

107<sup>TH</sup> CONGRESS  
2<sup>D</sup> SESSION

# S. 2955

To improve data collection and dissemination, treatment, and research relating to cancer, and for other purposes.

---

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

SEPTEMBER 18, 2002

Mr. BROWNBACK (for himself and Mr. GREGG) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

---

## A BILL

To improve data collection and dissemination, treatment, and research relating to cancer, and for other purposes.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3       **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

4       This Act may be cited as the “National Cancer Act  
5       of 2002”.

6       **SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

7       Congress makes the following findings:

8               (1) In 2002 an estimated 1,284,900 Americans  
9       will have been diagnosed with some form of cancer.

1           (2) In 2002 an estimated 555,500 Americans  
2 will die of cancer.

3           (3) In 2001 the National Institutes of Health  
4 estimated the overall cost of cancer at  
5 \$156,700,000,000.

6           (4) The National Cancer Institute estimates  
7 that with the expected growth and aging of the  
8 United States population, expenditures for cancer  
9 treatment will nearly double over the next decade,  
10 rising to just under \$100,000,000,000.

11          (5) In 2000, 62.6 percent of women over the  
12 age of 50 had received a mammogram in the pre-  
13 ceding year. In 2002 an estimated 205,000 Ameri-  
14 cans will be newly diagnosed with breast cancer, and  
15 40,000 will die of the disease.

16          (6) In 2000, 89 percent of women between the  
17 ages of 18 and 44 have received a pap test in the  
18 preceding 3 years. In 2002, an estimated 13,000  
19 women will be newly diagnosed with cancer of the  
20 uterine cervix, and 4,100 women will die of the dis-  
21 ease.

22          (7) In 1999, only 19.1 percent of adults 50 and  
23 older had received the recommended annual colon  
24 cancer screening within the preceding year, and only  
25 32.2 percent had received a colonoscopy or

1 sigmoidoscopy in the preceding 5 years. In 2002, an  
2 estimated 148,300 Americans will be diagnosed with  
3 cancer of the colon and rectum and 56,600 will die  
4 of the disease.

5 (8) Older Americans are the most likely to be  
6 diagnosed with cancer. In order to ensure high qual-  
7 ity cancer care for our Nation's seniors, medicare re-  
8 imbursements must reflect the true cost of treat-  
9 ment in every treatment setting. Medicare payments  
10 should accurately reflect the cost of drug and bio-  
11 logics as well as the cost of administering drugs and  
12 supportive care and therapies.

13 (9) Despite an aging population, the rates of  
14 new cancer cases and deaths declined in the United  
15 States between 1990 and 1997.

16 (10) Despite an aging population, death rates  
17 for the 4 most common cancer sites—lung,  
18 colorectal, breast, and prostate continue to drop.

19 (11) Despite an aging population, 1997 marked  
20 the first time the total number of cancer deaths did  
21 not rise from the previous year.

22 (12) In May 2001, Gleevec, the first in what is  
23 expected to be a number of cancer treatments which  
24 rely on molecular targeting, was approved for use by  
25 the Food and Drug Administration. Gleevec appears

1 to be effective in stopping the growth of deadly  
2 Chronic Myeloid Leukemia cells within 3 months of  
3 use.

4 **SEC. 3. SENSE OF THE SENATE.**

5 It is the sense of the Senate that the United States  
6 is at a point in history in which we must take the proper  
7 steps to reach the goal of making cancer survivorship the  
8 rule and cancer deaths rare by the year 2015.

9 **TITLE I—PUBLIC HEALTH**  
10 **PROVISIONS**

11 **SEC. 101. NATIONAL PROGRAM OF CANCER REGISTRIES.**

12 (a) STRATEGIC PLAN.—Part M of title III of the  
13 Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 280e et seq.) is  
14 amended by inserting after section 399B the following:

15 **“SEC. 399B-1. ENHANCING CANCER REGISTRIES AND PRE-**  
16 **PARING FOR THE FUTURE.**

17 “(a) STRATEGIC PLAN.—

18 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall develop  
19 a plan that outlines strategies by which the State  
20 cancer registries funded with grants under section  
21 399B and the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End  
22 Results program of the National Cancer Institute  
23 can share information to ensure more comprehensive  
24 cancer data.

1           “(2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the  
2           date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall  
3           submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a  
4           report—

5                   “(A) outlining the capabilities and data  
6                   collected by the State cancer registries funded  
7                   with grants under section 399B;

8                   “(B) outlining the capabilities and data  
9                   collected by the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and  
10                  End Results program of the National Cancer  
11                  Institute; and

12                  “(C) containing the plan described in para-  
13                  graph (1).

14           “(b) PREPARING CANCER REGISTRIES FOR THE FU-  
15           TURE.—

16                   “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall enter  
17                   into a contract with the General Accounting Office  
18                   for the completion of a study and report identifying  
19                   specific indicators that State cancer registries should  
20                   maintain and disseminate in order to ensure max-  
21                   imum usefulness for patients, advocates, health care  
22                   providers, and researchers.

23                   “(2) CONTENTS.—The study and report de-  
24                   scribed in paragraph (1) shall—

1           “(A) examine studies conducted by the Na-  
2           tional Cancer Institute and the American Soci-  
3           ety of Clinical Oncology;

4           “(B) describe the hardware and software  
5           needed to collect and disseminate necessary reg-  
6           istry data; and

7           “(C) examine strategies registries may  
8           take to ensure data collection from the greatest  
9           number of health care facilities possible.

10          “(3) REPORT.—Not later than 6 months after  
11          the date of enactment of this section the Secretary  
12          shall submit to Congress a report containing the re-  
13          sults of the General Accounting Office study author-  
14          ized under this section.”.

15   **SEC. 102. ENHANCING EXISTING SCREENING EFFORTS.**

16          (a) GRANT AND CONTRACT AUTHORITY OF  
17          STATES.—Section 1501(b)(2) of the Public Health Service  
18          Act (42 U.S.C. 300k(b)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

19                 “(2) CERTAIN APPLICATIONS.—

20                         “(A) STRATEGIES FOR COLORECTAL CAN-  
21                         CER SCREENING.—If any entity submits an ap-  
22                         plication to a State to receive an award of a  
23                         grant or contract pursuant to paragraph (1)  
24                         that includes strategies for colorectal cancer  
25                         screening and outreach, the State may give pri-

1 ority to the application submitted by that entity  
2 in any case in which the State determines that  
3 the quality of such application is equivalent to  
4 the quality of the application submitted by the  
5 other entities.

6 “(B) WOMEN DIAGNOSED WITH CANCER.—  
7 If any entity submits an application to a State  
8 to receive an award of a grant or contract pur-  
9 suant to paragraph (1) that includes strategies  
10 for the provision of treatment for uninsured  
11 women diagnosed with cancer discovered in the  
12 course of the screening, the State may give pri-  
13 ority to the application submitted by that entity  
14 in any case in which the State determines that  
15 the quality of such application is equivalent to  
16 the quality of the application submitted by the  
17 other entities.”.

18 (b) BREAST AND CERVICAL CANCER PROGRAM.—  
19 Section 1510(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42  
20 U.S.C. 300n-5(a)) is amended by striking “for each of  
21 the fiscal years 1995 through 2003.” and inserting “for  
22 each of the fiscal years 2003 through 2007.”.

23 (c) REPORT ON THE COMPREHENSIVE COLORECTAL  
24 CANCER INITIATIVE.—Not later than 6 months after the  
25 date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the Centers

1 for Disease Control and Prevention shall submit to the  
2 appropriate committees of Congress a report containing—

3 (1) an assessment of the success of the Com-  
4 prehensive Colorectal Cancer Initiative (within the  
5 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) in—

6 (A) increasing public awareness of  
7 colorectal cancer;

8 (B) increasing awareness of screening  
9 guidelines among health care providers;

10 (C) monitoring national colorectal cancer  
11 screening rates;

12 (D) promoting increased patient-provider  
13 communication about colorectal cancer screen-  
14 ing;

15 (E) supporting quantitative and qualitative  
16 research efforts; and

17 (F) providing funding to State programs  
18 to implement colorectal cancer priorities.

19 (2) recommendations about the resources need-  
20 ed by the Centers for Disease Control and Preven-  
21 tion in order to improve the areas described in para-  
22 graph (1).



1 **SEC. 103. ENHANCE PAIN MANAGEMENT AND PALLIATIVE**  
2 **CARE FOR CANCER PATIENTS.**

3 (a) PATIENT EDUCATION PROGRAM.—Part P of title  
4 III of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 280g et  
5 seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

6 **“SEC. 3990. PAIN MANAGEMENT AND PALLIATIVE CARE**  
7 **PROGRAM GRANTS AND STUDY.**

8 “(a) GRANTS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary is au-  
9 thorized to award grants to eligible entities to implement  
10 programs to educate patients and their families about the  
11 availability of effective medical techniques to reduce and  
12 prevent pain and suffering for those with cancer. Such  
13 programs shall focus on the entire course of cancer treat-  
14 ment and care.

15 “(b) APPLICATION.—An eligible entity desiring a  
16 grant under this section shall submit to the Secretary an  
17 application at such time, in such manner, and containing  
18 such information as the Secretary may require.

19 “(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
20 are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
21 such sums as may be necessary.

22 (b) PRACTITIONER EDUCATION PROGRAM.—Section  
23 414 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 285a-  
24 3) is amended by adding at the end the following:

25 “(d) REQUIREMENT.—A center described under sub-  
26 section (a) shall maintain a program for disseminating to

1 patients and research participants, as well as their care-  
2 givers, the latest information about pain and symptom  
3 management and palliative care in order to receive funding  
4 under this section.”.

5 (c) ELEVATING THE IMPORTANCE OF PAIN AND  
6 SYMPTOM MANAGEMENT THROUGHOUT THE NATION’S  
7 CANCER PROGRAMS.—

8 (1) NATIONAL CANCER PROGRAM.—Section 411  
9 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 285a)  
10 is amended—

11 (A) by striking “of (1) an expanded” and  
12 inserting the following: “of—  
13 “(1) an expanded”; and

14 (B) by striking “carcinogens” and all that  
15 follows and inserting the following:

16 “(2) pain and symptom management for cancer  
17 patients; and

18 “(3) the other programs and activities of the  
19 Institute.”.

20 (2) CANCER CONTROL PROGRAMS.—Section  
21 412(2) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C.  
22 285a–1(2)) is amended—

23 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking  
24 “and” at the end; and

25 (B) by adding at the end the following:

1           “(C) appropriate methods of pain and  
2           symptom management for individuals with can-  
3           cer, including end-of-life care, and”.

4           (3) SPECIAL AUTHORITIES OF THE DIREC-  
5           TOR.—Section 413(a)(2) of the Public Health Serv-  
6           ice Act (42 U.S.C. 285a–2(a)(2)) is amended—

7                   (A) in subparagraph (D) by striking “and”  
8                   at the end;

9                   (B) in subparagraph (E) by striking the  
10                  period and inserting “; and”; and

11                  (C) by adding at the end the following:

12                   “(F) assess and improve pain and symptom  
13                  management of cancer throughout the course of  
14                  treatment.”.

15           (4) BREAST AND GYNECOLOGICAL CANCERS.—  
16           Section 417 of the Public Health Service Act (42  
17           U.S.C. 285a–6) is amended—

18                   (A) in subsection (c)(1)—

19                           (i) in subparagraph (D), by striking  
20                           “and” at the end;

21                           (ii) in subparagraph (E), by striking  
22                           the period and inserting “; and”; and

23                           (iii) by inserting after subparagraph  
24                           (E) the following:

1           “(F) basic, clinical, and applied research  
2           concerning pain and symptom management.”;  
3           and

4           (B) in subsection (d)—

5                 (i) in paragraph (4), by striking  
6                 “and” at the end;

7                 (ii) in paragraph (5), by striking the  
8                 period and inserting “and;”; and

9                 (iii) by adding at the end the fol-  
10                lowing:

11               “(6) basic, clinical, and applied research con-  
12               cerning pain and symptom management.”.

13           (5) PROSTATE CANCER.—Section 417A(c)(1) of  
14           the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 285a-  
15           7(c)(1)) is amended—

16                 (A) in subparagraph (F), by striking  
17                 “and” at the end;

18                 (B) in subparagraph (G), by striking the  
19                 period and inserting “; and”; and

20                 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (G)  
21                 the following:

22                 “(H) basic and clinical research concerning  
23                 pain and symptom management.”.

1 **SEC. 104. SURVIVORSHIP RESEARCH PROGRAM.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subpart 1 of part C of title IV  
3 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 285 et seq.)  
4 is amended by adding at the end the following:

5 **“SEC. 417E. SURVIVORSHIP RESEARCH PROGRAM.**

6 “(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established, within  
7 the Institute, an Office on Cancer Survivorship (in this  
8 section referred to as the ‘Office’), which may be headed  
9 by an Associate Director, to implement and direct the ex-  
10 pansion and coordination of the activities of the Institute  
11 with respect to cancer survivorship research.

12 “(b) COLLABORATION AMONG AGENCIES.—In car-  
13 rying out the activities described in subsection (a), the Of-  
14 fice shall collaborate with other institutes, centers, and of-  
15 fices within the National Institutes of Health that are de-  
16 termined appropriate by the Office.

17 “(c) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date  
18 of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall prepare  
19 and submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a  
20 report providing a description of the survivorship activities  
21 of the Office and strategies for future activities.”.

22 (b) FUNDING.—Section 417B(d)(2) of the Public  
23 Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 285a–8(d)(2)) is  
24 amended—

25 (1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “and”  
26 after the semicolon;

1 (2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “each sub-  
2 sequent fiscal year.” and inserting “each fiscal year  
3 through 2002; and”; and

4 (3) by adding at the end the following:

5 “(D) 11.5 percent, in the case of fiscal  
6 year 2003 and 13 percent, in the case of fiscal  
7 year 2004 and each subsequent fiscal year, of  
8 which not less than 1.5 percent in fiscal year  
9 2003, 2 percent in fiscal year 2004, and 3 per-  
10 cent in fiscal year 2005 and each subsequent  
11 fiscal year shall be for the Office on Survivor-  
12 ship under section 417E.”.

## 13 **TITLE II—RESEARCH** 14 **PROVISIONS**

### 15 **SEC. 201. NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE.**

16 (a) OTHER TRANSACTIONS AUTHORITY.—Subpart 1  
17 of Part C of title IV of the Public Health Service Act (42  
18 U.S.C. 285 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the  
19 following:

#### 20 **“SEC. 417D. OTHER TRANSACTIONS AUTHORITY.**

21 “Notwithstanding any other provision of this subpart,  
22 the Director of the National Cancer Institute may co-fund  
23 grant projects with private entities for any purpose de-  
24 scribed in this subpart.”.

1 (b) NCI REPORT TO CONGRESS ON THE BYPASS  
2 BUDGET.—Section 413 of the Public Health Service Act  
3 (42 U.S.C. 285a–2) is amended—

4 (1) in subsection (b), by striking paragraph (9)  
5 and inserting the following:

6 “(9) notwithstanding section 405(a), shall pre-  
7 pare and submit, directly to the President for review  
8 and transmittal to the Committee on the Budget of  
9 the Senate and the Committee on the Budget of the  
10 House of Representatives, an annual budget esti-  
11 mate (including an estimate of the number and type  
12 of personnel needs for the Institute) for the National  
13 Cancer Institute program, after reasonable oppor-  
14 tunity for comment by the Secretary, the Director of  
15 NIH, the Institute’s advisory council, and the Na-  
16 tional Cancer Advisory Board.”; and

17 (2) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(c) The National Cancer Advisory Board shall ac-  
19 cept comments on the budget described in subsection  
20 (b)(9) from nongovernment organizations and shall com-  
21 pile significant suggestions into a report for the Director  
22 of the Institute pursuant to subsection (b)(9). The Direc-  
23 tor of the Institute shall respond, as appropriate, to such  
24 suggestions prior to submitting such budget.”.

1 (c) SENSE OF THE SENATE ON A CENTRAL INTER-  
2 NAL REVIEW BOARD.—It is the sense of the Senate that—

3 (1) the current procedure of sending 1 clinical  
4 trial through multiple local internal review boards  
5 may not be the most efficient method for the protec-  
6 tion of patients enrolled in the trial and may delay  
7 the process of bringing life saving treatment to can-  
8 cer patients;

9 (2) the National Cancer Institute should be  
10 commended for its work in centralizing the internal  
11 review board process; and

12 (3) the research community should continue to  
13 streamline the internal review board process in order  
14 to bring life saving treatments to patients as quickly  
15 as possible.

16 (d) PATIENT AND PROVIDER OUTREACH OPPORTU-  
17 NITIES WITH EXPERIMENTAL THERAPIES.—For the pur-  
18 pose of enhancing patient access to experimental thera-  
19 pies, the National Cancer Institute shall conduct the fol-  
20 lowing activities:

21 (1) Integrate, to the maximum extent prac-  
22 ticable, trials being conducted by private manufac-  
23 turers into the National Cancer Institute’s clinical  
24 trials online database. Such integration may require



1 specific awareness-raising and outreach activities by  
 2 the National Cancer Institute to private industry.

3 (2) Establish an education program which pro-  
 4 vides patients and providers with—

5 (A) information about how to access and  
 6 use the National Cancer Institute clinical trials  
 7 database online; and

8 (B) information about the Food and Drug  
 9 Administration process for approving the use of  
 10 drugs and biologics for a single patient.

## 11 **TITLE III—MEDICARE** 12 **PROVISIONS**

### 13 **SEC. 301. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING REIMBURSE-** 14 **MENT FOR ITEMS AND SERVICES USED IN** 15 **THE COURSE OF CANCER THERAPY.**

16 It is the sense of the Senate that—

17 (1) the medicare program under title XVIII of  
 18 the Social Security Act should neither over-reim-  
 19 burse nor under-reimburse for the cost of drugs and  
 20 biologics used in the course of cancer therapy;

21 (2) the medicare program should neither over-  
 22 reimburse nor under-reimburse for the skilled nurs-  
 23 ing services, supplies, and equipment that are essen-  
 24 tial to the delivery of high quality cancer care; and

1           (3) the goal of any change to medicare reim-  
2           bursement policy for cancer care should be in the in-  
3           terest of ensuring that medicare beneficiaries with  
4           cancer have access to the highest quality care in the  
5           greatest number of health care facilities available.

6 **SEC. 302. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING PAYMENT**  
7                           **RATE FOR DRUGS AND BIOLOGICALS UNDER**  
8                           **THE MEDICARE HOSPITAL OUTPATIENT DE-**  
9                           **PARTMENT PROSPECTIVE PAYMENT SYSTEM.**

10           (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:

11           (1) Payments for drugs and biologicals under  
12           the medicare hospital outpatient department pro-  
13           spective payment system under section 1833(t) of  
14           the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395l(t)) should  
15           be based on all of the costs of delivering outpatient  
16           pharmacy therapy (involving the drug or biological)  
17           in the outpatient hospital setting, including acquisi-  
18           tion, storage, handling, processing, quality control,  
19           disposal, and pharmacy overhead costs.

20           (2) The payment rates proposed by the Centers  
21           for Medicare & Medicaid Services, in the “Medicare  
22           Program; Changes to the Hospital Outpatient Pro-  
23           spective Payment System and Calendar Year 2003  
24           Payment Rates and Changes to Payment Suspension  
25           for Unified Cost Report”; Proposed Rule, 67 Fed.

1 Reg, 52092 et seq. (August 9, 2002), for most drugs  
2 and biologicals are based only on the estimated ac-  
3 quisition cost of the drug or biological and do not  
4 reflect other related costs.

5 (3) The methodology used by the Centers for  
6 Medicare & Medicaid Services to estimate such ac-  
7 quisition costs is flawed because the methodology—

8 (A) derives such estimates from what hos-  
9 pitals charged for individual products on pa-  
10 tient bills without appropriate adjustment for  
11 hospital charging practices; and

12 (B) relies on data that are several years  
13 old.

14 (4) The methodology described in paragraph (3)  
15 substantially underestimates the acquisition costs of  
16 newer, more expensive drugs and biologicals and this  
17 underestimation disproportionately affects drugs and  
18 biologicals used to treat cancer.

19 (5) Medicare beneficiary access may be jeopard-  
20 ized in the outpatient hospital setting for those  
21 drugs and biologicals for which medicare program  
22 payments are substantially below the costs of deliv-  
23 ery.

24 (6) The payment rates proposed for most drugs  
25 and biologicals under the medicare hospital out-

1 patient department prospective payment system for  
2 calendar year 2003 are less than the payment rates  
3 established for such drugs and biologicals in 2002,  
4 with the payment reductions exceeding 30 percent in  
5 most cases.

6 (7) The methodology used to develop the pay-  
7 ment rates in 2003 described in paragraph (6) pro-  
8 duces erratic and unreliable results, including—

9 (A) the payment rate for 1 product in-  
10 creasing 700 percent and the rates for many  
11 others exceeding 100 percent of their average  
12 wholesale price (AWP); and

13 (B) the payment rates for 9 drugs and  
14 biologicals used in cancer therapy experiencing  
15 rate reductions of between 50 and 90 percent.

16 (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the  
17 Senate that the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare  
18 & Medicaid Services should address the consequences of  
19 the proposed payments rates for drugs and biologicals in  
20 2003 under the medicare hospital outpatient department  
21 prospective payment system under section 1833(t) of the  
22 Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395l(t)) by either—

23 (1) revising the payment rates for drugs and  
24 biologicals under such system; or

1           (2) suspending the proposed rule establishing  
2           such payment rates and extending the period of data  
3           collection for the purposes of establishing a more ra-  
4           tional payment structure for drugs and biologicals  
5           under such system in the future.

6 **SEC. 303. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING COVERING**  
7                   **PALLIATIVE CARE THROUGHOUT CANCER**  
8                   **TREATMENT.**

9           (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:

10           (1) Serious chronic pain is one of the most  
11           widespread public health problems in the American  
12           adult population.

13           (2) Because so few federal research dollars are  
14           devoted to pain there are no exact figures, however,  
15           best estimates indicate that up to 75,000,000 Amer-  
16           icans suffer serious pain annually, 50,000,000 en-  
17           during serious chronic pain (pain lasting six months  
18           or longer), and 25,000,000 experiencing acute pain  
19           (from injuries, accidents, surgeries, etc.).

20           (3) The medicare and medicaid programs pay  
21           for pain medication when administered as part of  
22           routine acute, skilled nursing, hospice, or other spe-  
23           cialized health care benefits, such as doctor-adminis-  
24           tered infusion medication.

1           (4) Without coverage for self-administered pre-  
2           scription drugs to alleviate pain, many of the ap-  
3           proximately 1,500 people that die from cancer each  
4           day and the more than 9,000,000 cancer survivors  
5           may need to live without appropriate access to ade-  
6           quate pain care.

7           (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the Sense of the  
8           Senate that—

9           (1) patients experiencing pain should be identi-  
10          fied at the earliest detection of discomfort to best  
11          treat the condition before the pain becomes prohibi-  
12          tive and debilitating;

13          (2) early treatment of pain will improve clinical  
14          outcomes, quality of care and comfort, and ulti-  
15          mately improve the quality of life for cancer pa-  
16          tients;

17          (3) medicare beneficiaries experiencing pain,  
18          even at the end of life, are frequently under-treated  
19          for pain and other symptoms associated with cancer,  
20          in part because of the lack of an outpatient prescrip-  
21          tion drug benefit under the medicare program;

22          (4) the medicare program's approach to reim-  
23          bursement for those patients with intense pain  
24          should be modified to ensure access to technologies

1 and therapies for cancer pain patients well in ad-  
2 vance of qualifying for hospice care; and

3 (5) each head of an agency that is responsible  
4 for the operation a federal health care program  
5 should—

6 (A) review coverage under the program for  
7 effective pain prevention and management serv-  
8 ices, including outpatient prescription medica-  
9 tions; and

10 (B) submit to the Senate a report on such  
11 review by not later than December 31, 2003.

12 **SEC. 304. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING IMPROVING**  
13 **THE COVERAGE OF HOSPICE CARE.**

14 (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:

15 (1) While 23 percent of the medicare bene-  
16 ficiaries who died in 2000 received hospice care, 60  
17 percent of medicare beneficiaries who died in 2000  
18 of cancer received hospice care.

19 (2) By the time medicare hospice patients are  
20 exposed to a variety of pain management tools, it is  
21 often too late and the cancer has progressed beyond  
22 the point of lucid patient decision-making.

23 (3) The medicare hospice reimbursement struc-  
24 ture contains built-in disincentives to providing pal-  
25 liative therapies that have high early costs, even

1 when these therapies may become cost-effective after  
2 a certain period of time. Small hospices in particular  
3 are often unable to cover the costs of these treat-  
4 ments to palliate symptoms.

5 (4) Median lengths of stay in a hospice care  
6 program decreased from 26 days in 1992 to 19 days  
7 in 1998.

8 (5) In 2001,  $\frac{1}{2}$  the patients in hospice care  
9 programs were there for 3 weeks or less.

10 (6) A recent study of hospice patients found  
11 that 33 percent of patients die within 7 days of re-  
12 ceiving hospice care.

13 (7) Because of the requirement under the medi-  
14 care program that patients receive no curative ther-  
15 apy while receiving hospice care, the medicare hos-  
16 pice reimbursement structure contains built-in dis-  
17 incentives to entering hospice care programs and re-  
18 ceiving palliative therapies that could extend life and  
19 improve the quality of life for terminal patients.

20 (8) Recent studies published by Harvard Uni-  
21 versity and Medicare Payment Advisory Commission  
22 have suggested that medicare might have the ability  
23 to provide improved coverage for cancer pain pa-  
24 tients and realize a cost savings by modifying exist-



1 ing policy to create and utilize an outlier payment  
2 system.

3 (9) At the present time, the medicare program  
4 will reimburse physicians for consulting with pa-  
5 tients about end-of-life care. In practice, however, it  
6 is often a registered nurse or social worker who pro-  
7 vides patients with end-of life-care. These services  
8 are complex, sensitive and time consuming.

9 (10) Registered nurses and medical social work-  
10 ers with an expertise in palliative or hospice care are  
11 qualified to perform end-of-life services and are able  
12 to make home visits when necessary. Their services  
13 should be reimbursed under the medicare program.

14 (11) A payment source is needed for patients  
15 who require palliative care and who are terminally ill  
16 but do not meet the medicare hospice criteria or who  
17 still want to receive aggressive treatment.

18 (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the  
19 Senate that the Administrator of the Centers for Medicare  
20 & Medicaid Services should—

21 (1) restructure the hospice benefit under the  
22 medicare program for high cost outliers;

23 (2) increase medicare hospice care reimburse-  
24 ment for short stays;

1           (3) provide for reimbursement under the medi-  
2           care program for nurses and social workers with ex-  
3           pertise in hospice care for consultations and home  
4           visits provided to terminally ill patients who, for a  
5           variety of reasons, may not have elected access the  
6           hospice benefit;

7           (4) create a payment source for palliative care  
8           for terminally ill patients who do not elect hospice  
9           care or do not meet the medicare hospice benefit cri-  
10          teria;

11          (5) improve the medicare hospice benefit  
12          through approaches such as—

13                (A) increasing the reimbursement on the  
14                day of admission and the day of death, to offset  
15                the cost of late referrals;

16                (B) increasing the reimbursement rate for  
17                the last 7 days a patient spends on the benefit;  
18                and

19                (C) using a case-mix reimbursement rate  
20                rather than the flat-rate, four-category per  
21                diem benefit.

22 **SEC. 305. SENSE OF THE SENATE REGARDING THE COV-**  
23 **ERAGE OF ALL TREATMENTS FOR CANCER**  
24 **PATIENTS.**

25          (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate finds the following:

1           (1) While cancer treatments are often treated  
2           within the setting of a health care facility, many of  
3           the latest treatment advances afford patients the op-  
4           portunity to treat themselves at home.

5           (2) The medicare program often does not pro-  
6           vide for reimbursement for the most efficient and ef-  
7           fective treatments based on the fact that the treat-  
8           ments are self-injectable or taken orally.

9           (b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the  
10          Senate that—

11           (1) medicare patients should have access to the  
12           best treatment available;

13           (2) the lack of reimbursement for certain treat-  
14           ments can serve as a disincentive for researchers to  
15           investigate more efficient and effective treatments  
16           for elderly cancer patients; and

17           (3) in the event that a comprehensive out-  
18           patient prescription drug benefit under the medicare  
19           program is not enacted into law during the 107th  
20           Congress, the Senate should consider a targeted out-  
21           patient prescription medication benefit under the  
22           medicare program for cancer patients.

○