1	DAVID W. DRATMAN Attorney at Law State Bar No. 78764 601 University Avenue, Suite 145 Sacramento, CA 95825-6738 Telephone: (916) 443-2000 Facsimile: (916) 443-0989 Email: dwdratman@aol.com		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	Attorney for Defendant		
7	GAL YIFRACH		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00046 WBS	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE TO SEPTEMBER 9, 2024, AT 9:00 A.M. AND FOR EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND ORDER	
13	V.		
14	AL YIFRACH, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendants, by and		
19	through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for a status conference before this Court on		
21	June 24, 2024.		
22	2. By this stipulation, the defendants now move to continue this status conference and set a		
23	status conference for September 9, 2024, and to exclude time between June 24, 2024, and September 9,		
24	2024 under Local Code T4.		
25	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:		
26	a) The government has produced 142,689 pages of numbered discovery to		
27	defendants, which includes copies of documents and recordings; and, the government has		
28	provided additional materials for production in discovery consisting of a number of electronic		

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

devices seized including phones, laptops and various external storage devices, as well as email accounts.

- b) Counsel for each defendant desires additional time to familiarize themselves with the case and review the voluminous discovery, discuss the case with their respective clients and the attorney for the United States, and otherwise prepare for trial, which includes consulting with one or more forensic experts, including a forensic accountant.
- c) Counsel for the defendants believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence; and, their respective litigation commitments.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 24, 2024, to September 9, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 10, 2024 PHILLIP A. TALBERT **United States Attorney**

/s/ ROGER YANG

27 28

Case 2:22-cr-00046-WBS Document 128 Filed 06/11/24 Page 3 of 3

ROGER YANG 1 **Assistant United States Attorney** 2 3 4 IT IS SO STIPULATED BY ALL DEFENDANTS. 5 Dated: June 10, 2024 /s/ DAVID W. DRATMAN DAVID W. DRATMAN 6 Counsel for Defendant GAL YIFRACH 7 8 Dated: June 10, 2024 /s/ MALCOLM S. SEGAL MALCOLM S. SEGAL 9 Counsel for Defendant SCHNEUR ZALMAN GETZEL ROSENFELD 10 11 Dated: June 10, 2024 /s/ DAVID E. KENNER 12 DAVID E. KENNER Counsel for Defendant 13 SHALOM IFRACH 14 Dated: June 10, 2024 /s/ VICTOR SHERMAN 15 VICTOR SHERMAN 16 Counsel for Defendant NICK SHKOLNIK 17 18 **ORDER** 19 The request to continue the June 24, 2024 status conference is **DENIED**. At that conference, 20 counsel shall be prepared to discuss whether the case as against defendant Rosenfeld will go forward on 21 the Superseding Information filed March 19, 2024, or a second superseding information as discussed at 22 the hearing on April 1, 2024, or on the original Indictment. 23 Dated: June 11, 2024 Shibt 24 WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26 27

STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

28