THE WORST OF FRIENDS: OPEC AND G77 IN THE CLIMATE REGIME

(By Jon Barnett)

In the climate change negotiations the thirteen countries that are members of OPEC obstruct progress towards reducing emissions of greenhouse gases. Although these actions undermine sustainable development in developing countries, the larger Group of 77 (G-77) coalition nevertheless tacitly supports its OPEC members in the climate regime. This article explains the connection between OPEC's interests in oil exports and its inaction on climate change, and the divergence of these interests with those of the G-77. It argues that OPEC's influence within the G-77, and therefore the climate regime, stems from the desire to maintain unity within the G-77. This unity has and is likely to continue to cost the majority of developing countries in the form delayed assistance for adaptation, the possibility of inadequate reduction in emissions under the second commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol, and continued dependence on increasingly expensive oil imports.

STRIVING FOR NO: SAUDI ARABIA IN THE CLIMATE CHANGE REGIME

(By Joanna Depledge)

The international relations literature often assumes that negotiators in global regimes are actively seeking a collective agreement to the problem on the table. There are cases, however, where a delegation may instead he "striving for no," that is, participating with the aim of obstructing a deal. This article explores the challenges surrounding such cases of "obstructionism," using the example of Saudi Arabia in the climate change regime. It examines the evidence for diagnosing Saudi Arabia as an obstructionist in that regime, the delegation's negotiating tactics, strategies for addressing obstructionism, and finally the repercussions for both the climate change regime, and Saudi Arabia itself. In conclusion, the article considers whether Saudi Arabia may be moving beyond obstruction

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm RECOGNIZING~2009~TEKNE~AWARD} \\ {\rm WINNERS} \end{array}$

(Mr. PAULSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAULSEN. Madam Speaker, I rise to congratulate the recent winners from my congressional district of the 2009 Tekne Awards from the Minnesota High Tech Association.

In the 10th year of these awards, the Tekne Awards continue to acknowledge companies and individuals who have demonstrated superior technology advancement and leadership in Minnesota. Of the awards, I can proudly boast that 9 of the 14 winners are from my Third Congressional District.

On that note, I would like to recognize the following winners: Minnesota Thermal Science, SearchAmerica, Nonin Medical, Starkey Laboratories, Digital River, Access Genetics, XATA Corporation, and Laurie Toll from Maple Grove schools.

Madam Speaker, their accomplishments are proof positive that the spirit of American innovation and entrepreneurship is alive and well in Minnesota. I am proud to recognize these

Minnesota companies and individuals for their hard work, and I congratulate them on their 2009 Tekne Awards.

$\begin{array}{c} {\tt DEMOCRATS} \ {\tt COMPLAIN} \ {\tt BUT} \ {\tt DO} \\ {\tt NOTHING} \end{array}$

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. You know, I get a big kick, Madam Speaker, out of my colleague from Virginia when he comes down and starts talking about that we're not for clean energy and we're not for solving the problems of the environment when the Democrat Party will not do anything to allow us to drill in the ANWR, offshore on the Continental Shelf, and use natural gas, which is a clean-burning fuel. They won't allow nuclear energy in this country. They think that the nuclear energy problem is bigger than the environmental problem, when 75 percent of the energy created in France is nuclear energy in a very safe way.

So I get a big kick out of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle complaining about how we're not for clean energy and helping clean up the environment when they won't do a darn thing to move in that direction by using natural gas, drilling for it when we have a 400- to 500-year supply, and actually going ahead with nuclear development in this country. Nuclear energy is the answer. Clean-burning natural gas is the answer, but they won't go along with it, and yet they come down here and complain day after day after day.

TERRORISTS CONTINUE TO THREATEN STABILITY AND FAMILIES IN IRAQ

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Madam Speaker, yesterday, cowardly homicide bombers murdered over 100 people in two car bombs in Baghdad, the deadliest mass slaughter in 2 years. The enemies of freedom in Iraq show they intend to continue to kill innocent civilians to threaten stability in the region and American families.

President Obama correctly praised the courage and resilience of the Iraqi people and their determination to build strong institutions. Secretary of State Clinton made it clear that these terrorists would "not deter Iraqis from administering justice based on the rule of law and carrying out their legitimate responsibilities in governing Baghdad." And Prime Minister Maliki underlined the need to fight the enemy of Iraq and America, recognizing al Qaeda as perpetrators of this heinous atrocity.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September the 11th in the global war on terrorism. We appreciate the Kurdish Regional Gov-

ernment delegation, a dynamic part of Iraq, visiting Washington today.

AMERICAN SOLDIERS KILLED IN AFGHANISTAN

(Mr. CHAFFETZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHAFFETZ. Madam Speaker, today, we have learned of the passing of two of America's finest soldiers when an improvised explosive device exploded in Afghanistan. Killed was Private First Class Kimble Han or Lehi, Utah, as well as Eric Lembke of Tampa Bay, Florida.

Madam Speaker, I hope we will all pause to give thanks to the men and women who have served in our Armed Forces and that we remember their families and friends.

May God bless these fine soldiers, and may God bless the United States of America.

GOVERNMENT OBESITY

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Madam Speaker, the first stimulus bill was 1,000 pages long and cost \$1 trillion. We were, in essence, told, Pass this or America is doomed. It was railroaded through before anyone could even read the bill. Now we know why. It wasn't about creating jobs; it was about more government spending. Since then, 3 million more people have lost their jobs, over 15 million people are unemployed, and the unemployment rate just keeps growing.

And so the government's answer is, if at first you don't succeed, try, try again. So the government this year is going to have a second stimulus bill. The Federal Government has already spent more money this year than all previous years in American history combined.

The American people have had about all the big government spending they can stand. With that kind of government success, it's time to try something else, like cut taxes instead of cutting jobs.

We cannot spend, borrow, and tax our way into more jobs or prosperity; big oppressive government just has proved it. Government needs a health care plan for compulsive, addictive government obesity.

And that's just the way it is.

IT IS TIME TO PASS HEALTH CARE REFORM

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Madam Speaker, this very important health care debate couldn't be more timely. H1N1 is raging across America. Many questions are being asked. The Federal Government is working hard to produce the vaccine necessary to protect American families. We find that one in five children are impacted by H1N1.

In Houston, we held a congressional briefing with a number of my colleagues and we saw firsthand the importance of a public-private partnership, i.e., a public option in health care reform. We saw the need for county governments and city governments and clinics working with private pediatricians to help stem the tide of H1N1.

This is a time now to pass health care reform. This is also a time to stay focused on providing the information and, of course, the support in protecting America against the surge, if you will, or the pandemic of H1N1.

Health care is a priority, and we must pass health care reform and focus on working with our local governments and State governments to protect our children in America.

PAY ATTENTION TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

(Mr. DANIEL E. LUNGREN of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DANIEL E. LUNGREN of California. Madam Speaker, recently the nonpartisan Galen Institute commissioned a national survey on the issue of health care; very interesting results.

Seventy-one percent of the American people are opposed to the requirement that all Americans must purchase health insurance or pay a penalty, which is part of the plan that is before this House.

Fifty-eight percent of the American people oppose increasing taxes on the working and middle class in order to help cover the uninsured, most of them strongly opposing that.

And, Madam Speaker, 71 percent of the American people are concerned that their own health insurance will change if Congress passes health reform as proposed in this Congress.

Madam Speaker, isn't it about time we paid attention to the American people instead of ignoring them?

□ 1930

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. CUMMINGS addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

A TALE OF TWO COUNTRIES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentle-woman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Madam Speaker, Fortune magazine reported on October 20, 2009, a title story, "Big Banks, Take Your Money and Run."

The New York Times today reported, "As Wall Street has returned to business as usual, industry power has become even more concentrated among relatively few firms."

A handful of mammoth banks has brought our Nation, our credit system and our economy to its knees. Some call them "too big to fail." One must ask:

Why should a few big players have so much power that they can force tax-payer bailouts for themselves, can shut off credit and can hold the reins of our economy in their hands?

A handful of firms are gobbling up our money and are killing off smaller banking institutions. Congress and this administration are just letting them do it. My friends, such concentration of financial power is dangerous to our country.

A few Wall Street firms are on the fast track to controlling all banking in this country. Rather than address this by breaking up these banks, some in Washington say they just want to regulate them better. If you believe that, you haven't paid any attention over this last year.

The biggest banks are getting bigger. In fact, a year ago, the biggest ones controlled 30 percent of the deposits in the country, according to Fortune magazine. Now they're up to 37 percent, and they're growing even faster. Here are their names: Bank of America, Wells Fargo, JPMorgan Chase, Citigroup, and PNC. PNC practically has price control power over western Pennsylvania and eastern Ohio right now.

These firms have already shown us that regulations mean nothing to them. They invent loopholes before Washington has even thought of them. Why wouldn't they again? Not all of their activities were by the book either. Fraud is rampant. Yet we cannot even get a grip on fraud because there are not enough FBI agents to look into mortgage, corporate and securities fraud. We need 1,000 FBI agents, not a few hundred, to untangle what has really been going on.

Americans have a right to be angry about being cheated out of their money, their homes and their jobs; but how long will Congress and the administration tiptoe around the power grab? Wall Street goes right on, seizing all they can get their hands on, and they are holding onto the money so tightly they're not lending it. They're buying up one another and the smaller banks, rewarding themselves quite handsomely.

There is a clear solution: Break them up. It's overdue. The Governor of the

Bank of England says to break them up. Why not? Why are we protecting Wall Street's bad boys?

Another terrible precedent: rewarding more hazard rather than preventing it. We've been there before, and look where it got us now. This brings to mind Charles Dickens' 19th-century English masterpiece, "A Tale of Two Cities," except this is the United States, and it is the 21st century, and it is a tale not of two cities but of two countries.

There is one country where the giant banks are making so much money that they are setting aside enough to pay each worker in their investment banking division a bonus of \$353,834. That country is Wall Street. The other country, where I come from—Toledo, Ohio and places like it—is where the median household annual income is not even one-tenth of what they get as bonuses. Our median income is \$35,216. That's not even one-tenth as much as JPMorgan Chase is setting aside just for bonuses for its investment banking employees.

In one country, banks make themselves too big to fail. They privatize their profits and they socialize the losses. In the other country, which I represent, families, which are too small to matter, lose their jobs to globalization and their homes to foreclosure.

In the other country, where I live, the unemployment rate exceeds 13 percent. Housing values have fallen more than 10 percent in a single year, and foreclosures are up 94 percent. The mortgage workouts Congress promised with all of those bills that were rushed through here are just an illusion. They're not happening.

There is something really wrong with this picture. There is something really wrong with our economy.

Even one of the Wall Street analysts picked up on it. He was quoted by the AP as saying, "Wall Street is picking up quite smartly while Main Street continues to suffer." Do you mean someone up there has finally noticed?

Madam Speaker, there is a solution here: Break them up. It's long overdue.

[From the New York Times, Oct. 26, 2009] TRYING TO REIN IN "TOO BIG TO FAIL" INSTITUTIONS

(By Stephen LaBaton)

Washington.—Congress and the Obama administration are about to take up one of the most fundamental issues stemming from the near collapse of the financial system last year—how to deal with institutions that are so big that the government has no choice but to rescue them when they get in trouble. A senior administration official said on

A senior administration official said on Sunday that after extensive consultations with Treasury Department officials, Representative Barney Frank, the chairman of the House Financial Services Committee, would introduce legislation as early as this week. The measure would make it easier for the government to seize control of troubled financial institutions, throw out management, wipe out the shareholders and change the terms of existing loans held by the institution.

The official said the Treasury secretary, Timothy F. Geithner, was planning to endorse the changes in testimony before the