NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT NBS PROJECT NBS REPORT

1000-30-4801

December 1, 1953

2950

WATER PERMEABILITY OF A "MARBLESEAL" COATING

by

C. C. Fishburn

Report to

Office of the Chief of Engineers Department of the Army



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The publication, copr unless permission is o 25, D. C. Such perm cally propared if tha

Approved for public release by the Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) on October 9, 2015.

part, is prohibited idards, Washington irt has been specifiort for its owa use.



. 17 . 14 .

ξ :



TTP PA CABILITY OF A " A BLS. " WATER

AG

C. C. Fishburn

Abstract

The resistance to wind-driven rain of a cementitious coating of "Marblessesl," applied to a highly permeable cinderconcrete masonry test wall, was measured. The Carblesseal coating was applied by the sponsors using a stall spray gun. The coating was found to be permeable on each of two tests. As may be expected, a decrease in permeability was indicated on the second test. It is probable that a more water-resistant coating would have been obtained with the Marblesseal if it had been scrubbed into the surface of the wall.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tests of the water permeability of a coating of Larbleseal applied to a cinder-concrete masonry test wall were requested by the Office of the Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, Vashington, D. C. The request was made in a letter dated August 25, 1953, and signed by Mr. Max Barth, Acting Chief, Engineering Division, 411tary Construction, reference ENGL'.

2. Marble seal

The arblescal was a white comentitious powder passing a No. 100 sieve and manufactured by arblescal, Inc., Aiddlesboro, entucky. The results of an examination of THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O

16

A CONTRACTOR

La contrata de la con

Langaldon 13

the second second second states a second sec

2.

the Marbleseal, made for conformity with the requirments of rederal Specification TI-5-21, are listed and described belo.

- a) The farblescal contains no titanium diomide or zinc sulphide pigments, one of which is required.
- b) The percentage of carbonates, calculated as 602 is 23 more than the maximum specified (3 percent).
- c) The amount of portland coment in the powder was 43 percent by weight, less then the minimum specified (65 percent).

As indicated above, the Marblesesl does not conform with the requirements of Federal Specification TF-P-21, amen.ment 2, Type 1, Class A.

3. FAFONEY WALL SPECIALNS

A cinder-aggregate concrete masonry wall specimen about 50-in. high, 40-in. long and 8-in. thick was furnished by the National Bureau of Standards. The wall was numbered D-12 and its construction was the same as that of the concrete masonry test walls described in keport BMF 95. The wall was highly permeable.

4. APPLICATION OF THE COATING

A sample of the dry Marblessel powder was furnished and delivered to the National Bureau of Standards by the makers. Application of the coating was in charge of Mr. Franklin B. Flusher, Field Engineer, Marblesseal, Inc., who also prepared and applied the paint. Others of the sponsors who were present at the Bureau when the paint was applied were Mr. H. P. McNeer, Fresident of Marblesseal, Inc., and Ir. Harold W.Kemper, Lexington, Kentucky.

Five pounds of karbleseal was mixed with a stall quantity of water until the water repellency of the paint was broken. Additional water was then added to produce a creaty consistency. The paint was applied to the dampened face of the second second in the second second

- and and and the second of the second second
- And and a second s

and the second second balance of the second se

status which saling it includes that the

4

b

well -12 with a sail DeVilbiss (G. .) spray or navia capacity of about 1 quart. Coveral Hillings of the gun were required to apply the paint. 'ater, in small abount., was added to the paint before each filling of the un. the total amount of water used, by weight of dry powder, was 62 percent. The wall was not completely covered after all of the paint was applied and a second batch cont inin-2 1b of tarblescal was prepared. The percentage of water in the second batch of paint was 60. Considerable care was taken during application of the paint from both batches and the un was spain used over areas which had air at been painted. Although the shall size of the gun have have it desirable to do some "touching up" the application was too carefully ande to be fully representative of field practice. Ordinarily a Binks or a DeVilbiss 130 run with a tank and aritator with 45- to 60-10/in. air pressure would be used for pnoumatic application in the field. The total accunt of dry powder in the paint applied to the well was about 6.3 lb. This was equivalent to about h3 lb of Cry powder to place one sout on 100 sq ft of wall area. It was stated that the field applications averaged about 25 lb of powder per 100 sq ft of wall. However, the amount of paint applied to a wall is affected by and is somewhat dependent upon the roughness of the well surface.

5. CURING OF THE CLATING

The Marblescal coating on wall 5-12 was applied on September 14, 1953, and was wotted down twice on September 15 and once on September 16. The coating was again wetted on September 23 and 25. The water permeability of the coating was tested on September 30, and a second test was made on October 14. The wall was placed out-of-doors on October 23.

6. APP-ARABOT OF THE COATING

The coating of Marblescal was carefully examined on November 3, 11 days after placing the wall out-of-doors. The coating was hard, clean, white, and without dusting. It contained some pinholes and some small round indentations, both of which are characteristic of pneumatically applied comentitious coatings. The 'arblescal coating was also crazed and most of the crazing appeared on the surface after the wall was placed out-of-doors on October 23.

7. PARALILITY TICT ANT THE ALL

The water permeability tests simulated an exposure to a wind-driven rain. The test apparatus, test proclaure and the arbitrary system of rating permeability are described in reports BAS 52 and 95. The permeability tests are also referred to in a NBF report to the Office of Chief of ngin. r., dated January 25, 1951, titled "Tests of Proprietary and other Surface Materproofing for Maconry Walls."

a solution over the set of the solution of the set of the the old to make it's second of the second place of the place and out some of the philipping was block which we have all all house over total anosth all when much by subject of its ensure lated the private the second and a second second second as the second s second approve the left definition of the buildings over 1 where will be see other address which and share this and the distant increase why had the grow web logical and an internet with a second probability of the performance of the second state of the performance of the second state of the second s the opposite of the probability of the statement of the The second se and the set of the THE ATT AN ADD ADD INCOMES ADDRESS OF ADDRESS AND ADDR and and building define out of company, can be reasoned thread the second which they don't not be an ended on and shape

and a second and a second seco

server any to more than all

internet and an a systematic state

σ

The resistanc of the arbicsual to the penetration of wind-driven rain was measured by observing and comparing the water permeability of the test wall --12, become and aft r the wall was costed with the arbieseal. Two per eability tests were made on the costed wall. The data are listed in Table 1.

C. DISCUSSION OF TO THE SOLL.

The costing of Narbleseal on the face of wall D-12 greatly reduced the leakage of water through the walls. The small amount of water that penetrated the costing may have entered through pin holes in the costing. It is possible that a bruch spolication of the arbleseal, pplied with a scrubbing action with a stiff brush would have produced a tighter and more water resistant costing, without pin holes. Previous tests of concrate masonry walls costed with purtland coment water paints show that pheumatically spplied costings of paint contain minute pin holes and such costings are more permeable than are costings applied with a stiff bristle brush, see "Tests of the hesistance to main Penetration of Walls Luilt of Tasonry Units" by R. . . Sepeland and C. G. Carlson, Proc. m. Conc. Inst. 36, 159 (1940).

The water penetrating the coating of "arbleseal collector at the bottom of the inside of the wall and appeared on the back at points just above the flashing. "ince the water appeared in less than 3 hr and since the maximum rate of leakage was equal or greater than 0.05 liters per hr in both tests, the resistance of the Tarbleseal coating to the penetration of wind-driven rain was rated as "Foor," see Fable 1. Nonover, since the coating barely missed being rated as "Jeir" on the second test, the rating of "Poor" may possibly be considered to be unduly severe.

In ceneral, the tests of the Jaroleseal coating indicates the vulnerability to rain penetration of pin holes in spray-applied coatings. These tests do not show whether or not the arbleseal coating is more or less durable to weath ring exposure than are coatings of other comentitious paints.

The second secon

20 00 00 00 00 03			1			e Serg
			V. Py .	200	· · · · E	line Line
Lree damp one eay			the "the	hand J. /	۵.». ۲۰۰۰ - ۱	
LE L'ELE	ht cne day	LI turs/su	5	goord) goord)	ú/	1016 21 1016 21 1016 21 1016 21
TO STORES	1	112000412		and a	0.00	rter la
ndleated by:	Leek e/ from from	to an	2000	64. 6	() 87	Le un back e La un back e La cu back L La cu back L La cu back L La cu back e La cu back e
La Lutra and La La	ster D/ visible on back		ing and	0] 573	9 **	ther visib the cold at the cold at the cold at the cold at the cold vall fat t
72 1. 18 CO	Janp an Lack	a state	afara ad anaran Sala da M	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	2	Poor: Very - Fool the Tters/hr
condition of wall			Defere treat wit	witer treatment with with with	ietest arter treat. Lent	arbitary ratings are ar apprayed at the burner or reator then 0.05 chily less then 0.05
				05-1	10=31	

		1-2-1	
			1
	. 2		
			1
	7		

