UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 3:24-cr-00195-AKB

v.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

CAMERON JAMES KITTELL

Defendant.

On February 19, 2025, Defendant CAMERON JAMES KITTELL appeared before the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge to enter a change of plea pursuant to a written plea agreement. (Dkt. 22). The Defendant executed a waiver of the right to have the presiding United States District Judge take his change of plea. Thereafter, the Court explained to the Defendant the nature of the charges contained in the Indictment (Dkt. 2), the maximum penalties applicable, his Constitutional rights, the impact that the Sentencing Guidelines will have, and that the District Judge will not be bound by the agreement of the parties as to the penalty to be imposed.

Having conducted the change of plea hearing and having inquired of the Defendant, defense counsel, and counsel for the government, the Court finds there is a factual basis for the Defendant's guilty plea, that it was entered it voluntarily and with full knowledge of the consequences, and that the plea should be accepted. The undersigned also ordered a pre-sentence investigation to be conducted, and a report prepared by the United States Probation Office.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1

RECOMMENDATION

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED:

- 1) The District Court accept Defendant CAMERON JAMES KITTELL's plea of guilty to Count ONE of the Indictment (Dkt. 2).
- The District Court order forfeiture consistent with Defendant CAMERON 2) JAMES KITTELL's admission to the Criminal Forfeiture allegation in the Indictment (Dkt. 2) and the Plea Agreement (Dkt. 22).
- 3) The District Court **GRANT**, at the appropriate time, the United States' motion to dismiss Counts TWO and THREE of the Indictment (Dkt. 2) as to Defendant CAMERON JAMES KITTELL.
 - The District Court detain Defendant pending sentencing. 4)

Written objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed within fourteen (14) days pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 72.1(b), or as a result of failing to do so, that party may waive the right to raise factual and/or legal objections to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

DATED: February 19, 2025

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE