IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) Case No. 2:16-cr-00019-BLW |
| v. |) REPORT AND) RECOMMENDATION |
| AUGUSTINE JACKSON |) |
| Defendant. |))) |

On October 18, 2016, Defendant appeared before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge to enter a change of plea pursuant to a written plea agreement.

Defendant executed a written waiver of the right to have the presiding United States

District Judge take her change of plea. Thereafter, the Court explained to Defendant the nature of the charges, the maximum penalties applicable, her constitutional rights, the effect of the Sentencing Guidelines, and that the District Judge would not be bound by any agreement of the parties as to the penalty to be imposed. Further, the undersigned ordered a pre-sentence report.

Having conducted the change of plea hearing and having inquired of Defendant and her counsel, and counsel for the United States, the Court concludes that there is a factual basis for Defendant's plea of guilty, and that it was entered voluntarily and with full knowledge of the consequences, and that the plea should be accepted. The undersigned also ordered a pre-sentence investigation to be conducted and a report prepared by the United States Probation Office.

RECOMMENDATION

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED:

- 1. The District Court accept Defendant's plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Superseding Information (Docket No. 203).
- 2. The District Court **GRANT**, at the appropriate time, the United States' motion to dismiss the Superseding Indictment (Docket No. 82) as to Defendant.
- 3. The District Court order forfeiture consistent with Defendant's admission to the Criminal Forfeiture allegation in the Superseding Information (Docket No. 203) and detailed at pages 4-12 of the Plea Agreement (Docket No. 198).

Written objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed within fourteen (14) days pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 72.1(b), or as a result of failing to do so, that party may waive the right to raise factual and/or legal objections to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

A TATES COUPAGE

DATED: October 18, 2016

Honorable Ronald E. Bush Chief U. S. Magistrate Judge