Luria

Lvnch

Mace

Malliotakis

Manning

Mast

Matsui

McBath

McCarthy

Maloney, Sean

Granger

Graves (LA)

Graves (MO)

Green (TN)

Grothman

Grijalya

Guest.

Harris

Hayes

Herrell

Himes

Hinson

Horsford

Houlahan

Hoyer

Hudson

Huizenga

Jackson

Jeffries

Jordan

Kahele

Kaptur

Katko

Keating

Kelly (IL)

Kelly (MS)

Kelly (PA)

Keller

Kilmer

Kind

Kim (CA)

Kim (NJ)

Kinzinger

Kuster

Kustoff

LaHood

LaMalfa

Lamborn

Langevin

LaTurner

Lawrence

Lee (NV)

Lawson (FL)

Leger Fernandez

Larsen (WA)

Larson (CT)

Lamb

Latta

Kirkpatrick

Krishnamoorthi

Jackson Lee

Jacobs (NY)

Johnson (LA)

Johnson (OH)

Johnson (SD)

Johnson (TX)

Joyce (OH)

Joyce (PA)

Hern

Hartzler

Guthrie

Hagedorn

Harder (CA)

Harshbarger

Herrera Beutler

Higgins (LA)

Higgins (NY)

Hollingsworth

Schiff

Schneider

Schrader

Scott (VA)

Scott, Austin Scott, David

Schrier

Sessions

Sherman

Sherrill

Sewell

Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mrs. WATSON COLEMAN, and Ms. CLARKE of New York changed their vote from "nay" to vea.

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Baird (Walorski)	Hartzler
Bass (Brownley)	(Lamborn)
Crist	Kahele (Jacobs
(Wasserman	(CA))
Schultz)	Kind (Connolly)
DeFazio (Brown	Lawrence
(MD))	(Stevens)
Demings (Soto)	Lawson (FL)
Fulcher (Johnson	(Evans)
(OH))	Lesko (Miller
Green (TX)	(WV))
(Escobar)	Meng (Kuster)
Grijalva	Moore (UT)
(Stanton)	(Carl)
Hagedorn (Carl)	Payne (Pallone)

(Cammack) Rice (NY) (Murphy (FL)) Rush (Quiglev) Sires (Pallone) Torres (CA) (Correa) Trone (Beyer) Underwood (Casten) Vargas (Correa) Wilson (FL) (Hayes)

NATIONAL PULSE MEMORIAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on passage of the bill (S. 1605) to designate the National Pulse Memorial located at 1912 South Orange Avenue in Orlando, Florida, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 363, nays 70, not voting 0, as follows:

[Roll No. 405]

YEAS-363

	111110
Adams	Carbajal
Aderholt	Cárdenas
Aguilar	Carey
Allen	Carl
Allred	Carson
Amodei	Carter (GA)
Armstrong	Carter (LA)
Arrington	Carter (TX)
Axne	Cartwright
Babin	Case
Bacon	Casten
Baird	Castor (FL)
Balderson	Castro (TX)
Banks	Cawthorn
Barr	Chabot
Barragán	Cheney
Bass	Cicilline
Beatty	Cleaver
Bentz	Cloud
Bera	Clyburn
Bergman	Clyde
Beyer	Cole
Bice (OK)	Comer
Bilirakis	Cooper
Bishop (GA)	Correa
Blunt Rochester	Costa
Boebert	Courtney
Bost	Craig
Bourdeaux	Crawford
Boyle, Brendan	Crenshaw
F.	Crist
Brady	Crow
Brooks	Cuellar
Brown (OH)	Curtis
Brownley	Davids (KS)
Buchanan	Davidson
Bucshon	Davis, Rodn
Budd	Dean
Burchett	DeLauro
Burgess	DelBene
Bustos	Delgado
Butterfield	Demings
Calvert	DeSaulnier
Cammack	DesJarlais

Ferguson Fischbach ıe Fitzgerald Fitzpatrick Fletcher Fortenberry Foster Foxx Franklin, C. еу Scott Fulcher rd Gaetz Gallagher aw Gallego Garamendi Garbarino Garcia (CA) (KS) Garcia (TX) Gibbs Rodney Gimenez Golden Gonzales, Tony Gonzalez (OH) Gonzalez, Vicente lnier Gooden (TX)

Gottheimer

Deutch Diaz-Balart Dingell Donalds Duncan Dunn Ellzey Emmer Escobar Eshoo Espaillat Estes Evans Fallon Feenstra Fleischmann Frankel, Lois

Letlow Levin (CA) Lieu Lofgren Long Loudermilk Luetkemeyer Auchincloss Biggs Bishop (NC) Blumenauer Bonamici Bowman Brown (MD) Buck Bush Chu Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Cline Cohen Connolly Davis, Danny K.

DeFazio

DeGette

McCaul Simpson McClain Sires McClintock Slotkin McCollum Smith (MO) McEachin Smith (NE) McHenry Smith (NJ) McKinley Smith (WA) McNernev Smucker Meeks Soto Meijer Spanberger Meuser Spartz Mfume Miller (WV) Speier Stansbury Miller-Meeks Stanton Moolenaar Stauber Mooney Moore (AL) Steel Stefanik Moore (UT) Steil Morelle Steube Moulton Stevens Mrvan Stewart Mullin Murphy (FL) Strickland Suozzi Murphy (NC) Swalwell Napolitano Neal Taylor Nehls Tenney Thompson (CA) Newhouse Newman Thompson (MS) Norcross Thompson (PA) Nunes Tiffany Timmons O'Halleran Obernolte Titus Owens Tonko Palazzo Torres (CA) Palmer Trahan Panetta Pappas Turner Pascrel1 Underwood Pence Upton Perlmutter Valadao Perry Van Drew Peters Van Duyne Pfluger Vargas Phillips Veasey Pingree Vela. Price (NC) Wagner Quigley Walberg Reed Walorski Reschenthaler Waltz Rice (NY) Wasserman Rodgers (WA) Schultz Rogers (AL) Waters Rogers (KY) Weber (TX) Rose Webster (FL) Ross Wenstrup Rouzer Roybal-Allard Westerman Wexton Ruiz Ruppersberger Wild Williams (TX) Rush Wilson (FL) Rutherford Wilson (SC) Ryan Salazar Wittman Sánchez Womack Yarmuth Sarbanes Young Scanlon Zeldin NAYS-70

Doggett	Lee (CA)
Doyle, Michael	Lesko
F.	Levin (MI)
García (IL)	Lowenthal
Gohmert	Malinowski
Gomez	Maloney,
Good (VA)	Carolyn B
Gosar	Massie
Green, Al (TX)	McGovern
Greene (GA)	Meng
Griffith	Miller (IL)
Hice (GA)	Moore (WI)
Huffman	Nadler
Jacobs (CA)	Neguse
Jayapal	Norman
Johnson (GA)	Ocasio-Cort
Jones	Omar
Khanna	Pallone

В.

Pocan Porter Posev Pressley Raskin

Rice (SC) Rosendale Roy Schakowsky Schweikert Takano

Tlaib Torres (NY) Velázquez Watson Coleman Welch Williams (GA)

□ 2151

Mr. GOHMERT changed his vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Baird (Walorski) Bass (Brownley) Crist (Wasserman Schultz) DeFazio (Brown (MD)) Demings (Soto) Fulcher (Johnson (OH)) Green (TX) (Escobar) Grijalva (Stanton)

Hagedorn (Carl) Posev Kahele (Jacobs (CA)) Kind (Connolly) Lawrence (Stevens) Lawson (FL) (Evans) Lesko (Miller (WV)) Meng (Kuster) Moore (UT) (Carl) Payne (Pallone)

(Cammack) Rice (NY) (Murphy (FL)) Rush (Quigley) Sires (Pallone) Torres (CA) (Correa) Trone (Beyer) Underwood (Casten) Vargas (Correa) Wilson (FL) (Hayes)

DIRECTING THE SECRETARY OF THE SENATE TO MAKE A COR-RECTION IN THE ENROLLMENT OF THE BILL S. 1605

Mr. SMITH of Washington. Madam Speaker, I send to the desk a concurrent resolution and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. DA-VIDS of Kansas). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 64

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), that in the enrollment of the bill S. 1605, the Secretary of the Senate shall make the following correction: Amend the long title so as to read: "An Act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2022 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes.".

The concurrent resolution was agreed

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

EXPRESSING THE PROFOUND SOR-ROW OF THE HOUSE OF REP-RESENTATIVES ON THE DEATH OF THE HONORABLE ROBERT JO-SEPH DOLE

Mr. ESTES. Madam Speaker, I send to the desk a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H RES 839

Resolved, That the House has heard with profound sorrow of the death of the Honorable Robert Joseph Dole, a Senator from the State of Kansas.

Resolved, That the Clerk communicate these resolutions to the Senate and transmit a copy thereof to the family of the deceased.

Resolved, That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn as a further mark of respect to the memory of the deceased Sen-

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Cheryl L. Johnson, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker on Friday, December 3, 3021:

H.R. 6119. An Act making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2022, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 11(b) of House Resolution 188, the House stands adjourned until 10 a.m. tomorrow as a further mark of respect to the memory of the late Honorable Robert Joseph Dole.

Thereupon (at 9 o'clock and 57 minutes p.m.), under its previous order and pursuant to H. Res. 839, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, December 8, 2021, at 10 a.m., as a further mark of respect to the memory of the late Honorable Robert Joseph Dole.

AMENDED NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF REGULATIONS AND TRANS-MITTALFOR CONGRESSIONAL APPROVAL

> U.S. CONGRESS. OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL WORKPLACE RIGHTS, Washington, DC, December 7, 2021.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,

Speaker of the House, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Section 304(b)(3) of the Congressional Accountability Act (CAA), 2 U.S.C. §1384(b)(3), requires that, with regard to substantive regulations under the CAA, after the Board of Directors of the Office of Congressional Workplace Rights (Board) has published a general notice of proposed rulemaking as required by subsection (b)(1), and received comments as required by subsection (b)(2), "the Board shall adopt regulations and shall transmit notice of such action together with a copy of such regulations to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President pro tempore of the Senate for publication in the Congressional Record on the first day on which both Houses are in session following such transmittal.

The Board has adopted the regulations in the Amended Notice of Adoption of Substantive Regulations and Transmittal for Congressional Approval which accompany this transmittal letter. The Board requests that the accompanying Amended Notice be published in the House version of the Congressional Record on the first day on which both Houses are in session following receipt of this transmittal. The Board has adopted the same regulations for the Senate, the House of Representatives, and the other cov-

ered entities and facilities, and therefore recommends that the adopted regulations be approved by concurrent resolution of the Congress.

Any inquiries regarding this notice should be addressed to Susan Tsui Grundmann, Executive Director of the Office of Congressional Workplace Rights, Room LA-200, 110 2nd Street, S.E., Washington, DC 20540; 202-724-9250.

Sincerely.

BARBARA CHILDS WALLACE. Chair of the Board of Directors. Office of Congressional Workplace Rights Attachment.

FROM THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE OF-FICE OF CONGRESSIONAL WORKPLACE RIGHTS AMENDED NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF REGULA-TIONS AND TRANSMITTAL FOR CONGRES-SIONAL APPROVAL

Modifications to the rights and protections under the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (FMLA), Amended Notice of Adoption of Regulations, as required by 2 U.S.C. 1384, Congressional Accountability Act of 1995, as amended (CAA).

Background:

Section 304(b)(3) of the Congressional Accountability Act (CAA), 2 U.S.C. §1384(b)(3), requires that, with regard to substantive regulations under the CAA, after the Board of Directors of the Office of Congressional Workplace Rights (Board) has published a general notice of proposed rulemaking as required by subsection (b)(1), and received comments as required by subsection (b)(2), "the Board shall adopt regulations and shall transmit notice of such action together with a copy of such regulations to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President pro tempore of the Senate for publication in the Congressional Record on the first day on which both Houses are in session following such transmittal."

Section 202 of the CAA (2 U.S.C. 1302 et seq.), applies the rights and protections of sections 101 through 105 of the FMLA to covered employees in the legislative branch. On June 22, 2016, the Board adopted and submitted for publication in the Congressional Record amendments to its substantive regulations regarding the FMLA. 162 Cong. Rec. H4128-H4168, S4475-S4516 (daily ed. June 22, 2016). As set forth in the Board's accompanying Notice of Adoption of Regulations and Transmittal for Congressional Approval, the 2016 amendments provide needed clarity on certain aspects of the FMLA. Congress has not yet acted on the Board's request for approval of these amendments.

The purpose of this Amended Notice of Adoption of Regulations and Transmittal for Congressional Approval is to announce adoption of additional modifications to the existing legislative branch FMLA substantive regulations. Specifically, on December 20, 2019, Congress enacted the Federal Employee Paid Leave Act (subtitle A of title LXXVI of division F of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020, Public Law 116-92, December 20, 2019) (FEPLA). FEPLA amended the FMLA to allow most civilian Federal employees, including eligible employees in the legislative branch, to substitute up to 12 weeks of paid parental leave (PPL) for unpaid FMLA leave granted in connection with the birth of an employee's son or daughter or for the placement of a son or daughter with an employee for adoption or foster care. These additional modifications are necessary in order to bring existing legislative branch FMLA regulations (issued April 19, 1996) in line with these recent statutory changes.

What is the authority under the CAA for these substantive regulations?

Section 202(a) of the CAA provides that the rights and protections established by sections 101 through 105 of the FMLA (29 U.S.C. 2611-2615) shall apply to covered employees in the legislative branch. Section 202(d)(1) and (2) of the CAA require that the Board, pursuant to section 304 of the CAA, issue regulations implementing the rights and protections of the FMLA and that those regulations shall be "the same as substantive regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Labor to implement the statutory provisions referred to in the subsection (a) [of section 202 of the CAA] except insofar as the Board may determine, for good cause shown. that a modification of such regulations would be more effective for the implementation of the rights and protections under this section." The modifications to the regulations proposed by the Board herein are on all matters for which section 202 of the CAA requires regulations to be issued.

Are there currently FMLA regulations in effect?

Yes. On January 22, 1996, the OCWR Board adopted and submitted for publication in the Congressional Record the original FMLA final regulations implementing section 202 of the CAA, which applies certain rights and protections of the FMLA. On April 15, 1996, pursuant to section 304(c) of the CAA, the House and the Senate passed resolutions approving the final regulations. Specifically, the Senate passed S. Res. 242, providing for approval of the final regulations applicable to the Senate and the employees of the Senate: the House passed H. Res. 400 providing for approval of the final regulations applicable to the House and the employees of the House; and the House and the Senate passed S. Con. Res. 51, providing for approval of the final regulations applicable to employing offices and employees other than those offices and employees of the House and the Senate. After the Senate and the House passed these resolutions, the Board formally issued the FMLA regulations on April 19, 1996.

What does the FMLA provide?

In general, the FMLA provides eligible employees the right to take a total of 12 workweeks of unpaid leave during any 12-month period for specified family and medical reasons and for specified circumstances relating to a family member's military service. Employing offices in the legislative branch covered by FMLA provisions of the CAA must provide unpaid leave to eligible employees: (1) for the birth of a son or daughter and to care for the newborn son or daughter; or (2) for placement with the employee of a son or daughter for adoption or foster care; (3) to care for the employee's spouse, son, daughter, or parent with a serious health condition: (4) because of a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the functions of the employee's job: (5) because of any qualifying exigency arising out of the fact that the employee's spouse, son, daughter, or parent is a military member on covered active duty status; and (6) to care for a covered servicemember with a serious injury or illness if the employee is the spouse, son, daughter, parent, or next of kin of the covered servicemember.

How do the FEPLA amendments affect the FMLA as applied to the legislative branch?

The FEPLA amendments to the FMLA include provisions expressly applicable to the legislative branch that both: (1) change the eligibility rules for employees to take protected leave for births or placements under the FMLA; and (2) permit employees to substitute PPL and other paid accrued leave for unpaid FMLA leave for such births or placements. The FEPLA amendments are summarized below.

For purposes of FMLA leave with respect to any birth or placement, all covered employees in the legislative branch are eligible