

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTUR PUPKO,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:18-cr-00258-BLW

**REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

On September 25, 2019, Defendant Artur Pupko appeared before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge to enter a change of plea pursuant to a written plea agreement. (Dkt. 300.) The Defendant executed a waiver of the right to have the presiding United States District Judge take his change of plea. Thereafter, the Court explained to the Defendant the nature of the charges contained in the Superseding Indictment (Dkt. 210), the maximum penalties applicable, his Constitutional rights, the impact that the Sentencing Guidelines will have, and that the District Judge will not be bound by the agreement of the parties as to the penalty to be imposed.

The Court, having conducted the change of plea hearing and having inquired of the Defendant, counsel, and the government, finds there is a factual basis for the Defendant's guilty plea, that he entered it voluntarily and with full knowledge of the consequences, and that the plea should be accepted. The undersigned also ordered a pre-sentence investigation to be conducted and a report prepared by the United States

Probation Office.

RECOMMENDATION

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED:

- 1) The District Court accept Defendant Artur Pupko's plea of guilty to Counts 32, 33, and 34 of the Superseding Indictment (Dkt. 210),
- 2) The District Court order forfeiture consistent with Defendant Artur Pupko's admission to the Criminal Forfeiture allegation in the Superseding Indictment (Dkt. 210) and the Plea Agreement (Dkt. 300).
- 3) The District Court **GRANT**, at the appropriate time, the United States' motion to dismiss Counts 1, 5, 7, 8, 14, 16, 17, 20, and 35 of the Superseding Indictment (Dkt. 210) as to Defendant.

Written objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed within fourteen (14) days pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 72.1(b), or as a result of failing to do so, that party may waive the right to raise factual and/or legal objections to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

DATED: September 25, 2019



CANDY WAGAHOFF DALE
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE