

is still a problem. This is still an issue, wasteful spending, whether it is in the billions or whether it is in the thousands, is wasteful spending. And at the end of the day, we need to understand, the American people are counting on us to make hard decisions, and there are lots and lots of hard decisions. But currently as a body, we are not even discussing \$30 trillion in debt. So I bring it to us again: We have \$30 trillion in debt. Let's start working on this.

I yield the floor.

NOMINATION OF SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT

Mr. DURBIN. Madam President, today the Senate will vote on the nomination of Judge Sherilyn Peace Garnett to serve as a U.S. district court judge for the Central District of California.

Judge Garnett is an experienced jurist and a long-time public servant who will make an outstanding addition to the bench. During her 13 years as an assistant U.S. Attorney, she prosecuted hundreds of matters, including criminal street gang offenses, child exploitation, and threats made against government officials. In recognition of her accomplishments as a prosecutor, Judge Garnett received a number of awards from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and the U.S. Postal Service.

Since 2014, Judge Garnett has served as a Los Angeles Superior Court judge, presiding over misdemeanor and felony proceedings. In 2016, she also served as an appellate State court judge, presiding over criminal, civil, and family law cases.

The American Bar Association has unanimously rated Judge Garnett as "well qualified" to be a district court judge. She also has the strong support of her home State Senators, Mrs. FEINSTEIN and Mr. PADILLA.

I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting Judge Garnett's nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

CLOTURE MOTION WITHDRAWN

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw the cloture motion with respect to the Gordon nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I yield back all time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. All time is yielded back.

VOTE ON GARNETT NOMINATION

The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Garnett nomination?

Mr. MENENDEZ. Madam President, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New York (Mrs. GILIBRAND), the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY), and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Arkansas (Mr. COTTON) and the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. TOOMEY).

The result was announced—yeas 62, nays 33, as follows:

(Rollcall Vote No. 139 Ex.)

YEAS—62

Baldwin	Hassan	Reed
Bennet	Heinrich	Romney
Blumenthal	Hickenlooper	Rosen
Booker	Hirono	Rounds
Brown	Kaine	Sanders
Burr	Kelly	Schatz
Cantwell	King	Schumer
Capito	Klobuchar	Shaheen
Cardin	Leahy	Sinema
Carper	Lujan	Smith
Casey	Manchin	Stabenow
Collins	Markey	Tester
Coons	McConnell	Tillis
Cornyn	Menendez	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Merkley	Warner
Duckworth	Murkowski	Warnock
Durbin	Murray	Warren
Ernst	Ossoff	Whitehouse
Feinstein	Padilla	Wicker
Graham	Peters	Young
Grassley	Portman	

NAYS—33

Barrasso	Hagerty	Moran
Blackburn	Hawley	Paul
Blunt	Hoeven	Risch
Boozman	Hyde-Smith	Rubio
Braun	Inhofe	Sasse
Cassidy	Johnson	Scott (FL)
Cramer	Kennedy	Scott (SC)
Crapo	Lankford	Shelby
Cruz	Lee	Sullivan
Daines	Lummis	Thune
Fischer	Marshall	Tuberville

NOT VOTING—5

Cotton	Murphy	Wyden
Gillibrand	Toomey	

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. OSSOFF). Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The Senator from Washington.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

MORNING BUSINESS

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to legislative session for a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Florida.

PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL UNDER CHAPTER 8 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE, OF THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES RELATING TO "ENSURING ACCESS TO EQUITABLE, AFFORDABLE, CLIENT-CENTERED, QUALITY FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES"—MOTION TO PROCEED

Mr. RUBIO. Mr. President, for too long, Americans' taxpayer dollars have been used as a backdoor way to help promote abortion as a family planning service. President Trump put a stop to this. Back in 2019, he barred the Federal Government from providing these funds, the funds through the title X Family Planning Program, from going to entities that refer or provide abortions, organizations such as the big business that is known as Planned Parenthood.

Now, understand, it didn't reduce title X funding. It didn't cut one penny of it. Instead, it directed it to organizations that do not perform and do not promote the taking of innocent life.

Last year, unfortunately, the Biden administration, as was to be expected, pulled the plug on this vital protection, and now we see taxpayer money flowing once again to these abortion providers and to their referrers. This has to stop, and that is why I have worked with Representative TONY GONZALES in the House to introduce the Congressional Review Act of title X to ensure that not one more taxpayer dollar is used to fund the abortion industry.

By reversing this administration's ruling, abortion clinics will once again be excluded from receiving this taxpayer money, and it would put more money toward improving and saving lives instead of ending them.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington.

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, I want to be really clear about what this vote is actually about. This vote is about birth control. This is about lifesaving pelvic and breast exams to detect cancer early, and it is about STI testing and treatments. That is the basic reproductive healthcare that title X providers deliver to communities—especially to women with the tightest budgets.

Republicans are here tonight fighting to undermine healthcare. It is really that simple. And we are here fighting to protect it.

I fought long and hard against President Trump's disastrous gag rule. It was a rule that cut title X provider networks in half. It forced entire States, including my home State of Washington, out of the Title X Program. It forced doctors and nurses to withhold information from their patients on all the options they have, including abortion, and ultimately resulted in patients traveling farther, paying more, or going without care. In short, it made it harder for women to

get birth control and other critical reproductive healthcare, and that, to me, is unacceptable.

That is why I was really relieved when, after years of fighting back against Republicans' extreme agenda, President Biden acted quickly to reverse that dangerous gag rule, and we could finally start rebuilding the title X network and providing patients with critical reproductive healthcare.

And I know that patients and providers in my State were relieved too. I heard from them firsthand earlier this month about what this means for our communities. It means that patients in Washington State and across the country can get the birth control they need to plan a family on their own terms, breast and pelvic exams to detect cancer early, STI testing and treatment, and more.

But as has been so often the case, most Republicans were not listening because, tonight, they are leading a resolution to bring back the gag rule and deny women across the country access to services made possible by title X. We are talking about basic life-saving healthcare like cancer screenings. We are talking about STI screenings, which, by the way, are especially important as cases, which are already underreported due to decreased screenings, have been spiking now.

We are talking about birth control that so many patients depend on to stay healthy, to treat health conditions, to plan their families, and control their own reproductive health.

Talking about these issues, it is just unthinkable to me that anyone would not support this program. What are Republicans here talking about? They are talking about once again taking this healthcare away from millions of patients, and tonight they are forcing a vote on it. And some extreme Republicans, by the way, are even talking about how they think *Griswold v. Connecticut*—that is the U.S. Supreme Court case that first struck down a ban on birth control—might have been wrongly decided. This is chilling.

The message Republicans are sending tonight with this vote—loud and clear—is that they won't just stop at banning abortion with cruel laws like the ones we have seen in Texas and Oklahoma and across the border from Washington State in Idaho; they are determined to restrict access to all kinds of reproductive healthcare, from abortion to birth control, to STI testing and treatment.

Well, we should not stand for this. We can't just let Republicans rip away healthcare again from women and families. Patients, providers, and families across my State and across the country have spoken out loud and clear on this, and I am going to make sure that they are heard here in Washington, DC.

I will work hard to make sure we protect title X from these attacks, and I am going to make sure everyone who supports this resolution owns what this vote means and whom they are voting

against: women who want birth control—and that is, by the way, two-thirds of women in this country; patients who are seeking STI screenings; patients who need a cancer screening; people who are just looking for affordable healthcare and information from providers that they trust because—you know what—women are not going to forget this, which party is fighting to protect birth control and which party is doing everything they can to rip your birth control away.

I urge every single one of my colleagues to join with me in voting against this resolution.

Mr. RUBIO. Mr. President, I move to proceed to Calendar No. 355, S.J. Res 41.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

Motion to proceed to S.J. Res. 41, a joint resolution providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Department of Health and Human Services relating to "Ensuring Access to Equitable, Affordable, Client-Centered, Quality Family Planning Services".

VOTE ON MOTION

Mr. RUBIO. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The question is on agreeing to the motion to proceed.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. MURPHY) and the Senator from Oregon (Mr. WYDEN) are necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 49, nays 49, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 140 Leg.]

YEAS—49

Barrasso	Grassley	Risch
Blackburn	Hagerty	Romney
Blunt	Hawley	Rounds
Boozman	Hoeben	Rubio
Braun	Hyde-Smith	Sasse
Burr	Inhofe	Scott (FL)
Capito	Johnson	Scott (SC)
Cassidy	Kennedy	Shelby
Cornyn	Lankford	Sullivan
Cotton	Lee	Thune
Cramer	Lummis	Tillis
Crapo	Manchin	Toomey
Cruz	Marshall	Tuberville
Daines	McConnell	Wicker
Ernst	Moran	Young
Fischer	Paul	
Graham	Portman	

NAYS—49

Baldwin	Durbin	Markey
Bennet	Feinstein	Menendez
Blumenthal	Gillibrand	Merkley
Booker	Hassan	Murkowski
Brown	Heinrich	Murray
Cantwell	Hickenlooper	Ossoff
Cardin	Hirono	Padilla
Carper	Kaine	Peters
Casey	Kelly	Reed
Collins	King	Rosen
Coons	Klobuchar	Sanders
Cortez Masto	Leahy	Schatz
Duckworth	Lujan	Schumer

Shaheen	Tester	Warren
Sinema	Van Hollen	Whitehouse
Smith	Warner	
Stabenow	Warnock	

NOT VOTING—2

Murphy Wyden

The motion was rejected.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO BRENDAN CANAVAN

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, Louisville, KY, makes its mark on American life as the host of the Kentucky Derby, the producer of the iconic Louisville Slugger baseball bat, and a place to sample America's signature spirit, bourbon. Many Americans may not know that my hometown leaves its fingerprints on their lives in another way: as the home of the world's largest automated package handling facility, the UPS Worldport.

This massive warehouse—at 5.2 million square feet, it is larger than the Mall of America—sorts millions of packages every day, speedily shipping them to consumers across the globe. It serves as the keystone of the vast UPS supply chain, linking consumers to businesses all over the country. Today, I ask my colleagues to join me in honoring the retirement of one of the key figures in the Worldport's development, UPS Airlines president Brendan Canavan.

To many, the UPS Worldport might seem like something out of a science fiction movie. Standing in the facility, you would be struck by the sheer scale of thousands of packages whirring about on a complicated system of conveyor belts, scanners, and lifts. This enormous warehouse sorts the average package in just 13 minutes. Human hands only touch packages twice. It is an amazing feat of modern technology and supply chain efficiency.

It would take an extraordinarily visionary business leader to have planned and executed such a facility. Its scale and complexity are almost too vast to fathom. But Brendan Canavan moved to Louisville in 2004 and did just that. In only a few years, he helped turn Louisville into a global supply chain hub and brought new levels of speed to the process.

Brendan knows so much about UPS's operations because he has performed many of them himself. While in college in his hometown of Philadelphia, he worked as a UPS loader and driver. He later stepped into management roles around the country, coming into leadership positions just as computer technology began to take a greater role in the supply chain logistics industry.