IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-01736-JLK

DALE SNYDER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ACORD CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER ON MOTION TO STRIKE

Kane, J.

Before me are Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Final Judgment (Doc. 491) and Defendants' Motion for an Extension of Time to Respond (Doc. 492). I agree with Defendants that Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. 481), which together with its various appendices and exhibits (see Docs. 485 & 486) totals over 1,000 pages, is absurdly prolix, and accordingly Defendants' Motion to Strike (Doc. 491) is **GRANTED** and Defendants' Motion for an Extension of Time (Doc. 492) is **DENIED AS MOOT**. Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike and its accompanying documents (Docs. 481, 485-488) are **STRICKEN**. Because Plaintiffs' counsel has ignored my repeated suggestion that briefs in this matter should be kept to a reasonable length, see, e.g., Doc. 432 at 5:5-10, should Plaintiffs choose to re-file their motion to alter or amend the judgment, it is **ORDERED** that their brief in support together with any supplemental documents shall not exceed TEN PAGES in length, with TEN PAGES for Defendants in response and **FIVE PAGES** for any reply.

Dated: March 2, 2016 s/ John L. Kane

Senior U.S. District Judge

1