I want to respond quickly to some of my friend's points, Mr. Speaker, and I want to go back to the essential reality that we are facing.

Number 1, last year, when the President asked for \$1 billion more for NIH, we said: That is not enough. We are going to give you two.

Last year the President submitted a request for CDC. We looked at it and said: You know, it is not enough. You evidently don't care enough about public health, Mr. President. We are going to spend more money.

This year he brought us a request to try and take \$1 billion of discretionary funding away from NIH. My friends on the other side were as appalled as we were. We said: No, Mr. President, you are not going to take \$1 billion out of NIH in a dangerous time of disease. We are not only going to keep that money there, we are going to put more money, additional money than you asked for.

We said the same thing about the CDC, and so we will do it.

In terms of what has been done, the minute the Zika virus appeared and the administration asked for emergency money, HAL ROGERS, the chairman of the committee, responded and said: Spend whatever it takes.

And, indeed, the administration has done that.

My friends seem to suggest that there is something that hasn't been done, yet they never tell us what that one thing is.

The reality is the administration has had the money to do everything it has wanted to do. This bill provides more money on top of that. Our Senators are proposing even more, so we go to conference to figure out the appropriate amount and whether or not and to what degree it should be paid for. I would hope it is all paid for. It should be because we have the funds to do that.

So to suggest that there is some sort of failure of funding is simply not true, and my friends know it is not true. To suggest that we are not willing to put the money here would suggest that recent history has no relevance, because we have put more money here than the President asked us to put, and we have committed to put even more going forward.

The only difference here, and what drives my friends into a frenzy, is that we actually want to pay for this. They simply don't. They think, let's just put another \$1.9 billion on the national credit card. This is a great excuse to do that.

Well, we are not prepared to do that, but we are prepared to respond to the legitimate needs of the American people and use the resources that we have.

So, Mr. Speaker, in closing, I agree with my colleagues on the other side. We should address the issue. We disagree with the other body on how to do it, and we will go on from there.

Mr. Speaker, I look forward to working with my colleagues in conference on these important issues.

The material previously referred to by Mr. McGovern is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 751 OFFERED BY MR. MCGOVERN

On page 2, line 4, insert "as modified by the amendment specified in section 2 of this resolution" before the semicolon.

At the end of the resolution, add the following new section:

SEC.2. The amendment referred to in section 1(a) is as follows: Strike divisions B and C and insert the text of H.R. 5044 as introduced.

THE VOTE ON THE PREVIOUS QUESTION: WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

This vote, the vote on whether to order the previous question on a special rule, is not merely a procedural vote. A vote against ordering the previous question is a vote against the Republican majority agenda and a vote to allow the Democratic minority to offer an alternative plan. It is a vote about what the House should be debating.

Mr. Clarence Cannon's Precedents of the House of Representatives (VI, 308-311), describes the vote on the previous question on the rule as "a motion to direct or control the consideration of the subject before the House being made by the Member in charge." To defeat the previous question is to give the opposition a chance to decide the subject before the House. Cannon cites the Speaker's ruling of January 13, 1920, to the effect that 'the refusal of the House to sustain the demand for the previous question passes the control of the resolution to the opposition' in order to offer an amendment. On March 15, 1909, a member of the majority party offered a rule resolution. The House defeated the previous question and a member of the opposition rose to a parliamentary inquiry, asking who was entitled to recognition. Speaker Joseph G. Cannon (R-Illinois) said: "The previous question having been refused, the gentleman from New York, Mr. Fitzgerald, who had asked the gentleman to yield to him for an amendment, is entitled to the first recognition."

The Republican majority may say "the vote on the previous question is simply a vote on whether to proceed to an immediate vote on adopting the resolution . . . [and] has no substantive legislative or policy implications whatsoever." But that is not what they have always said. Listen to the Republican Leadership Manual on the Legislative Process in the United States House of Representatives, (6th edition, page 135). Here's how the Republicans describe the previous question vote in their own manual: "Although it is generally not possible to amend the rule because the majority Member controlling the time will not yield for the purpose of offering an amendment, the same result may be achieved by voting down the previous question on the rule . . . When the motion for the previous question is defeated, control of the time passes to the Member who led the opposition to ordering the previous question. That Member, because he then controls the time, may offer an amendment to the rule, or yield for the purpose of amendment.

In Deschler's Procedure in the U.S. House of Representatives, the subchapter titled "Amending Special Rules" states: "a refusal to order the previous question on such a rule [a special rule reported from the Committee on Rules] opens the resolution to amendment and further debate." (Chapter 21, section 21.2) Section 21.3 continues: "Upon rejection of the motion for the previous question on a resolution reported from the Committee on Rules, control shifts to the Member leading the opposition to the previous question, who may offer a proper amendment

or motion and who controls the time for debate thereon."

Clearly, the vote on the previous question on a rule does have substantive policy implications. It is one of the only available tools for those who oppose the Republican majority's agenda and allows those with alternative views the opportunity to offer an alternative plan.

Mr. COLE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. McGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOP-MENT AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2017

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 743 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 5055.

Will the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. RIBBLE) kindly take the chair.

□ 1030

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5055) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes, with Mr. RIBBLE (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole House rose on May 25, 2016, an amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. DESANTIS) had been disposed of and the bill had been read through 80, line 15.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I move that the Committee do now rise and report the bill back to the House with sundry amendments, with the recommendation that the amendments be agreed to and that the bill, as amended, do pass.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. Lucas) having assumed the chair, Mr. RIBBLE Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 5055) making appropriations for energy and water development and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2017, and for other purposes, directed him to report the bill back to the House with sundry

amendments adopted in the Committee of the Whole, with the recommendation that the amendments be agreed to and that the bill, as amended, do pass.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under House Resolution 743, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill?

Mr. LANGEVIN. I am opposed to the bill in its current form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Langevin moves to recommit the bill H.R. 5055 to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

In the "Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation" account on page 53, line 11, after the dollar amount, insert "(increased by \$20,000,000)". In the "Federal Salaries and Expenses" ac-

In the "Federal Salaries and Expenses" account on page 54, line 14, after the dollar amount relating to the National Nuclear Security Administration, insert "(reduced by \$20.000.000)".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Rhode Island is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, this is the final amendment to the bill, which would not kill the bill or send it back to committee. If adopted, the bill will immediately proceed to final passage, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, this amendment is simple. It adds \$20 million to nuclear non-proliferation accounts so that nuclear materials do not fall into the wrong hands.

The possibility that terrorists or rogue nations will acquire nuclear weapons, fissile material, or radiological material that could be used in a dirty bomb are among the gravest threats facing our Nation and the international community.

Right now, luckily—though there are, of course, exceptions—these most dangerous weapons are in the hands of responsible actors. We cannot allow that dynamic to shift, and we must ensure that these weapons never fall into the hands of bad actors who would seek to do us or the rest of the international community harm.

However, today, there is more fissile material in the world than at any other time in our history, and the bad actors are taking notice. According to several studies conducted at Harvard, at least two terrorist groups—al Qaeda and the Japanese terror cult Aum

Shinrikyo—have made serious efforts to buy, steal, or otherwise obtain nuclear weapons in recent years.

There is clear evidence that ISIL would, if given the opportunity, strive to do us great harm. After all, it only takes a grapefruit-sized amount of highly enriched uranium to make a nuclear weapon, and there are hundreds of metric tons of material out there, some of which is still vulnerable to theft. Now, according to reports, ISIL has been monitoring a senior official of a Belgian facility, by way of example, with substantial stocks of highly enriched uranium.

We absolutely cannot assume the risk that the United States would be ambushed by a rogue nuclear threat, and we must not leave ourselves exposed to a threat that would forever change our American way of life. While we can never protect against every threat, we can, however, mitigate it by working with our international partners, Federal agencies, national laboratories, and the private sector to more quickly secure and eliminate vulnerable nuclear materials.

Small investments, such as the ones offered in this amendment, can yield significant national security benefits. By moving \$20 million into the Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation account, we would ultimately make our country—and the world—a safer place to live.

Mr. Speaker, Congress has worked across the aisle on this issue many times before, and we have seen some incredible success stories that have a profound impact on the security of our nuclear materials.

During the fiscal year 2012 Energy and Water Development Appropriations bill, the House approved an amendment—by a voice vote, no less—offered by Congressman Fortenberry and Congresswoman Sánchez to do exactly what this motion to recommit seeks to do today.

Their amendment to increase appropriations for the Global Threat Reduction Initiative under the Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation account was enthusiastically supported on both sides of this Chamber, securing an important bipartisan victory for the international effort to secure vulnerable fissile material and keeping our Nation safe from the threat of nuclear terrorism.

Mr. Speaker, this House did not cower when faced with this challenge back then, and we must not do so today. Let today be another one of those bipartisan success stories. Let us redouble our efforts to prevent the proliferation and catastrophic abuse of sensitive nuclear materials and technologies across the globe and here at home.

I beseech my fellow Members, adopt this amendment, keep our Nation safe, and deny the nuclear terrorists who would seek to do us harm their own success story.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Idaho is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, H.R. 5055, is a good bill that invests \$37.4 billion in priorities we can all support—national security, critical water resources, infrastructure projects for our districts, and energy independence—through an all-of-the-above approach.

First and foremost, this legislation is a defense bill. \$19.44 billion out of the 37.4 billion, or 51 percent, is dedicated toward our national security. Carrying out our Nation's nuclear deterrence mission is, in part, the responsibility of the Department of Energy; while DOD provides delivery vehicles and operators, DOE provides nuclear warheads themselves.

Congress provides funding for this critical defense mission through the Energy and Water Development Appropriations bill. As we drafted this bill, we carefully considered 2,700 Member requests. This legislation addresses 95 percent of those requests in one form or another. This included four requests from Democratic Members to fund non-proliferation programs at the budget request level of \$1.8 billion, which this bill does.

I agree that nonproliferation is a critical part of our overall nuclear defense strategy. We need to be doing everything we can to keep dangerous nuclear materials away from rogue nations and terrorists. Extra funding for DOE nonproliferation programs, however, is not the only way to do this. We must also provide for a strong defense capability, and this bill accomplishes that.

While I appreciate the passion for the nonproliferation and securing these materials abroad, I would also like to see the same passion for securing these materials at home. While the prospect of a terrorist getting hold of nuclear materials in the Middle East, Africa, or East Asia is terrifying, the prospect of them getting ahold of these materials in Tennessee, Texas, or California is even more so.

In 2012, three peace activists—a drifter, an 82-year-old nun, and a house painter—penetrated the exterior of the Y-12 National Security Complex in Tennessee, supposedly one of the most secure nuclear facilities in the United States. If they had been terrorists armed with explosives, that scenario would be frightening to imagine. That is why this funding in this bill is so critical.

The bill increases funding \$30 million above the request to improve security at aging nuclear weapons facilities to make sure our own nuclear materials are secure on our home soil and address a backlog of \$2 billion in security upgrades needed at nuclear weapons facilities.

In a tight fiscal environment, we need to be making these investments at our own nuclear facilities, not spending American taxpayer dollars to perform work in Russia's nuclear facilities.

Lynch

In addition to these investments, the bill also continues prohibitions on funding for nonproliferation projects in Russia, which is spending billions of dollars on its own nuclear moderniza-

In all, this is a fiscally responsible, economically smart, and critically important national security bill. It deserves to be passed quickly without further changes or delays.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote against this motion and to support the underlying bill.

Lastly, let me say, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate every Member of this body, on both sides of the aisle, for the 2 days of debate we have put in for the amendments that we have debated and the respectful debate that we have had on a lot of important issues. It has been a good debate, and I look forward to seeing my colleagues on the other side of the aisle who had some of their amendments adopted now voting for this bill because of the amendments that were adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

So I would urge my colleagues to vote against this motion to recommit and vote for passage of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SIMPSON. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the year and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 and clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on passage of H.R. 5055; ordering the previous question on House Resolution 751; and adoption of House Resolution 751, if ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 178, nays 236, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 265] VEAS_178

	1 EAS-178	
Adams	Chu, Judy	Dingell
Aguilar	Cicilline	Doggett
Ashford	Clark (MA)	Doyle, Michael
Bass	Clarke (NY)	F.
Beatty	Clay	Duckworth
Becerra	Cleaver	Edwards
Bera	Clyburn	Ellison
Beyer	Cohen	Engel
Bishop (GA)	Connolly	Eshoo
Blumenauer	Conyers	Esty
Bonamici	Cooper	Farr
Boyle, Brendan	Courtney	Foster
F.	Crowley	Frankel (FL)
Brady (PA)	Cuellar	Fudge
Brown (FL)	Cummings	Gabbard
Brownley (CA)	Davis (CA)	Gallego
Bustos	Davis, Danny	Garamendi
Butterfield	DeFazio	Graham
Capps	DeGette	Grayson
Capuano	Delaney	Green, Al
Carney	DeLauro	Green, Gene
Carson (IN)	DelBene	Grijalva
Cartwright	DeSaulnier	Gutiérrez
Castor (FL)	Deutch	Hahn

Hastings Heck (WA) Higgins Himes Hinoiosa Honda Hover Huffman Israel Jackson Lee Jeffries Johnson, E. B. Kantur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Kilmer Kind Kirkpatrick Kuster Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Levin Lewis Lieu, Ted Lipinski Loebsack Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Luian Grisham (NM) Luján, Ben Ray (NM)

Abraham

Aderholt

Allen

Amash

Babin

Barr

Amodei

Barletta

Barton

Benishek

Bilirakis

Bishop (MI)

Bishop (UT)

Blackburn

Boustany

Brady (TX)

Bridenstine

Brooks (AL)

Brooks (IN)

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burgess

Calvert

Chabot

Chaffetz

Coffman

Cole

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Clawson (FL)

Collins (GA)

Collins (NY)

Costello (PA)

Comstock

Conaway

Crawford

Crenshaw

Culberson

Denham

DeSantis

Donovan

DesJarlais

Diaz-Balart

Duncan (SC)

Duncan (TN)

Ellmers (NC)

Emmer (MN)

LaMalfa

Dent

Dold

Curbelo (FL)

Davis, Rodney

Cook

Costa

Byrne

Buck

Blum

Bost

Malonev. Carolyn Maloney, Sean Matsui McCollum McDermott McGovern McNerney Meeks Meng Moore Moulton Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Neal Nolan Norcross Pallone Pascrell Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Peterson Pingree Pocan Polis Price (NC) Quigley Richmond Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Rvan (OH)

NAYS-236

Farenthold Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Fleming Flores Forbes Fortenberry Foxx Frelinghuvsen Garrett Gibbs Gibson Gohmert Goodlatte Gosar Gowdy Granger Graves (GA) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Griffith Grothman Guinta Guthrie Hardy Harper Harris Hartzler Heck (NV) Hensarling Hice, Jody B. Hill Holding Hudson Huelskamp Huizenga (MI) Hultgren Hunter Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Issa. Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jolly Jones Jordan Joyce Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kline Knight Labrador La Hood

Sánchez, Linda Т. Sanchez, Loretta Sarbanes Schakowsky Schiff Schrader Scott (VA) Scott, David Serrano Sewell (AL) Sherman Sinema Sires Slaughter Smith (WA) Speier Swalwell (CA) Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tonko Torres Tsongas Van Hollen Vargas Veasev Vela Velázguez Visclosky Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Welch Wilson (FL)

Lance

Latta

Long

LoBiondo

Loudermilk

Love Lucas Luetkemeyer Lummis MacArthur Marchant Marino Massie McCarthy McCaul McClintock McHenry McKinley McMorris Rodgers McSally Meadows Meehan Ashford Messer Mica Barr Miller (FL) Benishek Miller (MI) Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Mulvaney Bucshon Murphy (PA) Byrne Calvert Neugebauer Newhouse Noem Nugent Chaffetz Nunes Coffman Olson Cole Palazzo Palmer Paulsen Cook Pearce Costa Perry Pittenger Crenshaw Cuellar Pitts Poe (TX) Poliquin Pompeo Posey Price, Tom Denham Dent Ratcliffe Reed Dold Reichert Donovan Renacci Ribble Rice (SC) Foxx Rigell Roby Gibbs Roe (TN) Gibson

Rogers (AL) Shuster Rogers (KY) Simpson Smith (MO) Rohrabacher Rokita. Smith (NE) Rooney (FL) Smith (NJ) Ros-Lehtinen Smith (TX) Roskam Stefanik Ross Stewart Rothfus Stivers Rouzer Stutzman Thompson (PA) Royce Thornberry Russell Salmon Tiberi Sanford Tipton Scalise Trott Schweikert Turner Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Valadao Wagner Sessions Shimkus Walberg

Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin

NOT VOTING-19

Hanna Cárdenas Rice (NY) Herrera Beutler Castro (TX) Takai Cramer Jenkins (KS) Whitfield Duffv Johnson (GA) Yarmuth Fattah Lamborn Zinke Fincher O'Rourke Franks (AZ) Rangel

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remain-

□ 1103

Messrs. POE of Texas, SHUSTER, and ROHRABACHER changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Ms. EDWARDS, Mr. RYAN of Ohio, and Ms. McCOLLUM changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to recommit was reiected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and navs are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 112, nays 305, not voting 16, as follows:

[Roll No. 266] YEAS-112

Granger Green, Gene Grothman Bishop (UT) Hardy Boustany Hill Brady (TX) Hunter Hurd (TX) Brooks (IN) Hurt (VA) Issa. Jenkins (WV) Carter (GA) Johnson (OH) Carter (TX) Jolly Jovce Katko King (NY) Collins (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Comstock Knight LaHood Costello (PA) Lance LoBiondo Love Culberson Lummis Curbelo (FL) MacArthur Davis, Rodney Massie McCarthy McClintock Diaz-Balart McHenry McKinley McMorris Emmer (MN) Rodgers Fitzpatrick McSally Meehan Frelinghuysen Messer Newhouse

Nunes

Poliquin Pompeo Price, Tom Reed Reichert Renacci Rigell Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Rokita. Rooney (FL) Ros-Lehtinen Royce Salmon Scalise Schweikert Shimkus Simpson Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Stefanik Stewart Stivers Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Turner Upton Valadao Vela Walden Walters Mimi Wilson (SC)

Paulsen

Peterson

Sanford

may 20, 20	10
Womack Woodall	Young (AK) Young (IA)
	NAYS—
Abraham	Franks (AZ)
Adams	Fudge
Aderholt Aguilar	Gabbard Gallego
Allen	Garamendi
Amash	Garrett
Amodei Babin	Gohmert Goodlatte
Barletta	Gosar
Barton	Gowdy
Bass	Graham
Beatty Becerra	Graves (GA) Graves (LA)
Bera	Graves (MO)
Beyer	Grayson
Bilirakis Bishop (GA)	Green, Al Griffith
Bishop (MI)	Grijalva
Black	Guinta
Blackburn Blum	Guthrie Gutiérrez
Blumenauer	Hahn
Bonamici	Harper
Bost Boyle, Brendan	Harris Hartzler
F.	Hastings
Brady (PA)	Heck (NV)
Brat Bridenstine	Heck (WA)
Brooks (AL)	Hensarling Hice, Jody F
Brown (FL)	Higgins
Brownley (CA) Buchanan	Himes Hinojosa
Buck	Holding
Burgess	Honda
Bustos	Hoyer
Butterfield Capps	Hudson Huelskamp
Capuano	Huffman
Carney Carson (IN)	Huizenga (M
Carson (IN) Cartwright	Hultgren Israel
Castor (FL)	Jackson Lee
Chabot	Jeffries
Chu, Judy Cicilline	Johnson (GA
Clark (MA)	Johnson, E. Johnson, Sa
Clarke (NY)	Jones
Clawson (FL) Clay	Jordan Kaptur
Cleaver	Keating
Clyburn	Kelly (IL)
Cohen Collins (GA)	Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA)
Conaway	Kennedy
Connolly	Kildee Kilmer
Conyers Cooper	Kind
Courtney	King (IA)
Crawford Crowley	Kirkpatrick
Cummings	Kuster Labrador
Davis (CA)	LaMalfa
Davis, Danny DeFazio	Largevin Larsen (WA)
DeGette	Larson (CT)
Delaney	Latta
DeLauro DelBene	Lawrence Lee
DeSantis	Levin
DeSaulnier	Lewis
DesJarlais Deutch	Lieu, Ted Lipinski
Dingell	Loebsack
Doggett	Lofgren
Doyle, Michael F.	Long Loudermilk
Duckworth	Lowenthal
Duncan (SC)	Lowey
Duncan (TN) Edwards	Lucas Luetkemeye
Ellison	Lujan Grish
Ellmers (NC)	(NM)
Engel Eshoo	Luján, Ben I (NM)
Esty	Lynch
Farenthold	Maloney,
Farr Fleischmann	Carolyn Maloney, Se
Fleming	Marchant
Flores	Marino
Fortenberry	Matsui

Foster

Frankel (FL)

McDermott

Torres

Zeldin Young (IA) NAYS--305Franks (AZ) McGovern McNerney Meadows Fudge Gabbard Gallego Meeks Jaramendi Meng Garrett Mica Gohmert Miller (FL) Goodlatte Miller (MI) Gosar Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Gowdy Graham Moore Graves (GA) Moulton Graves (LA) Mullin Graves (MO) Mulvanev Grayson Murphy (FL) Green, Al Murphy (PA) Griffith Nadler Grijalva Napolitano Guinta Neugebauer Guthrie Gutiérrez Noem Hahn Nolan Harpei Norcross Harris Nugent Olson Hartzler Hastings Palazzo Heck (NV) Pallone Heck (WA) Palmer Hensarling Pascrell Hice, Jody B. Payne Higgins Pearce Pelosi Himes Hinojosa Perlmutter Holding Perry Peters Honda Hoyer Pingree Hudson Pittenger Huelskamp Pitts Huffman Pocan Huizenga (MI) Poe (TX) Hultgren Polis srael Posey Price (NC) Jackson Lee Jeffries Quigley Johnson (GA) Rangel Ratcliffe Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Ribble Rice (SC) Jones Jordan Richmond Roby Roe (TN) Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Rogers (AL) Kelly (MS) Roskam Kelly (PA) Ross Rothfus Kennedy Kildee Rouzer Roybal-Allard Kilmer Kind Ruiz King (IA) Ruppersberger Kirkpatrick Rush Kuster Russell Labrador Ryan (OH) LaMalfa Sánchez, Linda Langevin Larsen (WA) Sanchez, Loretta Larson (CT) Sanford Latta Sarbanes Lawrence Schakowsky Schiff Lee Levin Schrader ewis Scott (VA) Lieu, Ted Scott, Austin Lipinski Scott, David oebsack Sensenbrenner ofgren Serrano ong Sessions loudermilk Sewell (AL) Sherman Lowenthal lowey Shuster nicas Sinema Luetkemeyer Sires Lujan Grisham Slaughter (NM) Smith (MO) Luján, Ben Ray Smith (TX) (NM) Smith (WA) vnch Speier Maloney, Stutzman Carolyn Swalwell (CA) Maloney, Sean Takano Marchant Thompson (CA) Marino Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Matsui Fortenberry McCaul McCollum Tonko

Trott Tsongas Van Hollen Vargas Veasey Velázquez Visclosky Wagner Walberg Cárdenas

Young (IN)

Walker Walorski Walz Wasserman Schultz Waters, Maxine Watson Coleman Weber (TX) Webster (FL) NOT VOTING-16

Welch Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Williams Wilson (FL) Wittman Yoder Yoho

Hanna Castro (TX) Herrera Beutler Cramer Jenkins (KS) Duffy Lamborn Fattah O'Rourke Rice (NY) Fincher

Takai Whitfield Yarmuth Zinke

\sqcap 1112

So the bill was not passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RELATING TO CONSIDERATION OF THESENATE AMENDMENT TRANSPORTATION. H.R2577HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOP-MENT. AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2016

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 751) relating to consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 2577) making appropriations for the Departments of Transportation, and Housing and Urban Development, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 236, nays 180, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 267]

YEAS-236

Abraham Chaffetz Fortenberry Aderholt Clawson (FL) Foxx Franks (AZ) Allen Coffman Amash Frelinghuysen Cole Amodei Collins (GA) Garrett Babin Collins (NY Gibbs Barletta Comstock Gibson Barr Conaway Gohmert Barton Goodlatte Cook Costello (PA) Benishek Gosar Bilirakis Crawford Gowdy Bishop (MI) Crenshaw Granger Bishop (UT) Culberson Graves (GA) Black Curbelo (FL) Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Blackburn Davis, Rodney Denham Griffith Blum Bost Dent Grothman Boustany DeSantis Guinta Brady (TX) DesJarlais Guthrie Brat Diaz-Balart Hardy Bridenstine Dold Harper Brooks (AL) Donovan Harris Duncan (SC) Brooks (IN) Hartzler Buchanan Duncan (TN) Heck (NV) Hensarling Hice, Jody B. Buck Ellmers (NC) Bucshon Emmer (MN) Burgess Farenthold Hill Fitzpatrick Holding Byrne Fleischmann Hudson Calvert Carter (GA) Huelskamp Fleming Carter (TX) Flores Huizenga (MI) Chabot Forbes Hultgren

Hunter Hurd (TX) Hurt (VA) Tssa. Jenkins (WV) Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jolly Jones Jordan Joyce Katko Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kinzinger (IL) Kline Knight Labrador LaHood LaMalfa Lance Latta LoBiondo Long Loudermilk Love Lucas Luetkemever Lummis MacArthur Marchant Marino Massie McCarthy McCaul McClintock McHenry McKinley McMorris Rodgers McSally Meadows Meehan Messer Mica.

Adams

Aguilar

Ashford

Bass

Bera

Bever

Beatty

Becerra

Bishop (GA)

Blumenauer

Boyle, Brendan

Brownley (CA)

Bonamici

Brady (PA)

Brown (FL)

Butterfield

Carson (IN)

Cartwright

Castor (FL)

Chu, Judy

Clark (MA)

Clarke (NY)

Cicilline

Clay

Cleaver

Clyburn

Connolly

Convers

Cooper

Courtney

Crowley

Cuellar

Cummings

Davis (CA)

DeFazio

DeGette

Delanev

DeLauro

DelBene

Deutch

Dingell

DeSaulnier

Davis, Danny

Costa

Cohen

Bustos

Capps

Capuano

Carney

Moolenaar Mooney (WV) Mullin Mulvanev Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Newhouse Noem Nugent Nunes Olson Palazzo Palmer Paulsen Pearce Perry Pittenger Pitts Poe (TX) Poliquin Pompeo Posev Price, Tom Ratcliffe Reed Reichert Renacci Ribble Rice (SC) Rigell Robv Roe (TN) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rohrabacher Rokita Rooney (FL) Ros-Lehtinen Roskam Ross Rothfus Rouzer Royce Russell Salmon NAYS-

Miller (FL)

Miller (MI)

Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sensenbrenner Sessions Shimkus Shuster Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Stefanik Stewart Stivers Stutzman Thompson (PA) Thornberry Tiberi Tipton Trott Turner Upton Valadao Wagner Walberg Walden Walker Walorski Walters, Mimi Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Westmoreland Williams Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Woodall Yoder Yoho Young (AK) Young (IA) Young (IN) Zeldin

Levin

Lewis

Lieu, Ted

Loebsack

Lipinski

Doggett Doyle, Michael Duckworth Edwards Ellison Engel Eshoo Esty Farr Foster Frankel (FL) Fudge Gabbard Gallego Garamendi Graham Grayson Green, Al Green, Gene Grijalva Gutiérrez Hahn Hastings Heck (WA) Higgins Himes Hinojosa Honda Hoyer Huffman Israel Jackson Lee Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson, E. B. Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Kildee Kilmer Kind Kirkpatrick Kuster Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence

Lofgren Lowenthal Lowey Lujan Grisham (NM) Luián, Ben Ray (NM) Lvnch Maloney. Carolyn Maloney, Sean Matsui McCollum McDermott McGovern McNerney Meeks Meng Moore Moulton Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Neal Nolan Norcross Pallone Pascrell Pavne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Peterson Pingree Pocan Polis Price (NC) Quigley Rangel Richmond Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Ryan (OH)