

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION

CAROLINE DANETTE GRANT,

Plaintiff,

v.

ADECCO STAFFING; HELLO  
FRESH,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION FILE

NO. 1:22-cv-3745-TCB

**ORDER**

On May 19, 2023, Defendants Adecco Staffing and Hello Fresh served Plaintiff Caroline Danette Grant with interrogatories and a request for the production of documents. [26, 27]. Plaintiff did not respond to these discovery requests, despite the Defendant extending the deadline by an additional week. On August 1, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause as to why she has not responded to discovery requests. [30]. Plaintiff argued that she is not required to comply with discovery requests sent by the Defendants because it is her understanding that only the Court may contact her.

Plaintiff's argument fails as the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure authorizes parties to serve discovery requests upon other parties. *See generally* Rule 26(b). Specifically, Rule 33(a)(1) provides that "a party may serve on any other party . . . written interrogatories." And Rule 34(a)(1)(A) similarly provides that "a party may serve on any other party a request . . . to produce . . . any designated documents." Importantly, both rules require the opposing party to respond to discovery requests.<sup>1</sup>

Accordingly, Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED** to provide sworn answers to the Defendant's interrogatories and to produce the requested documents within **twenty-one days** of the entry date of this Order. Plaintiff must also timely comply with future discovery requests from Defendants, such as cooperating with efforts to schedule a deposition. Failure to timely comply with discovery requests will result in sanctions, potentially including dismissal of this case for failure to

---

<sup>1</sup> *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 33(b)(1)(A) ("The interrogatories must be answered . . . by the party to whom they are directed."); *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 34(b)(2)(A) ("The party to whom the request is directed must respond in writing . . .")

comply with a court order, LR 41.3(A)(2), or the imposition of attorney's fees, Rule 37(b)(2)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED this 22nd day of August, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Timothy C. Batten, Sr.", is written above a horizontal line.

---

Timothy C. Batten, Sr.  
Chief United States District Judge