

Graves (MO)	Mack	Rohrabacher	Pingree (ME)	Sarbanes	Tierney
Griffin (AR)	Manzullo	Rokita	Polis	Schakowsky	Tonko
Griffith (VA)	Marchant	Rooney	Price (NC)	Schiff	Towns
Grimm	Marino	Ros-Lehtinen	Quigley	Schrader	Tsongas
Guinta	McCarthy (CA)	Roskam	Rahall	Schwartz	Van Hollen
Guthrie	McCaul	Ross (FL)	Rangel	Scott (VA)	Velázquez
Gutierrez	McClintock	Royce	Reyes	Scott, David	Visclosky
Hall	McCotter	Runyan	Richardson	Serrano	Walz (MN)
Hanna	McHenry	Ryan (WI)	Richmond	Sewell	Wasserman
Harper	McIntyre	Scalise	Ross (AR)	Sherman	Schultz
Harris	McKeon	Schilling	Rothman (NJ)	Sires	Waters
Hartzler	McKinley	Schmidt	Roybal-Allard	Slaughter	Watt
Hastings (WA)	McMorris	Schweikert	Ruppersberger	Smith (WA)	Weiner
Hayworth	Rodgers	Rush	Rush	Speier	Welch
Heck	Meehan	Scott (SC)	Ryan (OH)	Stark	Wilson (FL)
Heller	Mica	Scott, Austin	Sánchez, Linda	Sutton	Woolsey
Hensarling	Miller (FL)	Sensenbrenner	T.	Thompson (CA)	Wu
Herger	Miller (MI)	Sessions	Sanchez, Loretta	Thompson (MS)	Yarmuth
Herrera Beutler	Miller, Gary	Shimkus			
Holden	Mulvaney	Shuster			
Huelskamp	Murphy (PA)	Simpson			
Huizenga (MI)	Myrick	Smith (NE)			
Hultgren	Neugebauer	Smith (NJ)			
Hunter	Noem	Smith (TX)			
Hurt	Nugent	Southerland			
Issa	Nunes	Stearns			
Jenkins	Nunnelee	Stivers			
Johnson (IL)	Olson	Stutzman			
Johnson (OH)	Palazzo	Sullivan			
Jones	Paul	Terry			
Jordan	Paulsen	Thompson (PA)			
Kelly	Pearce	Thornberry			
King (IA)	Pence	Tiberi			
King (NY)	Petri	Tipton			
Kingston	Pitts	Turner			
Kinzinger (IL)	Platts	Upton			
Kline	Poe (TX)	Walberg			
Labrador	Pompeo	Walden			
Lamborn	Posey	Walsh (IL)			
Lance	Price (GA)	Webster			
Landry	Quayle	West			
Lankford	Reed	Westmoreland			
Latham	Rehberg	Whitfield			
LaTourette	Reichert	Wilson (SC)			
Latta	Renacci	Wittman			
Lewis (CA)	Ribble	Wolf			
LoBiondo	Rigell	Womack			
Long	Rivera	Yoder			
Lucas	Roby	Young (AK)			
Luetkemeyer	Roe (TN)	Young (FL)			
Lummis	Rogers (AL)	Young (IN)			
Lungren, Daniel	Rogers (KY)				
E.	Rogers (MI)				

NOT VOTING—11

Bilbray	Giffords	Shuler
Broun (GA)	Johnson, Sam	Waxman
Cassidy	Lynch	Woodall
Emerson	Schock	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Two minutes remain in this vote.

□ 1822

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated against:

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, due to an error, I incorrectly voted for final passage of H.R. 1213 (rollcall 285), legislation that seeks to repeal mandatory funding provided to states under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act to establish Health Benefit Exchanges. My intention was to vote against this bill.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY CHAIRMAN OF PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE REGARDING AVAILABILITY OF CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND CLASSIFIED ANNEX

(Mr. ROGERS of Michigan asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ROGERS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I wish to announce to all Members of the House that the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence has ordered the bill, H.R. 754, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011, reported favorably to the House with an amendment. The committee's report will be filed today.

Mr. Speaker, the classified Schedule of Authorizations and the classified Annex accompanying the bill will be available for review by Members at the offices of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence in room HVC-304 of the Capitol Visitors Center beginning any time after this report is filed. The committee office will be open during regular business hours for the convenience of any Member who wishes to review this material prior to its consideration by the House. I anticipate that H.R. 754 will be considered in the House in the near future, perhaps as early as next week.

I recommend that Members wishing to review the classified Annex contact

the committee's director of security to arrange a time and date for that viewing. This will assure the availability of committee staff to assist Members who desire assistance during their review of these classified materials.

I urge interested Members to review these materials in order to better understand the committee's recommendations. The classified Annex to the committee's report contains the committee's recommendations on the intelligence budget for fiscal year 2011 and related classified information that cannot be disclosed publicly.

It is important that Members keep in mind the requirements of clause 13 of House rule XXIII, which only permits access to classified information by those Members of the House who have signed the oath provided for in the rule.

If a Member has not yet signed that oath but wishes to review the classified Annex and Schedule of Authorizations, the committee staff can administer the oath and see that the executed form is sent to the Clerk's office. In addition, the committee's rules require that Members agree in writing to a non-disclosure agreement. The agreement indicates that the Member has been granted access to the classified Annex and that they are familiar with the rules of the House and the committee with respect to the classified nature of the information and the limitations on the disclosure of that information.

I thank the Speaker.

AMENDMENT PROCESS FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 754, INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011

(Mr. BISHOP of Utah asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BISHOP of Utah. Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules may meet the week of May 9 to grant a rule that could limit the amendment process for floor consideration of H.R. 754, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

Any Member wishing to offer an amendment to the bill must submit an electronic copy of the amendment and description via the Rules Committee Web site. Members must also submit 30 hard copies of the amendment, one copy of a brief explanation of the amendment, and an amendment log in form to the Rules Committee in room H-312 of the Capitol by 12 p.m. on Tuesday, May 10, 2011. Both electronic and hard copies must be received by the date and time specified. Members should draft their amendments to the text of the bill as ordered reported by the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, which is available on the Rules Committee Web site.

Members should also use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that their amendments are drafted in the most appropriate format. Members should also check with the Office of the

NOES—183

Ackerman	Davis (IL)	Kildee
Andrews	DeFazio	Kind
Baca	DeGette	Kissell
Baldwin	DeLauro	Kucinich
Barrow	Deutch	Langevin
Bass (CA)	Dicks	Larsen (WA)
Becerra	Dingell	Larson (CT)
Berkley	Doggett	Lee (CA)
Berman	Donnelly (IN)	Levin
Bishop (GA)	Doyle	Lewis (GA)
Bishop (NY)	Edwards	Lipinski
Blumenauer	Ellison	Loeb sack
Boswell	Engel	Lofgren, Zoe
Brady (PA)	Eshoo	Lowe y
Brale y (IA)	Farr	Luján
Brown (FL)	Fattah	Maloney
Butterfield	Filner	Markey
Capps	Frank (MA)	Matheson
Capuano	Fudge	Matsui
Cardoza	Garamendi	McCarthy (NY)
Carnahan	Gonzalez	McCollum
Carney	Green, Al	McDermott
Carson (IN)	Green, Gene	McGovern
Castor (FL)	Grijalva	McNerney
Chandler	Hanabusa	Meeks
Chu	Hastings (FL)	Michaud
Cicilline	Heinrich	Miller (NC)
Clarke (MI)	Higgins	Miller, George
Clarke (NY)	Himes	Moore
Clay	Hinche y	Moran
Cleaver	Hinojosa	Murphy (CT)
Clyburn	Hirono	Nadler
Cohen	Holt	Napolitano
Connolly (VA)	Honda	Neal
Conyers	Hoyer	Olver
Cooper	Inslee	Owens
Costa	Israel	Pallone
Costello	Jackson (IL)	Pascrell
Courtney	Jackson Lee	Pastor (AZ)
Critz	(TX)	Payne
Crowley	Johnson (GA)	Pelosi
Cuellar	Johnson, E. B.	Perlmutter
Cummings	Kaptur	Peters
Davis (CA)	Keating	Peterson

Parliamentarian, the Committee on the Budget, and the Congressional Budget Office to be certain their amendments comply with the rules of the House and the Congressional Budget Act.

If you have any questions, please contact Chairman DREIER or the Rules Committee staff.

**REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER
AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1081**

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to be removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 1081.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WESTMORELAND). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

**REPEALING MANDATORY FUNDING
FOR SCHOOL HEALTH CENTER
CONSTRUCTION**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 236 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 1214.

□ 1825

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 1214) to repeal mandatory funding for school-based health center construction, with Mr. SIMPSON (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, all time for general debate had expired.

Pursuant to the rule, the bill shall be considered for amendment under the 5-minute rule, and shall be considered read.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 1214

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

**SECTION 1. REPEALING MANDATORY FUNDING
FOR SCHOOL-BASED HEALTH CENTER
CONSTRUCTION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 4101 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 280h-4) is repealed.

(b) RESCISSION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.—Of the funds made available by section 4101(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 280h-4(a)), the unobligated balance is rescinded.

The Acting CHAIR. No amendment to the bill shall be in order except those received for printing in the portion of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD designated for that purpose in a daily issue dated May 2, 2011, and except pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate. Each amendment so received may be offered only by the Member who caused it to be printed or a designee and shall be considered read.

**AMENDMENT NO. 1 OFFERED BY MS. JACKSON
LEE OF TEXAS**

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

In section 1, add at the end the following:

(c) NOTICE OF RESCISSION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.—Not later than 10 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall post on the public website of the Department of Health and Human Services a notice of—

(1) the rescission, pursuant to subsection (b), of the unobligated balance of funds made available by section 4101(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (42 U.S.C. 280h-4(a)); and

(2) the amount of such funds so rescinded.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Texas is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I ask my colleagues to join me in supporting this amendment. I appreciate very much my friend and colleague from Texas, and I believe that this is an amendment that Republicans and Democrats can join on, maybe for different reasons.

I have indicated that I believe the repealing of the support for school-based health clinics and construction thereof is an unfortunate act on behalf of America's children.

My amendment is very simple. It requires the Department of Health and Human Services to post public notice on its official Web site that the mandated funds from Section 4101(a) of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, including the amounts of the funds, will be rescinded. It explains to the American public just what we are doing and it gives them a line-by-line, dollar-by-dollar impact of what happens when they take money away that is already being invested, that will be invested, to help build a health care infrastructure in their neighborhood, so that children like this young man and many others who may not have access to health care can have a school-based clinic. The amendment will provide the public with important information about mandatory school-based health center funding that will no longer be available for them to receive these preventative care services.

This amendment also assists my good friends on the other side of the aisle by permitting them to easily show the American public that they are cutting public spending. But yet we must weigh the balance—cutting spending, or alleging that you are going to benefit from these cut funds, and undermining the health care system of America.

□ 1830

When the Congress passed the Affordable Care Act in 2010, and the President signed it into law, the Department of Health and Human Services was given a mandate to provide funding for expanded and sustained national health investment in school-based health cen-

ter construction programs to improve clinical preventive services and help restrain the growth in private and public health costs. Nearly every State has school-based health centers. There are about 2,000. It provides mandatory funds for building and improving school-based health centers. There are now 350 applications for 46 States with shovel-ready projects. It couldn't be all bad.

If H.R. 1214 is passed, it will kill those funds. It will repeal it. And yet this particular amendment will point out Sophie's choices—not really good choices—to take away from our children good health care under the pretense of cutting the deficit. The majority of the funding that is being cut by my friends is from discretionary services, few dollars that represent only a small portion of the Nation's budget, appropriations, and deficit.

And so I ask that we support this amendment because truth is in the pudding. Let's see what they're doing and how you can get good health care and cut school-based clinics.

Let me quickly say this. We're trying to make sure that we have places in neighborhoods for people to evacuate to—schools that are secure enough and strong enough that you could run or you could evacuate or you could be safe in place. School-based clinics, health clinics, provide places to take the wounded from a hurricane or tornado or a disaster unforeseen—or a man-made disaster.

So I would ask my colleagues to vote for this amendment, to support this amendment, because it shows the light of what we should and should not be doing.

With that, I reserve the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman may not reserve her time. The Committee is operating under the 5-minute rule, in which case the gentlewoman is recognized for 5 minutes.

The gentlewoman still has 1 minute and 10 seconds remaining.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. And I am trying to reserve my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman cannot reserve her time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Let me make the point that in earlier debate today, the Chair allowed me to reserve, and so I take issue with the ruling. And what is the basis of the ruling?

The Acting CHAIR. Under the 5-minute rule, the gentlewoman has to use her time or yield back her time. She may not reserve her time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Can I have an explanation as to why I was allowed to do so previously?

The Acting CHAIR. The Chair would tell the gentlewoman that the Committee is operating under the 5-minute rule and the time is not controlled.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. So to my parliamentary inquiry, the answer is that we're under the 5-minute rule?

The Acting CHAIR. That is right.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Let me just indicate that school-based clinics