

For those reasons, Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on the previous question and "no" on the underlying measure. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RASKIN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Madam Speaker, let me start by saying that the majority leader hardly needs me to defend him, but I know that he was a strong supporter of the D.C. voting rights constitutional amendment where there was actually bipartisan support.

In those days, Republicans understood the grievous injustice being perpetrated against the people of Washington, D.C., and they supported granting people in D.C. two Senators, or what my colleague would call two ultraliberal Senators, as well as the Representatives in the House to which they were due.

There were certainly people who were saying there were other ways of accomplishing it. Now, unfortunately, that bipartisan consensus collapsed even though it passed the Senate and the House back in the day. I don't hear any of my colleagues saying they are for it now.

Mr. HOYER, the majority leader, is supporting the only viable vehicle for getting equal rights for people in Washington, D.C., that exists today, which is statehood, which is how 37 new States entered the Union with Congress' exercise of its powers under Article IV of the Constitution.

The gentleman waxed eloquent about the vision of a great Capital City, but being a strict textualist, I assume that he wants to pay some attention to the text of the Constitution. Article I, Section 8, Clause 17, the District Clause, sets a maximum, a ceiling that the District may be no more than 10 miles square, but there is no minimum there. It didn't say it must be at least 6 miles square or 2 miles square or 3 miles. No, that is up to Congress. In other words, it is a political question within congressional power, our plenary power, over the District of Columbia.

Finally, the gentleman, I suppose, gets to the heart of the matter when he says that, for him, it is all about two ultraliberal Senators. I would ask every Member of this body to think about that for a second, reflect on that. In America, I don't think we deny people voting rights based on how they are going to vote. I don't think we deny entire States and political communities representation based on predictions of who they might elect.

In fact, there is a Supreme Court case about that called *Carrington v. Rash*. When armed services members in Texas were disenfranchised because it was suggested they would vote in a way more identified with the national government than with local cultural values in Texas, the Supreme Court struck it down and said that, in American democracy, we do not allow government to disenfranchise people based on predictions of how they are going to vote or who they are going to elect.

That is precisely what the gentleman invites us to do here, to deny 712,000 taxpaying, draftable U.S. citizens, who came to our aid on January 6, to deny them their equal rights under the Union, under the flag, because he predicts that they are going to elect people whose policy views are contrary to his own.

Madam Speaker, I would suggest that is totally antithetical to the meaning of American constitutional democracy. Everyone should take a walk around Washington. You will see flags in the yards of all the people here, yards that we pass by every day when we come to Washington, and they say, "D.C. 51." They want their statehood. Let's listen to the people of Washington.

If you can't quite stomach that, then read the Republican Party platform itself, which calls for Puerto Rican statehood, and let's see if we can do it together. Let's bring in millions of disenfranchised people in America.

Still, I get radio silence from my colleagues on that. Not a single one will opine about whether or not the people of Puerto Rico should be admitted as a State.

Madam Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to vote "yes" on the rule and the previous question.

The material previously referred to by Mr. RESCIENTHALER is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 330

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

SEC. 8. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall resolve into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2321) to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to establish a plan to respond to irregular migration surges at the border, to establish an irregular migration surge border response fund, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Homeland Security. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. When the committee rises and reports the bill back to the House with a recommendation that the bill do pass, the previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommend. If the Committee of the Whole rises and reports that it has come to no resolution on the bill, then on the next legislative day the House shall, immediately after the third daily order of business under clause 1 of rule XIV, resolve into the Committee of the Whole for further consideration of the bill.

SEC. 9. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 2321.

Mr. RASKIN. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. RESCIENTHALER. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, the yeas and nays are ordered.

Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question are postponed.

RAISING A QUESTION OF PRIVILEGES OF THE HOUSE

Mr. MCCARTHY. Madam Speaker, I rise to a question of the privileges of the House, and I offer H. Res. 331.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. RES. 331

Whereas on the evening of April 17, 2021, Representative Maxine M. Waters of California joined protestors in Brooklyn Center, Minnesota, who were gathered outside the Brooklyn Center Police Department;

Whereas Representative Maxine M. Waters said, "We're looking for a guilty verdict" in the trial of Derek Chauvin;

Whereas Representative Maxine M. Waters said that if there was not a guilty verdict, protestors on the street should "... Stay on the street, and we've got to get more active, we've got to get more confrontational, we've got to make sure they know we mean business.";

Whereas on April 19, 2021, the judge in the trial of Derek Chauvin, Judge Peter Cahill, said in reply to Derek Chauvin's defense attorney, "I'll give you that Congresswoman Waters may have given you something on appeal that may result in this whole trial being overturned.";

Whereas Judge Cahill stated, "I wish elected officials would stop talking about this case, especially in a manner that is disrespectful to the rule of law and to the judicial branch and our function."; and

Whereas Judge Cahill stated, "I think if they want to give their opinions, they should do so in a respectful manner, and in a manner that is consistent with their oath to the Constitution. To respect the coequal branch of government. Their failure to do so I think is abhorrent."; Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That—

(1) Representative Maxine M. Waters of California be censured;

(2) Representative Maxine M. Waters forthwith present herself in the well of the House for the pronouncement of censure; and

(3) Representative Maxine M. Waters be censured with the public reading of this resolution by the Speaker.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The resolution qualifies.

MOTION TO TABLE

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Hoyer moves that the resolution be laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to table.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCCARTHY. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 210, not voting 4, as follows:

[Roll No. 122]

YEAS—216

Adams Gonzalez, Omar
Aguilar Vicente Pallone
Allred Gottheimer Panetta
Auchincloss Green, Al (TX) Pappas
Axne Grijalva Pascarell
Barragán Harder (CA) Payne
Bass Hayes Pelosi
Beatty Higgins (NY) Perlmutter
Bera Himes Peters
Beyer Horsford Phillips
Bishop (GA) Houlihan Pingree
Blumenauer Hoyer Pocan
Blunt Rochester Huffman
Bonamici Jackson Lee Pressley
Bourdeaux Jacobs (CA) Price (NC)
Bowman Jayapal Quigley
Boyle, Brendan Jeffries
F. Johnson (TX) Rice (NY)
Brown Jones Ross
Brownley Kahale Roybal-Allard
Bush Kaptur Ruiz
Bustos Keating Ruppertsberger
Butterfield Kelly (IL) Rush
Carbajal Khanna Ryan
Cárdenas Kildee Sánchez
Carson Kilmer Sarbanes
Cartwright Kim (NJ) Scanlon
Case Kind Schakowsky
Casten Kirkpatrick Schiff
Castor (FL) Krishnamoorthi Schneider
Castro (TX) Kuster Schrader
Chu Lamb Schrier
Cicilline Langevin Scott (VA)
Clark (MA) Larsen (WA) Scott, David
Clarke (NY) Larson (CT) Sewell
Clever Lawrence Sherman
Clyburn Lawton (FL) Sherrill
Cohen Lee (CA) Sires
Connolly Lee (NV) Slotkin
Cooper Leger Fernandez Smith (WA)
Correa Levin (CA) Soto
Costa Levin (MI) Spanberger
Courtney Lieu Speier
Craig Lofgren Stanton
Crist Lowenthal Stevens
Crow Luria Strickland
Cuellar Lynch Suozzi
Davids (KS) Malinowski Swaiwell
Dean Maloney, Carolyn B. Takano
DeFazio Carolyn B. Thompson (CA)
DeGette Maloney, Sean Thompson (MS)
DeLauro Manning Titus
DelBene Matsui Tlaib
Delgado McBeth Tonko
Demings McCollum Torres (CA)
DeSaulnier McEachin Torres (NY)
Deutch McGovern Trahan
Dingell McNerney Trone
Doggett Meeks Underwood
Doyle, Michael Meng Vargas
F. Mfume Veasey
Escobar Moore (WI) Vela
Eshoo Morelle Velázquez
Españat Moulton Wasserman
Evans Mrvan Schultz
Fletcher Murphy (FL) Waters
Foster Nadler Watson Coleman
Frankel, Lois Napolitano Welch
Gallego Neal Wexton
Garamendi Neguse Wild
García (IL) Newman Williams (GA)
García (TX) Norcross Wilson (FL)
Golden O'Halleran Yarmuth
Gomez Ocasio-Cortez

NAYS—210

Aderholt Bishop (NC) Cawthorn
Allen Boebert Chabot
Amodei Bost Cheney
Armstrong Brady Cline
Arrington Brooks Cloud
Babin Buchanan Cole
Bacon Buck Comer
Baird Bucshon Crawford
Balderson Budd Crenshaw
Banks Burchett Curtis
Barr Burgess Davidson
Bentz Calvert Davis, Rodney
Bergman Cammack DesJarlais
Bice (OK) Carl Diaz-Balart
Biggs Carter (GA) Donalds
Bilirakis Carter (TX) Duncan

Dunn Johnson (SD) Posey
Emmer Jordan Reed
Estes Joyce (OH) Reschenthaler
Fallon Joyce (PA) Rice (SC)
Feenstra Katko Rodgers (WA)
Ferguson Keller Rogers (AL)
Fischbach Kelly (MS) Rogers (KY)
Fitzgerald Kelly (PA) Rose
Fitzpatrick Kim (CA) Rosendale
Fleischmann Kinzinger Rouzer
Fortenberry Kustoff Roy
Foxy LaHood Rutherford
Franklin, C. LaMalfa Salazar
Scott Lamborn Scalise
Fulcher Latta Schweikert
Gaetz LaTurner Scott, Austin
Gallagher Lesko Sessions
Garbarino Letlow Simpson
García (CA) Long Smith (MO)
Gibbs Loudermilk Smith (NJ)
Gimenez Lucas Smucker
Gohmert Luetkemeyer Spartz
Gonzales, Tony Mace Stauber
Gonzalez (OH) Malliotakis Steel
Good (VA) Mann Stefanik
Gooden (TX) Massie Steil
Gosar Mast Steube
Granger McCarthy Stewart
Graves (LA) McCaul Stivers
Graves (MO) McClain Taylor
Green (TN) McClintock Tenney
Greene (GA) McHenry Thompson (PA)
Griffith McKinley Tiffany
Grothman Meijer Timmons
Guest Meuser Turner
Guthrie Miller (IL) Upton
Hagedorn Miller (WV) Valadao
Harris Miller-Meeks Van Drew
Harshbarger Moolenaar Van Dwyne
Hartzler Mooney Wagner
Hern Moore (AL) Walberg
Herrell Moore (UT) Walorski
Herrera Beutler Mullin Waltz
Hice (GA) Murphy (NC) Weber (TX)
Higgins (LA) Nehls Webster (FL)
Hill Newhouse Wenstrup
Hinson Norman Westerman
Hollingsworth Nunes Williams (TX)
Hudson Obernolte Wilson (SC)
Huizenga Owens Wittman
Issa Palazzo Womack
Jackson Palmer Young
Jacobs (NY) Pence Zeldin
Johnson (LA) Perry
Johnson (OH) Pfluger

NOT VOTING—4

Clyde Johnson (GA)
Davis, Danny K. Smith (NE)

□ 1627

Mr. WITTMAN changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the motion to table was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE
RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Allred (Wexton) Lawson (FL) Palazzo
Barragán (Beyer) (Evans) (Fleischmann)
Cárdenas Leger Fernandez Payne (Pallone)
(Gallego) (Jacobs (CA)) Porter (Wexton)
Costa (Correa) Lieu (Beyer) Rush
Crenshaw Lowenthal (Underwood)
(Fallon) (Beyer) Sewell (DelBene)
Donalds Meng (Clark) Stefanik (Katko)
(Cammack) (MA)) Trahan (Lynch)
Gibbs Mfume Watson Coleman
(Balderson) (Connolly) (Pallone)
Grijalva (García) Moulton Welch
(IL) (Perlmutter) (McGovern)
Kirkpatrick Napolitano Wilson (FL)
(Stanton) (Correa) (Hayes)
Langevin Omar (Bush) Wilson (SC)
(Lynch) (Timmons)

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 51, WASHINGTON, D.C. ADMISSION ACT; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1573, ACCESS TO COUNSEL ACT OF 2021; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1333, NATIONAL ORIGIN-BASED ANTIDISCRIMINATION FOR NONIMMIGRANTS ACT; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. BROWNLEY). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 330) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 51) to provide for the admission of the State of Washington, D.C. into the Union; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1573) to clarify the rights of all persons who are held or detained at a port of entry or at any detention facility overseen by U.S. Customs and Border Protection or U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1333) to transfer and limit Executive Branch authority to suspend or restrict the entry of a class of aliens; and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 206, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 123]

YEAS—216

Adams Crow Jayapal
Aguilar Cuellar Jeffries
Allred Davids (KS) Johnson (GA)
Auchincloss Davis, Danny K. Johnson (TX)
Axne Dean Jones
Barragán DeFazio Kahale
Bass DeGette Kaptur
Beatty DeLauro Keating
Bera DelBene Kelly (IL)
Beyer Delgado Khanna
Bishop (GA) Demings Kildee
Blumenauer DeSaulnier Kilmer
Blunt Rochester Deutch Kim (NJ)
Bonamici Dingell Kind
Bourdeaux Doggett Kirkpatrick
Bowman Doyle, Michael Krishnamoorthi
Boyle, Brendan F. Kuster
F. Escobar Lamb
Brown Eshoo Langevin
Brownley Espaillat Larsen (WA)
Bush Evans Larson (CT)
Bustos Fletcher Lawrence
Butterfield Foster Lawson (FL)
Carbajal Frankel, Lois Lee (CA)
Cárdenas Gallego Lee (NV)
Carson Garamendi Leger Fernandez
Cartwright García (IL) Levin (CA)
Case García (TX) Levin (MI)
Casten Golden Lieu
Castor (FL) Gomez Lowenthal
Castro (TX) Gonzalez, Vicente Luria
Chu Gottheimer Lynch
Cicilline Green, Al (TX) Malinowski
Clark (MA) Grijalva Maloney
Clarke (NY) Harder (CA) Carolyn B.
Clever Hayes Maloney, Sean
Clyburn Higgins (NY) Manning
Cohen Himes Matsui
Connolly Horsford McBath
Cooper Houlihan McCollum
Correa Hoyer McEachin
Costa Huffman McGovern
Courtney Jackson Lee McNerney
Craig Jacobs (CA) Meeks
Crist