

I urge my colleagues to oppose this harmful legislation.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Speaker, I rise on behalf of the millions of Americans, many of whom are in my Congressional district, who through the passage of President Obama's Affordable Care Act, have for the first time been given more freedom and control over their health care.

Before the passage of this law nearly 40 percent of my constituents were uninsured.

Now I hear from young adults who are grateful to be able to remain on their parent's insurance plans until age 26.

I hear relief from seniors who once lived in fear of not being able to afford their medication once they reached the donut hole.

I hear from struggling families who are thankful their child with a preexisting condition can be part of new high risk pool insurance.

And I hear from those facing serious illness how relieved and grateful they are their insurers can no longer drop them when they need coverage the most.

The Affordable Care Act is working—I urge my colleagues to vote “no” on this resolution.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 218, the concurrent resolution is considered read and the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

CORRECTING THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 1473

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 218, I call up the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 36) directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1473, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 36

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That, in the enrollment of the bill (H.R. 1473) making appropriations for the Department of Defense and the other departments and agencies of the Government for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2011, and for other purposes, the Clerk of the House of Representatives shall make the following correction: At the end of title VIII of division B, insert the following new section: “SEC. 1864. None of the funds made available by this Act may be made available for any purpose to Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. or any affiliate of Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 218, the gen-

tleman from Mississippi (Mr. NUNNELEE) and the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO) each will control 10 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Mississippi.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on House Concurrent Resolution 36.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

There was no objection.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 2 minutes.

This resolution would deny funding to Planned Parenthood. It's morally wrong to have taxpayer dollars from my constituents in Mississippi, or from any other State, go towards organizations that provide abortions. Since 1977 Planned Parenthood has assisted in aborting the lives of over 5 million children.

This resolution before the House is simple and straightforward.

Now, there will be those who frame the resolution as a debate over denying health care benefits for women. This isn't the case. In fact, in this resolution not one dime of women's health or family health planning funding is reduced. It simply says those dollars cannot go to Planned Parenthood.

This is an organization that has protected those who prey on our children and has protected those who rape our granddaughters. Planned Parenthood holds itself to be above the law by ignoring mandatory reporting requirements, by skirting parental consent, by aiding and abetting child trafficking. They put quick and secret abortions ahead of the welfare of victimized young girls. And it has to stop.

Those who oppose this resolution are enabling them.

I refuse to reach into the pockets of our taxpayers to fund this sort of activity. I have always viewed these young women as much victims as the unborn child, and I want to go after those corrupt and immoral businesses that exploit them.

We did a pretty good job of running them out of the State of Mississippi. In fact, in November Mississippi will have on its ballot an initiative that defines personhood, and over 106,000 Mississippians put their signatures on the initiative to get this on the ballot. And Planned Parenthood is a political organization and is funding the opposition to this ballot.

Bottom line: We need to deny funding to those agencies that support abortions, and this resolution will do that.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. I yield myself 2 minutes.

I rise in opposition to this concurrent resolution. It has nothing to do with the budget and everything to do with ideology.

This is an attempt to turn back the clock on women's health and basic rights. The majority wants to impose their traditional view of a woman's role and take us back to a day when family planning was not available. With this resolution, the majority aims to exclude one specific health care provider, Planned Parenthood, from all Federal resources. This will needlessly put lives in danger.

Planned Parenthood carries out millions of lifesaving preventative and primary care services every year. They deliver immunizations, routine gynecological exams, nearly 1 million screenings for cervical cancer, 830,000 breast exams, and nearly 4 million tests and treatments for sexually transmitted infections like HIV every single year. If this resolution passes, all of these services would be lost.

Seventy-five percent of their more than 3 million patients live at or below 150 percent of the poverty level, make less than \$33,000 for a family of four. One of every five women in America has gone to Planned Parenthood for access to health care. Sixty percent of these women consider Planned Parenthood their main source of care. And, in fact, even the number of men Planned Parenthood serves has doubled over the past decade. All of these women and men would lose access to these services if this should pass.

This resolution guts a primary source of care for millions of American families. We all know this has nothing to do with Federal funding of abortion. Federal funds are already banned from going towards abortion services under the Hyde amendment.

We should not be playing political games with women's lives. I urge my colleagues to oppose this dangerous resolution and to stand for women's health and, above all, to trust women to make the right decisions.

Mr. DICKS. Will the gentlelady yield?

Ms. DELAURO. I yield to the gentleman from Washington.

Mr. DICKS. I rise in very strong support of the gentlelady's position on Planned Parenthood and urge a “no” vote on this current resolution.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlelady from Tennessee, the principal sponsor of the bill, Mrs. BLACK.

Mrs. BLACK. Mr. Speaker, as a nurse for over 40 years, I have spent my entire career protecting life. And those who need the greatest protection are those who have the least voice, that is, children born and unborn.

□ 1540

Now as a Member of Congress, I will continue to fight for the rights of the unborn through this legislation we have here today. And today, I am here as a sponsor of this resolution to ensure that no Federal funds are used for either the promotion or the performance of abortions.

There are people around who would lead you to believe that this bill is

about Republicans wanting to deny women access to preventative care, but they are using scare tactics and their lies are distracting you from the real facts. As a matter of fact, Title X funds which fund these types of preventative care for women will continue to fund those organizations and agencies that provide preventative care but do not promote or provide abortion services. What my colleagues and I are here doing today is standing up and saying we do not support Federal funding of any organization—any organization—that promotes or performs abortions.

There is an urgent need to stop taxpayer dollars from funding abortions. And the Republican majority in the House has already made clear our commitment to ending the funding for Planned Parenthood, which is, by the way, America's largest abortion provider. The Senate has ducked this legislation and this important discussion for this legislation for too long. It's time that the Senators debate this in the open, as we have here in the House in H.R. 1, and take a vote to end taxpayer funding of Planned Parenthood.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the Democratic leader, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. PELOSI).

Ms. PELOSI. I thank the gentlelady for yielding and for her forceful leadership in promoting women's health.

Mr. Speaker and my colleagues, when I was in high school—a long time ago—I participated in an extemporaneous debate contest. One of the contestants there, a friend of mine, drew from the bowl a slip that said, “Do women think?” “Do women think?” Now, this was a long time ago, but even then we thought that was a startling question, “Do women think?” The young lady who drew it—she was really a girl in high school—spoke with great grace and strength about women and won the debate, which we hope to do today.

I hadn't thought of that debate for a long time, but it came rushing down on me as I heard our Republican colleagues put forth their cuts on women's health, especially eliminating funding for Planned Parenthood. The arguments which the Republicans have put forth sound like the same question of a decade ago, do women think? It's an assault on the judgment of women. It bespeaks a lack of respect for women to determine the size and the timing of their families.

It's clear that Republicans do not support family planning—it's hard to understand, but it's clear that they don't—and have used debate on this bill to spread misinformation about the critical work that Planned Parenthood does on behalf of America's women every day. So let us be clear, Planned Parenthood health centers currently provide preventive services to millions of women in need of health care, including the provision of contraception, cancer screening, breast exams, and HIV testing.

Further, this debate is not about abortion. That is because Federal fund-

ing for abortion is already prohibited. That is the law of the land. This debate is about women's health.

Every year, Planned Parenthood health centers provide the following for women's health: Contraception to nearly 2.5 million patients; nearly 1 million pap tests identifying about 93,000 women at risk for developing cervical cancer; 830,000 breast exams, helping alert patients to possible cancers. That is why cutting off Federal funding for Planned Parenthood would have a devastating impact on women's health across the country.

Indeed, more than 90 percent of the health care provided by Planned Parenthood is preventive care. For a majority of the women who use Planned Parenthood health centers, the centers are the primary source of receiving health care services. Elimination of funding means that these women do not have health care of any kind.

Today's legislation—which has no chance of passing the Senate and becoming law, thank God—is just part of the Republican agenda that is the most comprehensive and radical assault on women's health and reproductive freedom in our lifetime. And that's saying something.

Further, I point out that today on the floor of this House, this is the 100th day of the Republicans having the majority, here we are again debating legislation that has nothing to do with the number one priority of the American people: creating jobs. Indeed, after 100 days, the Republicans have not created one job and have not offered a jobs agenda and are instead on the march against women's health and to end Medicare in order to give tax breaks to Big Oil and millionaires.

Some Republicans say that we're here because we did not pass a bill last year. It was shocking to hear even some members of the Appropriations Committee—who should know better—on the Republican side say that. But indeed we did. It was held up by Republicans in the Senate. We passed it in the House without one Republican vote, the omnibus bill, but it was held up by the Republicans in the Senate. And in that bill we cut \$41 billion from the President's budget.

So today when I hear our colleagues say we are cutting \$78 billion—\$37.5 today. The other \$41 billion, which is the largest amount, was cut by the Democrats without one Republican vote at the end of last year.

Today, in this latest partisan maneuver, Republicans are perpetrating an attack on the health of women across the country, and I rise in strong opposition to their efforts. We must all stand strong against that agenda against women's health. We must all continue to work to create a healthier America. And that is why I urge a “yes” to women's judgment, a “yes” to respect their decision on the size and timing of their families, and a “no” for this vote, which is an attack on women's health.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the other principal sponsor of this bill, the gentlelady from Alabama (Mrs. ROBY).

(Mrs. ROBY asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. ROBY. Mr. Speaker, it is an honor today to stand on the House floor as one of the sponsors of House Concurrent Resolution 36.

Since coming to Congress, I have come to the floor several times to talk about my stance on abortion and the need to defund Planned Parenthood, and I am pleased to be a part of the lead on this important issue today.

Mr. Speaker, I am unapologetically pro-life and will continue to do what is necessary to protect the lives of the unborn. Every 2 minutes, a life is lost because of an abortion. That adds up to 3,300 lives a day or 1.2 million a year.

I believe I have an obligation to do everything I can to fight for the unborn and to prevent taxpayer money from funding abortions. Therefore, I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of the piece of legislation in front of us today. It would prevent Federal funds in H.R. 1473 from going to Planned Parenthood, an organization that provides more abortions than any other organization in the United States.

About one of every four U.S. abortions is performed at a Planned Parenthood location or one of their affiliates. Data shows that since 1970, Planned Parenthood has performed at least 5.3 million abortions, and that includes over 332,000 abortions in 2009 alone. Planned Parenthood has made plain the centrality of abortion to its mission, mandating that every affiliate have at least one clinic performing abortions within the next 2 years.

My colleagues opposed to this piece of legislation will claim that the money that Planned Parenthood has been receiving is not used for abortion. I disagree. Money is fungible. By taking away Federal funds from Planned Parenthood, they will have to spend more of their private money on basic services and overhead costs rather than diverting those funds toward abortions.

From 2000 to 2009, Planned Parenthood saw an 80 percent increase in taxpayer funding that resulted in a 69 percent increase in the number of abortions and a 61 percent decrease in the number of adoption referrals.

I urge my colleagues to vote “yes” on preventing Planned Parenthood access to Federal funds in fiscal year 2011.

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. LOWEY).

□ 1550

Mrs. LOWEY. I rise in strong opposition to the resolution. The right wing's failed radical attempt to block funding for Planned Parenthood has now devolved to procedural games instead of a renewed focus on creating jobs. Federal law prohibits Federal dollars for abortion, and family planning saves taxpayers nearly \$4 for every \$1 spent.

If Republicans were serious about deficit reduction, they would increase these investments instead of demonizing those who provide affordable access to Pap tests, breast exams, routine gynecological exams, flu vaccinations, smoking cessation services, cholesterol screening, contraceptives, and all of the other services that Planned Parenthood provides.

Vote "no" on this assault on women's health.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PENCE).

(Mr. PENCE asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PENCE. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I believe ending an innocent unborn human life is morally wrong. I also believe it's morally wrong to take the taxpayer dollars of millions of pro-life Americans and use them to subsidize the largest abortion provider in America. Today Congress will vote to do something about that.

Planned Parenthood is the largest abortion provider in America. As this chart shows, in 2009 Planned Parenthood made 977 adoption referrals, had 7,021 prenatal care counseling sessions, and performed 332,278 abortions. During that time, Planned Parenthood received \$363.2 million in taxpayer money. Planned Parenthood received \$1 million a day and performed about a thousand abortions a day.

H. Con. Res. 36 will only put an end to taxpayer subsidy of Planned Parenthood. Despite the hyperbolic rhetoric of the left, this resolution will not cut one penny from Title X women's health services. It merely denies funds to the largest abortion provider in the land.

I want to thank the leadership of Speaker BOEHNER. I want to urge my colleagues to stand with all of us, to stand for life, to stand for taxpayers. Let's end public funding of the largest abortion provider in America once and for all.

Ms. DELAURO. I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Colorado (Ms. DEGETTE).

Ms. DEGETTE. Mr. Speaker, I don't know how many times this Republican leadership is going to make the House take the same vote again and again to deny women the basic health care they need. But repeating these votes just exposes the extreme agenda that the American people didn't ask for and that they don't want.

Continuing to debate defunding women's health care clinics like Planned Parenthood is not getting less offensive; it's getting more offensive every day. And the American public won't stand for this extreme agenda.

Not one Federal dollar goes to fund abortions—not by Planned Parenthood, not by anybody.

The last speaker was just plain wrong when he said this resolution would not reduce money for women's health. It would cut millions of dollars for women's health.

Let me tell you about a young woman from my district in Denver, Colorado. She hasn't ever had health insurance, but she took responsibility for her own health care, and she had her first annual exam and Pap smear at Planned Parenthood when she was 18 years old. Now she's pregnant and she depends on Planned Parenthood for her prenatal care so she and her baby can be healthy. Planned Parenthood is the only health care provider that she's ever had.

Vote "no" on this extreme resolution.

Mr. NUNNELEE. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH).

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Thank you very much for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, in its slick TV ads and PR blitz, the multi-billion-dollar-a-year abortion giant Planned Parenthood appears to be hiding something.

Could it be that they're hiding the dismaying fact that just one organization—Planned Parenthood—performs over 25 percent of all abortions in America? No other chain of abortion mills even comes close.

Or is it the fact that 97 percent of all of the so-called services rendered to a woman with child end in an abortion, or the fact that since 1977 Planned Parenthood has deliberately caused the brutal death of well over 5.4 million babies—a staggering loss of child life? And in 2009 alone, 332,278 babies were killed in Planned Parenthood clinics.

There is no organization in America, Mr. Speaker, perhaps even on Earth, that stabs, dismembers, decapitates, or chemically poisons more unborn children to death than Planned Parenthood. And that's the reality of what abortion does to a child.

For the innocent and perhaps inconvenient child in the womb, a Planned Parenthood clinic is a house of horrors, a place where abortion has brutally destroyed children and deceived and hurt their mothers.

Vote for the resolution.

Ms. DELAURO. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. RYAN).

Mr. RYAN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, this is about prevention. This is about women's health. And this is about making sure that we reduce the need for abortion in the United States of America.

And how we got so illogical to think that if we could give poor and middle class women access to birth control, that somehow is going to increase the abortion rates in the United States is beyond me.

We see our friends time and time again talk about respecting life, and I see in many instances on the other side of the aisle, that ends once the baby is actually born.

Respecting life means early childhood health care. It means affordable health care for families. Those are life issues. Early childhood education, Head Start, Pell Grants. Those are about making sure that citizens in the

United States of America can have life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

In this bill, if we pass and defund this organization, we will have more problems on our hands, more breast cancer, more cervical cancer. And it's going to be more expensive. And all of these folks on the other side that want to run government like a business and make good investments, you can't make better investments than preventative health care like this organization provides.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. DELAURO. I yield 1 minute to the gentlelady from California (Ms. LEE).

Ms. LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to the Republican war on women, and that is what this is.

Republicans have already moved to ban the District of Columbia from using its own money for abortions. Shameful. Now they want to go after America's most trusted provider of health care services for women. Shameful.

In many underserved communities, Planned Parenthood is the principal source of basic primary health services for poor and low-income women. Last year, millions of women received breast and cervical cancer screenings, testing, treatment for sexually transmitted infections, HIV and AIDS, and family planning, and contraception, mind you, through Planned Parenthood.

Planned Parenthood saves lives.

This is not about abortions. Only 3 percent of the services they provide involve abortions, and the law already prohibits Federal funding for abortions, though this law really should be repealed. This does not reduce the deficit nor create jobs. Family planning programs actually save taxpayers \$4 for every \$1 we invest in them.

This is really about pandering to the extreme right wing that wants to take us back to the days of back-alley abortions. They want to deny family planning and basic health care services for women.

This is a war on women.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. ADERHOLT).

Mr. ADERHOLT. I rise today in strong support of the resolution.

While I respect my colleagues who are against this resolution, let me say that I believe that Federal funds should be cut off from Planned Parenthood.

As previously stated on the floor by my colleagues, the resolution does not reduce funding for women's health services. It simply ensures that funds are directed to other organizations. Not a dime of women's health or family planning funding is reduced by this resolution. We simply want to ensure that Federal funds are being used in a way that protects the will of our constituents, not to help subsidize Planned Parenthood.

Planned Parenthood is the largest abortion provider in the Nation, providing over 300,000 abortions in 2009.

□ 1600

Over the years, Planned Parenthood has increased their abortion numbers every year, while adoption and prenatal care remains way too low.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on this resolution.

Ms. DELAURO. If I might inquire, Mr. Speaker, how much time is left on both sides.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TERRY). The gentlewoman from Connecticut has 3 minutes, and the gentleman from Mississippi has 1 minute remaining.

Ms. DELAURO. I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD).

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this concurrent resolution.

Planned Parenthood is a safety net that helps Americans stay healthy by providing primary care services such as breast cancer screenings, immunizations, and annual exams. Women also receive preventive care that helps lower cancer deaths, heart attacks, and cases of undiagnosed and untreated STDs.

As the largest provider of family planning, it helps women and men become parents when it makes the most sense for them. The attacks on Planned Parenthood are underhanded tactics using inaccurate statements and statistics. A perfect example is the recent claim that abortions make up 90 percent of their services. This gross misstatement has been refuted by hard numbers which show these services were less than 3 percent of the care provided in 2009. At the expense of critical health care for millions of Americans, these attacks are another irresponsible diversion from the fact that Republicans have failed to take one action to create jobs.

I urge my colleagues to oppose this resolution.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 seconds to the gentlelady from Ohio (Mrs. SCHMIDT).

Mrs. SCHMIDT. Mr. Speaker, you know, times are tough, including in Congress. And we have to find wise ways to spend our taxpayer dollars. For every 33 pregnant women that walk into a Planned Parenthood clinic, 32 receive an abortion. Planned Parenthood does over 1,000 abortions a day, and they receive \$1 million a day from taxpayers. The time has come to stop funding Planned Parenthood. We have better ways to spend our taxpayer dollars. Let's put it back to the Treasury and reduce the deficit.

Ms. DELAURO. I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. CAPPS).

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to this mean-spirited resolution, yet another attempt by the Republicans to play politics with wom-

en's health care, part of their broader priority to reopen the culture wars. But the lies that have been tossed around in this debate are an insult to the 3 million Americans who used Planned Parenthood services this year.

Nearly two-thirds of those who visit a Planned Parenthood consider it to be their main source of health care, their mainstay, their lifeline. In my own district, Planned Parenthood of Santa Barbara, Ventura and San Luis Obispo Counties serves over 31,000 patients a year. I must ask the supporters of this mean-spirited resolution, where should these 31,000 people go? They have no answer. Just inaccurate talking points and a demonizing "we know best" attitude.

Instead of taking away women's access to health care, let's turn to working on what they really need: a stronger economy and meaningful job opportunities. So please join me in voting "no" on this mean-spirited resolution.

Ms. DELAURO. I yield myself the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Connecticut is recognized for 1 minute.

Ms. DELAURO. My Republican colleagues were prepared to shut down the Federal Government over women's health. If you can imagine that. Turn this country into chaos because of women's health issues. Today they continue to treat women as second-class citizens. They would treat women with a lack of respect, denigrate them, and undervalue women in our society today. That is what this debate is all about.

They would deny women basic health care. And because we in this House of Representatives have health care, they don't care much about what's happening to those whose primary care is at this facility, for cancer screenings. I am a survivor of ovarian cancer. I know what it means to be screened, to be diagnosed, and to be told I have a serious illness, and that I have the opportunity to get well. Trust the women of this Nation. Trust them. Vote against this misguided resolution.

Mr. NUNNELEE. Mr. Speaker, the House has before it today an important question, but it's not a new question. It's a question of old. This day I put before you the choice of life or death. Today we choose life.

Mrs. DAVIS of California. Mr. Speaker, budget resolutions are about funding the needs of our country. We should be talking fund initiatives to create jobs.

Instead, we're wasting time on divisive social issues.

Some want to make this a fight about abortion. But this is a fight for women's health. For ourselves, for our daughters, and for our granddaughters.

This resolution won't save taxpayer dollars or create jobs.

What it will do is undermine women's health.

More than 90 percent of the care those health centers provide is primary and preventive.

And one in five American women has been to a Planned Parenthood health center for services like breast cancer screening, cervical cancer screening, and well-women exams.

Mr. Speaker, I will not let San Diego families lose these valuable health services.

I listen to my constituents about how important Planned Parenthood's services have been in their lives.

Just last week, I held a telephone town hall, and a woman waited on the line for over an hour to ask me about my support for Planned Parenthood.

Anna started going to Planned Parenthood when she first moved to San Diego because as a young woman she was unemployed and had nowhere else to go for health care.

Decades later, she is in her 60s and still uses them for breast and cervical cancer screenings.

I also want to tell you about Jamie, a single working woman who was laid off 2 years ago during the recession and started using Planned Parenthood for her health care.

Even now that she has a job, she can't afford health insurance and continues to use their services.

Without Planned Parenthood, she would not have had a pap smear and would not know she has a high-risk type of HPV, which could lead to cervical cancer.

In her words, "Planned Parenthood has not only potentially saved my life but millions of others as well."

These are only two of the nearly 800,000 patients seen by California Planned Parenthoods every year.

I am not willing to deny them access to life-saving care.

I'm shocked and outraged that the majority is willing to do so, especially when 65 percent of Americans favor continued support for Planned Parenthood.

I will be voting against this resolution, and I hope you will all join me.

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong opposition to this resolution.

Millions of Americans across the country rely on Planned Parenthood as their only source of medical care. More than 90 percent of the care that Planned Parenthood provides in our communities is primary and preventive services. Such care keeps our communities healthier, and keeps health care costs down.

However, my colleagues on the other side of the aisle are attempting to misrepresent federal funding for Planned Parenthood as federal subsidization of abortions. Federal law already prohibits federal dollars from funding abortions. And today's resolution would take funds from cancer screenings, birth control, HIV testing, and other life saving care.

It would effectively cripple the largest provider of reproductive health services in our country and it would take funding away from health care that primarily serves low-income Americans. It would take away health care services from women in my hometown. Women like Kari.

Kari shared with me that she did not have health insurance when she went to Planned Parenthood to receive a free cancer screening. The screening showed that Kari had cervical cancer. But Planned Parenthood—in addition to offering the free screening—continued to provide Kari free care through subsequent visits. Today, Kari is a cancer survivor.

Without Planned Parenthood's services Kari's story could have had a very different

ending. And while this Congress should be working to improve access to health care services to help millions of men and women just like Kari, this resolution does just the opposite. Today's resolution is an attack on women, on low-income Americans, on community health centers, and on primary care.

I urge my colleagues to oppose this harmful bill.

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, House Republicans have made their extreme agenda abundantly clear—undermine women's access to reproductive health care and attack the local women's health providers they rely on to provide cancer screenings, routine gynecological examinations, contraceptive services, immunizations, and testing and treatment for sexually-transmitted infections.

One in five American women has received care from a Planned Parenthood health center at some point in her life, making it one of the largest women's health care providers in the country. Planned Parenthood clinics serve over 3 million Americans every year and plays a critical role in our Nation's health care system. The Republican budget would deny them any funding.

Bonnie S. from Evanston, IL, wrote:

"I found myself without health care in my early twenties even though I was still working. I was in a committed, long-term relationship and needed birth control and a yearly pap smear. Planned Parenthood was my main healthcare provider for nearly ten years."

"I have only had one sexual partner in my life. I have never had an abortion. Without Planned Parenthood, I would not have been able to afford birth control and a yearly pap smear, things that no woman should have to go without. I'm sure there are many women out there with a story like mine. Stopping funding for Planned Parenthood would be a horrible thing."

Lesley W. from Evanston, IL, wrote:

"I was shocked and horrified to learn that Congress has voted to defund Planned Parenthood. As an 18 year old college freshman, Planned Parenthood was the difference for me between getting reliable, high quality birth control and gynecological care, and leaving my reproductive health to chance. Now at age 49, married and a mother, I credit Planned Parenthood with giving me the tools for a healthy and responsible sex life. I never had an unplanned pregnancy. I never got an STD. When AIDS came around, I knew how to protect myself and my partners. Planned Parenthood may well have saved my life, as it has saved the lives of thousands of women (and men) over the years. To not fund it is not only cruel and unethical, it is remarkably short sighted. How many more unplanned pregnancies, abortions, and STDs will we now see? How many young women will avoid prenatal care because they are afraid of a gynecologist's office? How many will avoid screenings for cervical cancer?"

"Planned Parenthood was there when I needed it, and I want it to be there for my daughter and the next generation of young women. It plays a crucial role in our national healthcare, and must be funded."

Poll after poll has shown that Americans support the work of Planned Parenthood centers because investing in commonsense, proven, effective prevention and primary health care is smart health policy.

Republicans need to stop attacking Planned Parenthood and they need to end their war on women's health.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All time for debate has expired.

Pursuant to House Resolution 218, the concurrent resolution is considered read and the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the yeas appeared to have it.

Mr. NUNNELLEE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

House Concurrent Resolution 35 and House Concurrent Resolution 36.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. The remaining electronic vote will be conducted as a 5-minute vote.

CORRECTING THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 1473

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on adoption of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 35) directing the Clerk of the House of Representatives to make a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 1473, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the concurrent resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 240, nays 185, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 270]

YEAS—240

Adams	Broun (GA)	Dent
Aderholt	Buchanan	DesJarlais
Akin	Bucshon	Diaz-Balart
Alexander	Buerkle	Dold
Amash	Burgess	Dreier
Austria	Burton (IN)	Duffy
Bachmann	Calvert	Duncan (SC)
Bachus	Camp	Duncan (TN)
Barletta	Campbell	Elmers
Bartlett	Canseco	Emerson
Barton (TX)	Cantor	Farenthold
Bass (NH)	Capito	Fincher
Benishek	Carter	Fitzpatrick
Berg	Cassidy	Flake
Biggart	Chabot	Fleischmann
Bilbray	Chaffetz	Fleming
Bilirakis	Coble	Flores
Bishop (UT)	Coffman (CO)	Forbes
Black	Cole	Fortenberry
Blackburn	Conaway	Fox
Bonner	Cravaack	Frelinghuysen
Bono Mack	Crawford	Gallegly
Boren	Crenshaw	Gardner
Boustany	Culberson	Garrett
Brady (TX)	Davis (KY)	Gerlach
Brooks	Denham	Gibbs

Gibson	Luetkemeyer	Rogers (MI)
Gingrey (GA)	Lummis	Rohrabacher
Gohmert	Lungren, Daniel	Rokita
Goodlatte	E.	Rooney
Gosar	Mack	Ros-Lehtinen
Gowdy	Manzullo	Roskam
Granger	Marchant	Ross (AR)
Graves (GA)	Marino	Ross (FL)
Graves (MO)	McCarthy (CA)	Royce
Griffin (AR)	McCaul	Runyan
Griffith (VA)	McClintock	Ryan (WI)
Grimm	McCotter	Scalise
Guinta	McHenry	Schilling
Guthrie	McIntyre	Schmidt
Hall	McKeon	Schock
Hanna	McKinley	Schweikert
Harper	McMorris	Scott (SC)
Harris	Rodgers	Scott, Austin
Hartzler	Meehan	Sensenbrenner
Hastings (WA)	Mica	Sessions
Hayworth	Miller (FL)	Shimkus
Heck	Miller (MI)	Shuster
Heller	Miller, Gary	Simpson
Hensarling	Mulvaney	Smith (NE)
Herger	Murphy (PA)	Smith (NJ)
Herrera Beutler	Myrick	Smith (TX)
Huelskamp	Neugebauer	Southerland
Huizenga (MI)	Noem	Stearns
Hultgren	Nugent	Stivers
Hunter	Nunes	Stutzman
Hurt	Nunnelee	Sullivan
Issa	Olson	Terry
Jenkins	Palazzo	Thompson (PA)
Johnson (IL)	Paul	Thornberry
Johnson (OH)	Paulsen	Tiberi
Johnson, Sam	Pearce	Tipton
Jordan	Pence	Turner
Kelly	Petri	Upton
King (IA)	Pitts	Walberg
King (NY)	Platts	Walden
Kingston	Poe (TX)	Walsh (IL)
Kinzinger (IL)	Pompeo	Webster
Kline	Posey	West
Labrador	Price (GA)	Westmoreland
Lamborn	Quayle	Whitfield
Lance	Reed	Wilson (SC)
Landry	Rehberg	Wittman
Lankford	Renacci	Wolf
Latham	Ribble	Womack
LaTourette	Rigell	Woodall
Latta	Rivera	Yoder
Lewis (CA)	Roby	Young (AK)
LoBiondo	Roe (TN)	Young (FL)
Long	Rogers (AL)	Young (IN)
Lucas	Rogers (KY)	

NAYS—185

Ackerman	Cummings	Jackson Lee
Altmire	Davis (CA)	(TX)
Baca	Davis (IL)	Johnson (GA)
Baldwin	DeFazio	Johnson, E. B.
Barrow	DeGette	Kaptur
Bass (CA)	DeLauro	Keating
Becerra	Deuth	Kildee
Berkley	Dicks	Kind
Berman	Dingell	Kissell
Bishop (GA)	Doggett	Kucinich
Bishop (NY)	Donnelly (IN)	Langevin
Blumenauer	Doyle	Larsen (WA)
Boswell	Edwards	Larson (CT)
Brady (PA)	Ellison	Lee (CA)
Braley (IA)	Engel	Levin
Brown (FL)	Eshoo	Lewis (GA)
Butterfield	Farr	Lipinski
Capps	Fattah	Loeb
Capuano	Filner	Lofgren, Zoe
Cardoza	Frank (MA)	Lowey
Carnahan	Fudge	Lujan
Carney	Garamendi	Lynch
Carson (IN)	Gonzalez	Maloney
Castor (FL)	Green, Al	Markey
Chandler	Green, Gene	Matheson
Chu	Grijalva	Matsui
Cicilline	Gutierrez	McCarthy (NY)
Clarke (MI)	Hanabusa	McCollum
Clarke (NY)	Hastings (FL)	McDermott
Clay	Heinrich	McGovern
Cleaver	Higgins	McNerney
Clyburn	Himes	Michaud
Cohen	Hinchev	Miller (NC)
Connolly (VA)	Hinojosa	Miller, George
Conyers	Hirono	Moore
Cooper	Holden	Moran
Costa	Holt	Murphy (CT)
Costello	Honda	Nadler
Courtney	Hoyer	Napolitano
Critz	Inslee	Neal
Crowley	Israel	Owens
Cuellar	Jackson (IL)	Pallone