NBSIR 77-1288 (NASC-Navy) (R)



Stress Corrosion Behavior of K01-T7 Aluminum Alloy Castings

B. T. Sanderson and W. F. Gerhold

Corrosion and Electrodeposition Section Metallurgy Division Institute for Materials Research National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C. 20234

September 1977

Prepared for

Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360



STRESS CORROSION BEHAVIOR OF KO1-T7 ALUMINUM ALLOY CASTINGS

B. T. Sanderson and W. F. Gerhold

Corrosion and Electrodeposition Section Metallurgy Division Institute for Materials Research National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C. 20234

September 1977

For Official Distribution Only

Prepared for Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary

Dr. Sidney Harman, Under Secretary

Jordan J. Baruch, Assistant Secretary for Science and Technology

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler, Acting Director



STRESS CORROSION BEHAVIOR OF KO1-T7 ALUMINUM ALLOY CASTINGS

B.T. Sanderson and W.F. Gerhold

Reference: (a) Naval Air Systems Command, Department of the Navy, request by AIR-5203-01.

Introduction

A new high strength aluminum casting alloy designated as KO1-T7 has become available. Reference^(a) requested that NBS conduct tests to determine the stress-corrosion behavior of this alloy in a marine atmosphere environment. Material

Three KO1-T7 aluminum alloy castings were obtained from Electronic Specialty Company in Pomona, California. Two of these castings appeared to be brackets and were identified as part number C740301-50. The third casting was a flange marked C5T27377. All of the specimens were poured from the same heat (ES 1). Nominal chemical analysis for this aluminum alloy include the additions of Cu 4.8%, Ag 0.5%, Mg 0.25%, and Mn 0.25% (all weight percentages). Certification test data as furnished by the supplier appear in Table 1. Specimen Preparation

Four test specimens were prepared from each of the two bracket castings (part C740301-50). Two flat stress corrosion specimens were machined from the base of each bracket and two round tensile specimens were machined from the side of each bracket as shown in Figure 1. Fourteen round tensile specimens were machined from the one aluminum alloy flange casting marked C5T27377. Figure 2 illustrates the position of each specimen obtained from the parent material. All specimens were taken from solid sections of the castings and there were no visual indications of voids or flaws.

Mechanical Properties

Tests were performed by NBS to determine the mechanical properties of the three KO1-T7 aluminum alloy castings. These determinations (tensile

strength and yield strength) compare favorably with the mechanical test results furnished by the supplier. All the mechanical tests performed by NBS exceeded the minimum requirements as stated by the manufacturer. The results appear in Table 2. The tensile properties as determined by NBS were very similar for all three castings.

Stress Corrosion Tests

Stressed and unstressed specimens were exposed in the marine atmosphere at Kure Beach, NC [80 foot (24 m) lot]. A system of weights and levers was used to obtain the desired stress on the flat stress corrosion specimens while a constant strain system was utilized to obtain the applied stress on the round test specimens. The specimens exposed in the marine atmosphere were exposed with an applied stress equivalent to 0, 50, and 75% of the yield strength of the alloy as determined by NBS. [60 Ksi (413.69 MPa)].

Results

Visual examination of the specimens after exposure in the marine atmosphere revealed the presence of heavy adherent gray corrosion products with considerable localized corrosion pits.

Both of the flat stress corrosion specimens which had been stressed at 75% of their yield strength and exposed in the marine environment failed after 37 and 249 days. A companion flat unstressed specimen which had been exposed for the same period of time was removed from exposure along with the failed sample. None of the round tensile specimens which had been exposed unstressed or stressed at 50 and 75% of the yield strength of the material had failed after 1160 days exposure in the marine atmosphere. In order to obtain an indication of the effect of corrosion attack on the alloy, a comparison was made of the tensile properties of unexposed specimens vs. those of specimens exposed to the marine environment. The values obtained

were then averaged and calculated as the percent loss in tensile strength due to exposure in the environment. The results, given in Table 3, indicate a small loss (from 1.6% to 11.2%) in tensile strength for the unfailed specimens as a result of exposure.

Metallography

Sections were obtained from areas at and adjacent to the fracture surfaces of the failed specimens for metallographic examination. In general, the microstructure for both specimens revealed a uniform grain size and the presence of intermetallic precipitates at the grain boundaries (Figure 3). Metallographic examination of areas at the fracture showed that the fracture surface was both intergranular and transgranular (Figure 4) with no evidence of secondary cracking.

Conclusions

The results obtained from stress corrosion tests on KO1-T7 aluminum alloy indicate that the alloy may be subject to stress corrosion. Examination of failed (37 and 249 days) specimens, which had been stressed by the constant load method, showed that the fractures were both transgranular and intergranular in nature. Other specimens stressed by the constant strain method had not failed after 1160 days of exposure. Both of the failures which occurred during exposure in the marine atmosphere were from specimens removed from the brackets. However, these were the only specimens exposed utilizing a constant load system. The alloy also exhibited a considerable amount of shallow surface pitting which was accompanied by heavy adherent gray corrosion products. Since the number of specimens tested was limited by the amount of material available, due consideration should be given to further testing of this alloy under constant load conditions at the same and lesser applied stresses.



Table 1.

Certification Test Data as Furnished by the Supplier

Casting Identification	Alloy	Heat No.	Yield Strength KSI(a)	Tensile Strength KSI(a)	Percent Elongation
C5T27377	K01-T7	ES 1	58.7	62.9	5.0
C740301-50	K01-T7	ES 1	62.4	66.7	4.0
Minimum Requirements	K01-T7		50.0	60.0	3.0

 $⁽a)_{1 \text{ KSI}} = 6.8948 \text{ MPa}.$

Table 2.

Results of Mechanical Tests Performed by NBS

Material	Tensile Strength Ksi(a)	Yield	Strength Ksi(a)
Bracket #1 C740301-50	65.6		60.8
Bracket #2 C740301-50	65.7		60.7
Flange CST 27377	62.4		59.8
KO1-T7 Minimum Requirements	60		50

 $⁽a)_{1 \text{ Ksi}} = 6.8948 \text{ MPa.}$


Table 3.

Average Loss in Tensile Strength from Exposure

Specimen Origin	Exposure time, Marine Atmosphere	Applied Stress during Exposure % of Yield Strength	Tensile Strength Ksi(a)	Yield Strength Ksi(a)	Percent Loss in Tensile Strength from Exposure
Brackets C740301-50	unexposed	-	65.6	60.6	-
0740301-30	37 2 4 9	0	64.6	57.1	1.6%
	37 249	75	failed	-	failed
Flange CST 27377	unexposed	-	62.4	59.8	-
631 2/3//	1160	0	56.8		9%
	1160	50	58.6	54.4	6.1%
	1160	75	55.4	52.2	11.2%

⁽a)_{1 Ksi} = 6.8948 MPa.








Figure 1. Drawing of bracket casting (C740301-50) showing origin of test specimens.




Figure 2. Drawing of flange casting (C5T27377) showing origin of test specimens.



Figure 3. Microstructure of KO1-T7 aluminum alloy. Etched, Keller's, etch. X50.





Figure 4. Fracture surface of failed KO1-T7 aluminum alloy specimen after exposure for 37 days. Fracture is both intergranular and transgranular. Etched, Keller's etch. X50.



35-114A (REV. 7-73)				
U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO. NBSIR 77-1288 (NASC-Navy)	2. Gov't Accession No.	3. Recipient'	s Accession No.
TITLE AND SUBTITLE Stress Corrosion B	ehavior of KO1-T7 Aluminum /	Alloy Castings	5. Publication	n Date
			6. Performing	Organization Code
AUTHOR(S) B.T. Sanderson an			8. Performing	Organ. Report No.
	ION NAME AND ADDRESS BUREAU OF STANDARDS		31204	
	NT OF COMMERCE N, D.C. 20234		11. Contract/	Grant No.
Naval Ai	me and Complete Address (Street, City, S r Systems Command nt of the Navy	tate, ZIP)	13. Type of R Covered	eport & Period
Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360			14. Sponsorin	g Agency Code
Tensile specifive percent of the environment. Spec	mens of KOl-T7 aluminum allo e alloy's yield strength and imens stressed by the consta -T7 aluminum alloy may be su	by were stressed I exposed in a m ant load method	at fifty a arine atmos failed afte	and seventy- sphere er 37 and
KEY WORDS (six to twelve name; separated by semicol properties; stress	entries; alphabetical order; capitalize on ons) Alloy; aluminum; atmosp	ly the first letter of the here; castings;	first key word to marine; me	unless a proper echanical
AVAILABILITY	Unlimited	19. SECURIT		21. NO. OF PAGE
∏ For Official Distribution	on. Do Not Release to NTIS	UNCL AS	SIFIED	12
Order From Sup. of Doc Washington, D.C. 2040	e., U.S. Government Printing Office 2, SD Cat. No. C13	20. SECURIO (THIS P		22. Price
Order From National To Springfield, Virginia 22	echnical Information Service (NTIS) 151	UNCLAS	SIFIED	\$3.50

