available. After a product has been issued to a requester but is not yet publicly available, GAO may grant access to specific, selected audit documentation after receiving a written request from the requesting Member(s). In this situation, copies of the audit documentation will not be provided until the report has been made publicly available. This access is subject to legal and privacy considerations, such as those concerning taxpayer return information and protected banking information.

GAO's work involves different collection approaches to meet the evidence requirements of the generally accepted government auditing standards. Such evidence falls into four categories:

- physical evidence (e.g., the results of direct inspection or observation);
- documentary evidence (e.g., information created by and for an agency, such as letters, memorandums, contracts, management and accounting records, and other documents in various formats, including electronic databases);
- testimonial evidence (e.g., the results of face-to-face, telephone, or written inquiries, interviews, and questionnaires); and
- analytical evidence (developed by or for GAO through computations, data comparisons, and other analyses).

GAO expects that an agency will promptly comply with its requests for access to the agency's records so that it can obtain all categories of needed evidence. Such access generally includes the ability to make and retain copies of the evidence. GAO also expects that it will receive full and timely access to agency officials who have stewardship over the requested records; to agency employees who are responsible for the programs, issues, events, operations, and other factors covered by such records; and contractor personnel supporting such programs, issues, events, and operations. In addition, GAO expects that it will have access to the agency's facilities and other relevant locations. GAO will endeavor to conduct work related to requests for information with minimal interruption to the agency's operations.

Resolving Disputes
Over Access

Timely access to information is in the best interests of both GAO and the agencies. GAO needs to efficiently use the time available to complete its work to minimize the impact on the agency being reviewed and to meet the time frames of the congressional requester(s). Therefore, GAO expects that an agency's leadership and internal procedures will recognize the importance of and support prompt responses to GAO's requests for information. For the most part, agencies have provided GAO with requested information within agreed-upon time frames. However, if GAO believes it is experiencing unreasonable delays in obtaining requested access, GAO officials will contact the agency's leadership for resolution and notify the congressional requester(s) of the work affected, as appropriate. Consistent with GAO's reporting standards, when there is difficulty in obtaining timely access to information that adversely affects either the completion of an engagement, its scope, or both, GAO's product will reflect that GAO had this difficulty. In addition, unreasonable delays in gaining access to an agency's information can reduce the time available for the agency to provide its views or comments on GAO's work.

To ensure GAO's access to information maintained by federal agencies, the Congress provided in 31 U.S.C. §716(b) for enforcement actions to compel the production of a record. First, the Comptroller General sends a written request to the agency head for the record that has not been made available to GAO within a reasonable time after an initial request. The agency head then has 20 calendar days to respond, either by providing the record for inspection or by explaining why it is being withheld. If the agency head does not provide the record, the Comptroller General may file a report describing the access issues with the President, the Director of OMB, the Attorney General, the agency head, and the Congress. If the agency head does not provide the record within 20 calendar days of the report's filing,

the statute authorizes the Comptroller General to bring a civil action in federal district court to enforce GAO's access rights. If the Comptroller General is successful in this action, the court will issue an order directing the agency head to produce the record.

Extremely limited exceptions to the authority are established in 31 U.S.C. §716(b) for a civil enforcement action to compel access to a record. The most notable exception is if the President or the Director of OMB certifies that (1) the record could be withheld under either of two Freedom of Information Act exemptions in 5 U.S.C. §552(b)(5) (deliberative process) and (b)(7) (law enforcement records) and (2) disclosure could reasonably be expected to impair substantially the operations of the government—a requirement that, as the legislative history of Section 716 makes clear, presents a very high standard for an agency to meet. As previously noted, the fact that materials may be exempt from public disclosure does not justify withholding them from GAO. Moreover, GAO's statutory right of access to an agency's records is not diminished by the certification provisions of the legislation. Rather, the certification simply allows the President or the Director of OMB to preclude the Comptroller General from seeking a judicial remedy in certain limited situations. In the event there is a certification, generally accepted government auditing standards require that the limitations to GAO's access to records be identified in the product and that the audit findings be adjusted accordingly.

Press Policy

In response to inquiries from the media about ongoing work, GAO will provide information only about the objectives, scope, and methodology of an assignment; the names of the requester(s); and the expected completion date. GAO will refer inquiries for any additional information to the congressional requester(s).

However, in response to media inquiries about ongoing OSI investigations, GAO will neither confirm nor deny the existence of such an investigation. As a professional courtesy, GAO will inform the requester(s) of substantive media inquiries during an ongoing review. As appropriate, such courtesy will be extended by GAO staff conducting the work to the agency-designated central liaison or point of contact for the work. Once a product is publicly released, GAO staff with expertise in the subject matter will answer questions from the media. On-camera interviews for television news programs are done only on request and only when GAO deems them appropriate for public understanding of the facts, findings, conclusions, and recommendations of GAO's products. GAO's policy is that the senior executives with the broadest knowledge of a completed engagement do such interviews. Before GAO agrees to an on-camera interview, GAO will advise the requester(s) of the media source and the expected broadcast date and time, if available. If asked to participate in press briefings sponsored by requester(s), GAO will provide support if the press briefing is held in Washington, D.C. In such instances, GAO will provide knowledgeable staff with the understanding that they are present only to answer questions about the specifics of released GAO products. Although GAO does not generally hold press conferences or issue press releases about its products, it does advise the media, agency personnel, and the public of the release of GAO products via the World Wide Web and other venues.



This document is available on GAO's public Web site at
<http://www.gao.gov/cgi-bin/getrpt?gao-05-35g>

Other Web pages of possible interest

Reports, Testimonies, and Legal Products: Download GAO's most recent products or search extensive archive of past products	www.gao.gov/audit.htm or www.gao.gov/legal.html
E-mail alerts: Get automatic updates on new GAO products	www.gao.gov/subtest/subscribe.html
FraudNet: Report allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, or mismanagement of federal funds	www.gao.gov/fraudnet/htm
For the Press: Check out the Reporter's Guide to GAO and other resources for the media	www.gao.gov/mainpress.html

Core Values

Accountability

Integrity

Reliability

