

Mr. ASHCROFT. Let's say we would take 20 additional minutes?

Mr. SPECTER. I suppose we then have 30 minutes. I discussed 1 hour equally divided with the Senator from North Dakota, so you would have 30 minutes and 20 minutes on the other side?

Mr. CONRAD. That will be acceptable if the understanding is this is "on or in relation to," any votes ordered for that period?

Mr. SPECTER. We would have two votes then on the two competing amendments: One on the Ashcroft amendment, and one on the Conrad amendment.

Mr. CONRAD. That would be on or in relation?

Mr. SPECTER. On or in relation.

Mr. ASHCROFT. Mr. President, I object and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Conrad amendment and the Ashcroft amendment each be considered amendments in the first degree; that there be 30 minutes for Senator CONRAD, 20 minutes for Senator ASHCROFT, and that there be votes on both of their amendments with no point of order being permitted, and that the time of the votes be determined later in the day by agreement of the leaders.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. REID. Reserving the right to object.

Mr. SPECTER. The Conrad amendment will be voted on first.

Mr. REID. I was talking to Senator CONRAD. I apologize.

Mr. SPECTER. The unanimous consent agreement provides that each amendment, the Conrad amendment and the Ashcroft amendment, be considered as amendments in the first degree; that the Conrad amendment be voted on first, that there be no points of order raised, that Senator CONRAD will have 30 minutes, and Senator ASHCROFT 20 minutes, and the time of the votes will be determined later in the day by agreement of the leaders.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, if the Senator will allow us to go into a quorum call for a minute, Senator CONRAD and I have a couple of things about which we want to talk. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. FITZGERALD). The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, just so nobody will get nervous, I want to talk about the schedule. I am working with Senator REID on a couple unanimous consent requests that we may offer later. But I wanted to talk about the progress being made and what our hopes are.

I realize this is a very big, very important bill—the Department of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Appropriations bill. It is important we get it done, and it is important we have a few minutes to think through critical amendments that are offered. We are in that process. I thank the managers for what they have been doing. I urge them to keep pushing forward. The number of amendments has been substantially reduced. The ones still pending are not easy amendments. But I think if we can keep focused, we can complete this very important appropriations bill at a reasonable hour today.

I urge my colleagues, when they have an amendment, when there is an amendment on both sides, that we find a way to accept them both or get a vote on both of them and let the Senate speak its will and then move on. I think that would be the best way to do it.

What I really want to comment on today about this bill, and others, is that there are Senators thinking we are going to finish tonight and there won't be votes tomorrow. Senator DASCHLE and I have been indicating for quite some time now that that is not going to happen. We have to complete this bill. I still would like to go to the Interior appropriations bill. But we also have a very important military construction appropriations bill with a title II that involves emergencies. That has to be completed and considered by the House Rules Committee, the House has to vote, and then it comes over here. That could be late this afternoon or tonight or tomorrow or later. If there are complications, it could take more time than that.

I assure everybody that we are going to be in session and voting tomorrow. I think that hoping we can wave a magic wand and miraculously complete this bill and the other measures by a reasonable time tonight is just not likely.

I wanted to say that now. Those who have planes booked for 10 o'clock tonight or 10 o'clock in the morning, you better start making other arrangements, unless you are willing to miss votes. Quite often, some Senators think that if enough of us leave, there won't be votes. That is not going to be the case this time. This work is too important. I urge my colleagues to help us get this very important work done in this critical week.

Mr. REID. If the Senator will yield, I say to my colleagues that I was here last night about 7 o'clock when the majority leader came to the floor. To say that he was upset is an understatement. I heard him clearly that there will be no more windows for the end of this session.

I also say to the leader that it would be a big help to those of us on the floor if we could shorten the time of the votes. We wasted tremendous time yesterday. We wasted at least 2½ hours on votes when people weren't here. We waited 20, 30 minutes for Senators on both sides. I believe that if a vote is completed within 15 or 18 minutes, we should go on to something else. If people miss a vote or two, everybody's record will be down a little bit, and it will be the same for everybody.

Mr. LOTT. Obviously, the Senator from Nevada is correct. We do allow these votes to drag on too long, and we should be prepared to cut them off after the 15 minutes and the 5-minute overtime. On both sides we try to be understanding, but the more we are understanding, the more it is abused by our colleagues. So, for today, I will work with Democrats and Republicans and be prepared to cut these votes off. It could save us a lot of time.

Let me say to the Senator from Nevada, we would not be making the progress we have made on this and other bills without his diligence, his presence on the floor, and the hard work he does. I appreciate that. Last night, even though I was disturbed about the timing because of commitments that have been made, we worked that out and we got a lot of good work done last night. I thank those who were involved.

—  
UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST—  
S. 2340

Mr. LOTT. I have a unanimous consent request I would like to propound now. I believe the Senators involved in this are on the floor. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate turn to the consideration of the NCAA gambling bill, S. 2340, and following the reporting of the bill by the clerk, the committee amendments be immediately agreed to.

I further ask consent that there be 4 hours of debate on the bill, to be equally divided in the usual form, and only relevant amendments be in order during the pendency of the bill.

Finally, I ask consent that following the conclusion of the time and the disposition of any amendments, the bill be advanced to third reading and passage occur, all without any intervening action or debate.

I know Senator REID will want to make some comments. This is an issue that has been pending for some time. We have tried to find a way to have it as an amendment on other bills. I know Senator BROWNBACK has been diligent and also very much interested in this matter, as are other Senators, including Senator MCCAIN.

Senator REID has indicated he would like to work with us on it. But I will let him speak for himself.

Part of what I am doing here is this: I made a commitment to the sponsors to try to find a way to consider this on some bill, or freestanding at some

point. In order to complete work on the Department of Defense authorization bill, now that we have worked through the disclosure issue, this issue is one we also need to find a way to address. That is why I am asking for this consent.

Mr. President, I submit that unanimous consent request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. REID. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I know the deepness of feeling of the Senator from Kansas, Mr. BROWNBACK. I have spoken to him personally. I understand how he feels about this issue. I also feel very strongly about this issue.

I am willing to work with the Republican leadership and my leader to try to work out some kind of freestanding bill so this matter can be fully debated. This is not an appropriate time to do it. I say respectfully to the Senator from Kansas and the majority leader that we simply can't do this now.

I have been here since Thursday on the Labor-HHS bill that is before us. I arrived home late last night, as everyone else did. We are trying to carve out amendments. This is just not an appropriate time to do it.

I say to my friend from Kansas that I respect how he feels about this. There are strong feelings on this issue. This is an issue which should be debated. At an appropriate time, we will do that. Therefore, I object.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, will the Senator from Nevada yield?

Mr. REID. I would be happy to yield.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

The majority leader has the floor.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, will the Senator withhold his objection?

Mr. REID. I would be happy to withhold. I withdraw my objection.

I also say this: Seeing the Senator from Massachusetts here floods my mind with the work that needs to be done in this Chamber. We need to introduce the minimum wage bill. We have the Patients' Bill of Rights and prescription drugs. We have things to do on education. In addition to my personal situation, I know the Senator from Massachusetts is concerned about those bills.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, if the Senator will yield for just a brief observation, as I understand the request of the majority leader, this does not include any request to bring back the reauthorization of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. Did the Senator from Nevada hear that clearly? I did not hear that clearly.

Mr. REID. That is true.

Mr. KENNEDY. That is not to be included.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I did not include that. But I would be happy to work up an agreement where we could bring that back and have germane amendments on the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, have an agreed-to list of amendments that are

germane, so we can deal with that important issue. I will be glad to work with Senator KENNEDY or anybody else to try to get that agreement.

Mr. BROWNBACK. Mr. President, if the majority leader will be willing to yield for a moment, I appreciate his offering this unanimous consent request. I note that we have considered a number of items on various bills—whether it has been items on prescription drugs or different items that have come forward.

This is one that has cleared through the committee by a strong vote of 13-2 with wide bipartisan support. The bill itself has broad bipartisan support across the country. It is an important issue. We are having a lot of difficulty with regard to our student athletes being involved in gambling themselves and referees in sporting events being involved in gambling. The NCAA and many of the sporting groups are saying this is a problem.

Bigger than all of that, the lead gateway for college students getting into addictive gambling is through sports wagering. What we are trying to deal with is the one place in the country where this remains a problem and where it remains legal.

I think we need to have a bill up and a vote.

I ask my colleague from Nevada—he has been so persistent on a number of different issues to bring up to the floor—when can we get this one up so we can have a set timeframe for debate? If the Senator from Nevada would like to have a long period of time, that is fine. I am willing to go as short as an hour equally divided. But can we get some idea of when we could do this?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, under the reservation, I will not reply to the substance of the statement made by my friend from Kansas, but there are merits on both sides of this legislation. I would be happy to work with leadership to find a time to bring this bill to the floor.

In the meantime, I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.

The Senator from Pennsylvania.

—  
THE DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR,  
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,  
AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED  
AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS,  
2001—Continued

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, I think we are now prepared to go ahead with the Ashcroft amendment and the Conrad amendment.

We propounded a unanimous consent before, but I will repeat it.

There will be two votes on amendments, each treated as a first-degree amendment. The first vote will be on the Conrad amendment in regular order. The second vote will be on the Ashcroft amendment. There will be no

points of order raised. Senator ASHCROFT will have 20 minutes because he already had time to speak. Senator CONRAD will have 30 minutes to speak.

I ask unanimous consent.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, the only addition I would like is that the two votes occur at 2 o'clock. We would be happy to have other amendments. Can we finish the debate on this? I know Senator LAUTENBERG, our ranking member of the Budget Committee, wishes to speak. Senator CONRAD wishes to speak on this matter. There are other Members who want to speak. I think it would be appropriate to lock in the time on this.

Mr. SPECTER. Mr. President, if I might respond, we want to come back to the Daschle amendment with the second-degree amendment. We want to come back to the Dorgan amendment. We have a Helms amendment. I urge that we defer these votes until later when we can have 10-minute votes. Perhaps we can get the majority leader to crack the whip, and, as the Senator from Nevada suggested, stay on the floor and limit them to 10 minutes, if we are going to finish this bill by mid-afternoon.

Mr. REID. There is no problem with that. I hope we do not vote before 2 o'clock on these matters.

Mr. SPECTER. We will not vote before 2 o'clock.

May we proceed, Mr. President?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I want to clarify: How much time will be available on the Ashcroft amendment?

Mr. SPECTER. Twenty minutes is requested.

Mr. ABRAHAM. I would only indicate that I know Senator DOMENICI wishes to speak on this issue as well.

Mr. SPECTER. Would the Senator like 30 minutes?

Mr. ABRAHAM. I think at least that much time.

Mr. SPECTER. We will take 30 minutes. It will save time in the long run.

Mr. REID. Now we have others who wish to speak. How long does Senator CONRAD wish to speak?

Mr. CONRAD. As long as it takes to persuade my colleagues to vote for it.

Mr. REID. As articulate as the Senator is, that should only take 10 minutes.

Mr. CONRAD. I need about 20 minutes.

Mr. REID. We should reserve 10 minutes for Senator LAUTENBERG.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I would like to be able to speak about 5 minutes, if possible.

Mr. SPECTER. Now we are up to 35 minutes.

Mr. President, the unanimous consent request is modified to 35 minutes.

Mr. REID. Now we are up to 55.

Mr. NICKLES. We want equal time. I insist on equal time.

Mr. SPECTER. We have already had a considerable amount of time.