

With a return on investment of \$5.40 for every dollar of public funding received, NCERC leverages every investment to create public-private partnerships that make a cleaner, low carbon, more sustainable future a reality.

Mr. Speaker, I hope everyone will join me in celebrating the National Corn-to-Ethanol Research Center.

CELEBRATING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF WILLIAM JUNG, III

(Mr. BURLISON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURLISON. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life and the legacy of William Jung, III, of Springfield, Missouri. Bill was born in Overland Park, Kansas, on May 24, 1941, and passed away in Springfield, Missouri, on October 17, 2023.

He is survived by his wife, Ramona, and their 9 children, 36 grandchildren, and 41 great-grandchildren.

He is also survived by two sisters and a host of friends and relatives.

Bill was passionate about life and his love for the Lord. He was involved in many civic and religious organizations serving wherever he could.

Bill had a passion for traveling and adventure. He and his wife visited more than 30 countries, including a cherished trip to Israel. He was always game for any adventure that came his way.

Madam Speaker, Bill was a dear friend of mine. His smile and warm personality will be greatly missed by me, his many friends, and his wonderful family.

CONGRATULATIONS TO THE ARLINGTON BABE RUTH 13U ALL-STAR TEAM

(Mr. BEYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BEYER. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Arlington Babe Ruth 13U All-Star Team, who won the Virginia Babe Ruth Baseball 13U State championship and represented Virginia in the 2023 Babe Ruth World Series.

The team was undefeated in the State tournament, outscoring their opponents 41-7. The team went on to proudly represent Virginia in the 2023 Babe Ruth World Series where they faced regional champions from all over the country.

While they came up short in their goal of winning the world series, they should nevertheless be tremendously proud of a wonderful season. It would also not have been possible without a dedicated group of friends, families, and supporters who cheered them on and spent countless hours and hundreds of miles supporting the team.

It made Arlington and the rest of the Eighth District proud. I am sure they will go on to even greater things.

Madam Speaker, please join me in congratulating head coach Chris Chiuchiolio, assistant coach Thor Koomey, and their championship team: Sammy Braccia, George Cocker, Ryan DiVincenzo, Nolan Foerster, Luca Feden, Clive Gay, Aden Heinzer, Michael Huff, Booker Lawson, Michael Mastrangelo, Jack Riordan, Charlie Rotz, and Jones Tallent.

Go Arlington.

ELECTING MEMBERS TO CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Mr. AGUILAR. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Democratic Caucus, I offer a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 871

Resolved, That the following named Member be, and is hereby, elected to the following standing committee of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS: Mr. Amo (to rank immediately after Mr. Crow).

Mr. AGUILAR (during the reading). Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be considered as read.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. DE LA CRUZ). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5893, COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2024; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5961, NO FUNDS FOR IRANIAN TERRORISM ACT

Mr. MASSIE. Madam Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 869 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 869

Resolved, That at any time after adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 5893) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2024, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. An amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 118-12

shall be considered as adopted in the House and in the Committee of the Whole. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as the original bill for the purpose of further amendment under the five-minute rule and shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived.

SEC. 2. (a) No further amendment to H.R. 5893, as amended, shall be in order except those printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, amendments en bloc described in section 3 of this resolution, and pro forma amendments described in section 4 of this resolution.

(b) Each further amendment printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules shall be considered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment except as provided by section 4 of this resolution, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

(c) All points of order against further amendments printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules or against amendments en bloc described in section 3 of this resolution are waived.

SEC. 3. It shall be in order at any time for the chair of the Committee on Appropriations or her designee to offer amendments en bloc consisting of further amendments printed in part A of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution not earlier disposed of. Amendments en bloc offered pursuant to this section shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 20 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees, shall not be subject to amendment except as provided by section 4 of this resolution, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

SEC. 4. During consideration of H.R. 5893 for amendment, the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees may offer up to 10 pro forma amendments each at any point for the purpose of debate.

SEC. 5. At the conclusion of consideration of H.R. 5893 for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill, as amended, to the House with such further amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommend.

SEC. 6. Upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 5961) to freeze certain Iranian funds involved in the 2023 hostage deal between the United States and Iran, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. In lieu of the amendment recommended by the Committee on Foreign Affairs now printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 118-14, modified by the amendment printed in part B of the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate

equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Kentucky is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. MASSIE. Madam Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the ranking member of the Rules Committee, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. McGOVERN), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MASSIE. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

Mr. MASSIE. House Resolution 869 provides for a structured rule for consideration of H.R. 5893, the Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act and a closed rule, H.R. 5961, the No Funds for Iranian Terrorism Act.

We have a structured rule for H.R. 5893, which makes in order 119 amendments for consideration on the floor. This rule provides the chair and the ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations limited en bloc authority and provides one motion to recommit.

We have a closed rule for H.R. 5961. Debate for this bill will be evenly divided over 1 hour between the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the rule provides one motion to recommit.

Madam Speaker, I urge Members to support House Resolution 869 in the spirit in which it was created, one that allows for robust debate and gives Members the opportunity to submit amendments for consideration on this floor, as many amendments were included in this rule as possible.

We are encouraging debate on the floor and for Members to fight for their priorities. There is absolutely no reason not to support this rule. Let's not forget what we have been committed to fighting for these last several months, bringing all 12 appropriations bills to the floor.

The days of Christmas omnibus bills are over. We still have more work to do to fight against inflated budgets and the growing national debt, but the step we are taking this week that prevents a Christmas giveaway on the House floor in an omnibus is a major step in the right direction.

This is what the American people expected of us. This is what we were elected to do, discuss and debate appropriation of taxpayer dollars.

Speaking of appropriations, this is our 12th appropriations bill, 12 of 12. Finally, here we are.

Do I wish this were done in a timelier manner? Of course.

Do I wish the government functioned more efficiently for the sake of the constituents we each represent? Absolutely.

We find ourselves now completing this process in the manner in which it was intended—draft, amend, debate, and vote. No Member can say today that they did not have the opportunity to participate in this process, and no Member can argue that these appropriations were not brought forward in an open and transparent manner.

I applaud the relative committees and everyone in the GOP Conference who has worked so hard to bring this bill and all of the appropriations bills forward to get this work done.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support the rule, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Kentucky for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, you heard the gentleman from Kentucky purport to describe what is in the bills that we are considering today. I think his description of these bills is somewhat inaccurate. The so-called No Funds for Iranian Terrorism Act—and I say so-called because this bill does not actually do what the title suggests. It is really just about Republicans going after President Biden because they are mad he secured the release of American hostages.

It is as simple as that. Some Members of Congress would rather let these American hostages stay imprisoned in Iran than give credit to President Biden for bringing them home.

This is a bad bill. It undermines America's credibility in future negotiations. The money the United States unfroze—Iranian money, not American money—can only be used for humanitarian needs. That is the truth.

The money doesn't even go to the Iranian Government; it goes to a restricted account in Qatar where it can only be used for approved purchases. Those are the facts, and I won't waste any more time on this foolish political messaging bill.

The other bill contained in this rule is H.R. 5893, another extreme MAGA Republican spending bill. It is no shock that this radical Commerce, Justice, and Science appropriation bill couldn't even get a markup and a vote out of the full Appropriations Committee because it is nuts.

□ 0930

It defunds the police, which is ironic, but let's not even go there. It guts programs that help small businesses, slashes services that help missing and exploited children. I mean, really? It also makes it easier for criminals to get their hands on guns.

Madam Speaker, the truth is that the Republican Party is completely and to-

tally broken. They are unable to govern themselves, let alone a country. The two extreme MAGA messaging bills that we are considering today are just the latest examples, just the tip of the iceberg.

Now, our job on the Rules Committee is to help run this place like professionals, to advance legislation in a thoughtful, orderly, deliberate manner, and to do it in a way that reflects well on the Congress and the country. Since Republicans took over, we have seen nothing but dysfunction and disaster in this Chamber. There has been chaos, confusion, and crisis at the hands of MAGA extremists who have turned this place into a circus. Republican incompetence over the last 11 months takes my breath away.

As this chart shows, Madam Speaker, we have a timeline up here that speaks for itself. There was a week wasted on 14 failed votes for Speaker while KEVIN MCCARTHY agreed to shady backroom deals with MAGA extremists so he could win the gavel.

There was a month wasted on MAGA extremists threatening to default on America's debt unless Democrats agreed to draconian spending cuts.

We had an entire summer wasted after Democrats came to the table and negotiated a budget deal, but then Republicans didn't bring a single spending bill to the floor.

Finally, days before a government shutdown, Republicans brought to the floor appropriations bills they couldn't even pass. They had to call on Democrats to get a continuing resolution over the finish line, and then they kicked out their own Speaker for the terrible crime of keeping the government open. All because the MAGA extremists had a temper tantrum.

There were 20 days wasted while Republicans argued amongst themselves until they finally settled on someone who evidently everybody in their Conference disliked the least.

Here they are today, Mr. Speaker, right back where they started, with nothing to show the American people except dysfunction and disarray. We heard promise after promise from Republican leaders that this Congress would be different: more open and more accommodating, we kept on hearing.

Those promises have been broken. There have been over 40 closed rules on the floor, blocking 68 percent of bipartisan amendments. By the way, they even blocked over half of all Republican amendments. Twenty percent of Mr. MASSIE's own amendments have been blocked, never even considered on the floor.

I have a radical idea that in the world's greatest deliberative body we should, I don't know, deliberate? Yet time after time, despite their promises, Republicans are blocking amendment after amendment. Again, two-thirds of bipartisan amendments and over half of their own amendments have been blocked. Don't worry, though, they found a way to make in order every

single MAGA culture war amendment to ban abortion and go after women's reproductive rights. They tucked those into nearly every appropriations bill. You would think that after all of that, at least they would have something to show for it, right? You would be wrong.

At this point in the 116th Congress, when Democrats were in charge, during divided government, we had already enacted 68 laws; not just passed the House, but enacted into law, signed by the President. So far this Congress, Republicans have enacted 19 laws. That is it. Let that sink in. Democrats enacted over three times the number of laws at this point in our majority. Here is an idea: Maybe they would have had more bills signed into law if they didn't waste all their time fighting with one another.

Instead, they wasted an entire month, 26 days so far, fighting with themselves, holding midnight seances trying to revive the dead bills that divided their Conference and fighting a MAGA civil war about who should be in charge. Fourteen percent of all legislative days this Congress have been focused not on the American people, but on which one of them gets to be in charge. That is a national embarrassment.

Do you know how many days it took Democrats to pick a Speaker when we were in charge, Mr. Speaker? One. One. Americans expected us to govern, not fight with each other. That reminds me, it's not like we don't have a recent example of what it looks like when Congress gets stuff done in a productive and bipartisan way.

Now, let's compare their record this Congress with our record last Congress. Republicans have made fewer bipartisan amendments in order. They have even made fewer majority amendments in order, and only 19 bills signed into law. Again, we have a recent example of what this place looks like when it works.

Democrats enacted 58 laws last Congress, signed by the President at this point. I said a moment ago that it was 68 under divided government. It was 58 in the last Congress. We get things done, no matter who is in the White House. We take our job seriously. The facts speak for themselves, and the contrasts could not be more clear.

This right here, this is what Democratic leadership looks like in Congress. It looks like results for American families.

Democrats passed the American Rescue Plan, ending the COVID crisis and reopening our economy.

Democrats passed the CHIPS and Science Act, bringing jobs back from China and supply chains back from overseas.

Democrats passed the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, a historic investment that will rebuild our infrastructure for generations and generations to come.

What did almost every single Republican do on every single one of those bills? They voted "no."

Guess what, though? They are in charge now, and instead of rising to the occasion, they have totally, totally, totally failed at governing. They are running this place like babies. Actually, I think that is an insult to babies, Mr. Speaker. Their incompetence is staggering. Their infighting is so immature that it is stunning.

All the American people want, Mr. Speaker, is for Republicans to work with Democrats to get things done. It is that simple. It is that simple. Republicans barely control one-half of one branch of government. Democrats control the Senate and the White House. To get anything done, anything at all, we all have to work together. We have to compromise. We have to do things in a bipartisan way. That is, quite frankly, what the American people want. They want us to put people over politics to get stuff done, and that is what Democrats are focused on.

I can tell you, people are fed up with this Republican dysfunction and disarray. They are fed up with Republicans fighting with each other instead of for the people. They are fed up with Republican leadership caving to the MAGA extremists time after time after time. I am telling you right now, this majority will not be in the majority for long if things keep going like they have been.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, to say that the Democrats spend like drunken sailors is an insult to sailors.

This bill cuts wasteful spending. If there is any scorecard to be kept between Democrat Speakers and Republican Speakers, it should be on spending. I would like to note that 40 percent of all of the national debt—which, by the way, is approaching \$34 trillion—40 percent of all of the national debt that exists today, from the beginning of our country until this moment, was incurred under one Speaker. That was Speaker PELOSI.

If we are keeping score here, it should be about wasteful spending, deficit spending, and debts. We can blame Presidents for it, but the reality is, Congress—in fact, the House of Representatives—has the power of the purse. We need to look at ourselves when we look at that debt, and this bill is a good step toward reducing deficits.

The Commerce, Justice, Science and related agencies appropriations bill provides net new spending of \$58.383 billion for programs under the jurisdiction of the subcommittee, which is \$23.765 billion below FY23 enacted levels. That is a large cut. Maybe it is not large enough, but it is what has upset the other side of the aisle, that is for sure.

You can disguise it as anything you want, but they are really upset about this bill because it cuts spending. Not the kind of cuts to spending where we pretend to cut spending, where we have

some baseline that is actually increasing spending, and then we reduce the baseline. No, I am talking about actual cuts in real dollars. That is what this bill does, and that is why they are so excited, that is why they are so angry about this rule and this bill.

By the way, this rule allows for 119 amendments. When they say those are extreme amendments, what do those amendments do? They cut more. That is what the amendments do. None of those amendments increase spending. I think that is what they are upset about on the other side of the aisle is that not only have we brought forth a bill that cuts \$23 billion of Federal spending, we are allowing 119 amendments to cut even more spending.

Let me talk about the priorities in this bill. By the way, Congress has the power of the purse, and we complain about a lot of things the executive branch does, but then we, too, will frequently turn around and fund the things that we complain about. This bill actually defunds some of the things that we have been complaining about.

I and several Members of Congress had the great fortune to share breakfast with Justice Antonin Scalia before he passed away. One of my colleagues asked Justice Scalia: When are you going to help us restore constitutional balance of government? Scalia scoffed at him. He said: What are you talking about? You are the most powerful branch. In fact, every tool you need is right there in the Constitution to restore constitutional balance of government.

Another of my colleagues said, but impeachment is so unwieldy, and we can never get that through the Senate. Scalia said: I am not talking about impeachment. I am talking about the power of the purse. It is right there in the Constitution. You have it. You are the most powerful branch, and quit complaining about the things you are funding or quit funding the things you are complaining about. That is what this bill does.

It eliminates more than 70 unnecessary and wasteful programs and initiatives, including 14 polarizing diversity, equity, and inclusion offices and programs. I don't find diversity, equity, and inclusion in the Constitution. It is just not in Article I, Section 8. It is not there for us to do, and so we are eliminating those programs.

It defunds at least 15 executive orders. What are executive orders? Are they laws? No, they are not laws. The lawmaking authority is here. We should probably defund every executive order, but this defunds 15 of them—rules, memoranda, and other Biden administration policies that usurp our congressional authority to make law.

This bill reduces wasteful spending on climate change initiatives.

It prevents the FBI from using its construction balances to build a new headquarters. Why is that important? It is important because they have become weaponized against the American

public, showing up at school board meetings and targeting parents and saying they are terrorists. They don't need a new headquarters.

It rejects the administration's plans to replace the Department of Commerce and Department of Justice vehicle fleets with electric vehicles, saving taxpayers nearly \$54 million.

This is what we are doing in this bill. We are using the power of the purse. There are 119 amendments. None of those amendments increase spending. I can tell you, we went through all of them. Maybe that is why they are upset that some of their amendments didn't make it in there because maybe they were trying to spend more money. I am sorry, we are not going to do that.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 0945

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I think the viewing public who is watching this may take note that the gentleman didn't respond to anything that I said in my opening remarks.

I will point out for the record so people understand that over half of the amendments that were offered to this bill were rejected. Actually, they were blocked. They were blocked by the Republican majority on the Rules Committee. Mr. Speaker, 170 amendments, many of them Republican amendments, I should point out, were blocked. It was not because they added to spending. They were just blocked.

The point I was trying to make, and I will make it again, is that my friends on the other side of the aisle, my complaint with them is they have accomplished absolutely nothing. They have wasted their time in the majority.

The gentleman talks about all these deep cuts. Some of these appropriations bills, I will be honest with you, I object to them because they are going to hurt people, people I represent.

He talks about all these cuts. None of these are ever going to become law. We spent all of last week debating two appropriations bills, the Transportation bill and the Financial Services bill. We worked overtime, late into the night, debating all these amendments, and guess what? They pulled them.

They are in the majority. They can't even pass the crummy bills that are being sent from the Appropriations Committee to the Rules Committee.

It is mind-boggling. The bill we are talking about today, I am not even sure this is going to pass. I wouldn't be surprised if they pull this and the Labor-HHS bill, as well.

This is not how you run Congress. We are supposed to bring things to completion. The bill before us today was so bad that the full Appropriations Committee didn't even mark it up. Why? Because they probably couldn't get votes to get it out.

My friends have accomplished absolutely nothing in their majority. That

is a tragedy. They barely struggle to do the basics, like keeping the lights on, but they need Democratic help to do that.

They have blocked the majority of their own Members' amendments. You can't make this stuff up. The gentleman, who is on the Rules Committee, had a big chunk of his amendments blocked, as well.

In any event, Mr. Speaker, we are going to urge our side to defeat the previous question. If we do, I will offer an amendment to the rule to provide for consideration of a measure that unequivocally states that the people's House will keep its promise to American workers and seniors and that we will protect and preserve Social Security and Medicare and fight against any Republican cuts to these essential programs that so many of our constituents rely on.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment into the RECORD, along with any extraneous material, immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. BOST). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. MCGARVEY) to discuss our proposal.

Mr. MCGARVEY. Mr. Speaker, Social Security and Medicare are lifelines for hundreds of thousands of seniors in my district in Louisville, Kentucky, and for millions of seniors across America.

Unfortunately, the House Republicans continue to advocate for stripping hardworking Americans of their earned benefits. I stress "earned."

Social Security and Medicare are a contract, a legal and moral promise that our country has made for people who have paid into a program, and then we said: Guess what? We will be there for you. We will be there to provide a safe and secure retirement for you in your golden years.

For millions of Americans, a Social Security check is the difference between keeping the lights on or falling behind on bills. Their Medicare coverage is the difference between taking or not taking their insulin.

Stripping back these crucial benefits is dangerous, and it is extreme.

Just a few weeks ago, one of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle explicitly stated that they wanted to elect a Speaker who has the courage to talk about ending Social Security and Medicare as we know it.

My hometown of Louisville, Kentucky, is home to more than 350,000 Medicare beneficiaries. That is over 350,000 Louisvillians who paid into the program their entire working lives so that they could depend on those benefits now.

Thanks to Democrats and the Inflation Reduction Act, healthcare is more affordable and more accessible than

ever before. As we speak, Medicare is negotiating to lower the price of 10 prescription drugs for part D recipients, with more to come. Out-of-pocket insulin costs have been capped at \$35 a month.

There is more to do, but extremists in the House Republican Conference are trying to privatize, cut, and end these crucial programs. House Democrats will continue fighting to protect these benefits for generations to come.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to defeat the previous question.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me point out that my colleague from Kentucky is right that Social Security is a legal and moral obligation to seniors. Let me also point out that every time we engage in deficit spending and spend too much money, we cause inflation.

When we have the Fed create dollars out of thin air so we can launder them through banks and then borrow them back from ourselves, we are really causing inflation.

What does inflation do to those Social Security checks? It reduces the value of those Social Security checks.

This bill is actually a step in reducing inflation by reducing Federal spending, and that will be to the benefit of those seniors who are going to be living on a fixed income.

We all know there is a cost-of-living adjustment applied to Social Security, but the reality is it is not keeping up with inflation.

Inflation is so rampant now due to the spending that we have engaged in in Congress. There is a moral imperative for us to reduce spending because it trickles down. Inflation is very detrimental to our seniors. That is why we have to pass this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. LANGWORTHY), my good friend and colleague on the Rules Committee.

Mr. LANGWORTHY. Mr. Speaker, first, I thank the gentleman from Kentucky for yielding the time.

I rise today in support of the underlying legislation to prevent the Biden administration from following through on its policy of appeasement toward the Iranian regime.

My colleagues on the other side of the aisle insist that there are safeguards to ensure that the \$6 billion unfrozen in the administration's agreement with Iran is spent purely on humanitarian efforts, but I ask my colleagues and this administration: If there is such an urgent need for access to that money for food and medicine and other assistance, then why has the Iranian regime invested for decades billions and billions of dollars into funding Hamas, Hezbollah, and other terrorist organizations that are intent on murdering Americans, murdering the Jewish people, and destroying our friend and ally, the State of Israel?

The administration has lost its mind if they think that it can appease a fundamentalist regime that routinely

calls for our annihilation and the annihilation of the Jewish people.

On October 7, we saw pure evil on display as Hamas, bankrolled by this Iranian regime with funds that could have been spent on food, medicine, or other humanitarian needs of the Iranian people, murdered, kidnapped children, raped women, and brutalized the elderly.

The evil we saw on that day was done at the behest of and with the resources provided by Iran's fundamentalist leaders. I remind you that there are still 240 hostages in Gaza, including 10 Americans.

Some of my colleagues continue to insist that this \$6 billion deposit to the regime in the form of aid will not be used for malicious purposes. I think we all know that it will be.

We know that this administration has followed an utterly failed path of appeasing an evil regime in Iran, and the true cost of this failure is the violence and chaos brought upon the Israeli people by the Iranian-funded terrorist group Hamas.

Enabling Iran to fund terror goes against every principle our Nation has been founded on. It rejects freedom, democracy, and justice, and it empowers fear, division, and hatred. Appeasing Iran is disgusting and deeply un-American.

Mr. Speaker, let's stop pretending that we can move forward with the transfer of resources to a regime that wishes to destroy us and our allies. Let's stop pretending that we can negotiate with a regime that wishes genocide on the Jewish people.

Mr. Speaker, I strongly support the underlying legislation to halt this administration's reckless policy toward Iran.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me again point out that my friends on the other side of the aisle blocked most of the amendments that were brought before the Rules Committee. In fact, they have a habit of even blocking a majority of their own Members' amendments.

Even with this rigged process that we have been operating under that the Republicans have put in place, even under this rigged process—and they have yet to rebut this—they have accomplished nothing. They have accomplished nothing. They have normalized dysfunction.

A win for this majority is having Democrats keep the lights on for them so that we don't shut the government down.

I brought this chart out before, but this is a contrast of what we accomplished when we were in charge. I can go right down the list: the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, the Honoring our PACT Act and helping our veterans to get additional healthcare, the Violence Against Women Act reauthorization, the Inflation Reduction Act, the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act, the Chips and Science Act, the Families First Coronavirus Response

Act, the Paycheck Protection Program and Healthcare Enhancement Act.

These are things that passed the House and the Senate and were signed by the President. These are real things.

Here we are today doing what we did last week, bringing up all these right-wing MAGA amendments with appropriations bills that are so bad that even Republican members on the Appropriations Committee do not want to vote for them in full committee, so we bypass that.

They come to the floor, and we are going to debate the amendments they made in order all day and all night, only to have them pull the stuff at the end of the day.

That is what they did last week. Two bills, after all the time on the floor debating, were just pulled.

I get it. We signed up for this, but I will be honest with you that I think it is a disservice to the staff who work up here and who take this job seriously to go through all of these kinds of gyrations for no reason at all. It is just ridiculous.

This majority, as we come to the close of this week—hopefully, we will break for Thanksgiving—has accomplished nothing. This has been a waste of time.

Do you want to talk about a waste of taxpayer money? It is the Republican majority in this House.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from New Mexico (Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ), a distinguished member of the Rules Committee.

Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ. Mr. Speaker, indeed, today we have yet another extreme Republican appropriations bill that focuses more on tax breaks for wealthy tax cheats than keeping our communities safe.

When asked what bothers them most about taxes, nearly two-thirds of Americans said they feel that the wealthy and corporations are not paying their fair share. Instead of making sure the IRS has the tools it needs to go after wealthy tax cheats, this bill slashes its budget by \$22 billion.

For every dollar cut from IRS law enforcement, we tend to lose \$2 in tax revenue. Republicans are clearly bad at budgeting when they are giving away revenue.

But wait, there is more. Republicans like to say they are the party of law and order, but this bill also cuts the FBI's law enforcement work by \$400 million. Cutting the FBI's budget would hurt our rural communities. FBI agents can bring in technology and tools that help solve crimes that a small police force, like in my district, just don't have.

How can we expect to interdict fentanyl in our rural areas or to go after criminal cartels when we are cutting the FBI and cutting the Department of Justice, which would prosecute those despicable traffickers of death and despair?

Republicans are clearly bad at making sure criminals are investigated, charged, tried, and sent to prison.

But wait, that is not all. While Democrats are putting people over politics and growing the middle class, the extreme Republican appropriations bills are blockading the road to the middle class. This appropriations bill cuts the pathway to prosperity when it cuts economic development programming to 51 percent below last year's levels.

□ 1000

In New Mexico, these cuts would jeopardize local and Tribal economic development projects helping us grow jobs and businesses after the closure of coal mines and coal plants. These cuts would take economic funding down to a level not seen since 2014. Extreme Republicans are clearly looking backward.

My focus in Congress must be toward a future of hope, prosperity, and opportunity.

At the Rules Committee yesterday, Republicans told me they didn't have a choice but to make these cuts. That is not true. Remember, they are choosing to give up billions of dollars of tax revenue owed by wealthy tax cheats. Republicans built the deficit. In 2017, 2003, and 2001, Republicans chose tax cuts for the wealthy and big corporations. Their choices tell us their priorities.

Mr. Speaker, I have no other choice but to urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this rule, and if we ever see it on the floor, vote "no" on this bill.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

If I had a nickel for every time the other side of the aisle uses the word "extreme," I would buy you lunch today. It must be poll tested. "Extreme" must be good for them in the polls.

Let me tell you what is extreme and what every American thinks is extreme: \$33.7 trillion is extreme. The fact that we are now paying, this year, a trillion dollars in interest on that debt, on that national debt, that is extreme.

Spending money we don't have is extreme. Funding an FBI that targets its own citizens who have done nothing wrong, funding the censorship of speech of Americans, that is extreme. The American people know that is extreme.

The inflation that is caused by this is extreme, and it is affecting everybody. The cost of transportation, the cost of food, and the cost of housing are skyrocketing. That is extreme.

Now, my colleague mentioned that we didn't allow every amendment in this rule. Well, as the ranking member of the committee, surely he knows not every amendment is in order. We have certain rules in the Rules Committee, and a lot of these amendments, unfortunately—and I have to tell some of my friends this—failed our own test.

For instance, they weren't germane to the underlying bill. Americans don't want amendments tacked onto bills that have nothing to do with the underlying bill, so the amendments have

to be germane. We are protecting the American people when we keep this process for allowing amendments that are only germane.

The amendments to spending bills, as a rule—it has been a rule since I came here 11 years ago—they can't increase spending, yet unfortunately some of my colleagues introduced amendments that do sometimes intentionally, sometimes unintentionally, but especially the Democrats like to increase spending. We can't allow those amendments.

Finally, we don't allow the amendments that try to legislate on an appropriations bill. We don't allow you to change laws on a bill that has to do with spending. That is the primary reason most of those amendments that the other side is always complaining about are not in this bill. There are 119 good amendments that were allowed that we will debate later today and that we will vote on in transparency and full light of day, not snuck in at the last moment. The American people will get to see how they are being represented through these 119 amendments.

This appropriations bill, I would like to point out, satisfies some of our constitutional obligations. ADAM SMITH said there are three primary roles of government: to provide for the national defense, to fund public infrastructure, and to institute a system of justice. It is that third obligation that we are fulfilling here today with this bill.

Then we have some constitutional obligations beyond that that are fulfilled in this bill. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, intellectual property, which is in Article I, Section 8, Clause 8 of the Constitution. That is an obligation of Congress, to provide for the protection of intellectual property. That is in this bill.

The Bureau of the Census is funded in this bill. We are directed by the Constitution to have a census every 10 years, so that is another function in this bill.

This bill funds the National Institute of Standards and Technology, sometimes called NIST. This used to be called the Bureau of Weights and Measures. The Constitution, Article I, Section 8, directs Congress to establish the weights and measures so that we are not all using in this country a different set of weights and measures.

There are many constitutional obligations provided in this bill, many necessary roles of government, some things that aren't technically in the Constitution, but we agree publicly that these are in the benefit of our country. For instance, NASA is funded in this bill.

Mr. Speaker, that is why I urge my colleagues to support this rule, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I don't even know where to begin. What we are witnessing here is called smoke and mirrors. The gentleman says we are not going to sneak things

into a bill at the last minute. A whole bunch of stuff was snuck into this bill at the last minute. In fact, I repeat, this bill did not go before the full Appropriations Committee. It was not reported out by the full Appropriations Committee. Why? Because it is so extreme and so awful that they didn't have the votes.

The same thing last week, two appropriations bills, we wasted all of this time on the floor talking about those bills and then they pulled them because they didn't have the votes. Here we are again voting on another extreme bill that will probably, in all likelihood, be pulled.

When the gentleman is making the case that somehow amendments are blocked because they are not rule compliant, okay, all right, that is an argument to be made, but that is not the case with the overwhelming majority of the amendments that were blocked. I say to my Republican friends who are watching, a majority of your amendments were blocked, and you guys are in charge.

I get it. They blocked 72 percent of the amendments I offered, which by the way are rule compliant, but they blocked the majority of Republican amendments. The gentleman says: We were just blocking amendments that were not rule compliant. Last night in the Rules Committee, we offered amendments to strike out language that is in the bill. It is totally rule compliant.

We offered an amendment to strike the anti-abortion riders. We had a vote, and the gentleman voted with the majority of Republicans to block us even being able to offer an amendment to strike the anti-abortion riders. I guess they are afraid they would lose or afraid of the debate or afraid to put vulnerable Members in a tough position, whatever.

We had an amendment striking anti-LGBTQ riders. Again, all the Republicans, the gentleman included, voted to block it. It was totally rule compliant.

We had an amendment striking the anti-gun safety riders that were added into this bill. They were totally rule compliant. Every Republican, including the gentleman, voted to not make our amendment in order.

This is what you call smoke and mirrors. We had an amendment striking their anti-climate riders. Again, it is in the bill. We are not putting something new in the bill. This is their bill, totally rule compliant. Every Republican, including the gentleman, voted to block that.

Let's not have this kind of fantasy debate where we are saying, oh, all we did was we kept out amendments that were not rule compliant.

The bottom line is: You are afraid of the debates. My friends are afraid that they may lose on some of these issues. They also know that the majority of the American people do not like their position on women's reproductive

rights where they want a national abortion ban. They also know that a majority of people in this country are sick and tired of bigotry against the LGBTQ+ community. They know that a majority of Americans are sick and tired of the rising level of gun violence in this country. They also know that a majority of Americans believe climate change is for real, and they want us to do stuff to try to reverse the current trends.

They blocked all of these amendments. I have the report from the Rules Committee last night with all the votes and the amendments. They are all rule compliant.

Let's get real here. We are here today to, again, talk about an appropriations bill that is not only extreme, that probably at the end of the day, they are not going to be able to pass it with Republican votes. It will certainly never become law. It is a joke of a bill. They wouldn't even bring it before the full Republican-controlled Appropriations Committee and then—or they are going to pull it. That is it.

You want to talk about wasting money, all of the money we wasted last week debating bills that are going nowhere. What about the money that we wasted while for 26 days we had no Speaker of the House but had to keep everybody here while they tried to fight amongst themselves on which member of the Republican Conference they disliked the least so they could move that person forward as Speaker. Give me a break.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I look forward to the passage of this rule because there are 119 amendments that are going to expose 430-plus Members of this body to their voters.

How is that? Well, each of these amendments gets 10 minutes of debate. If so ordered, there will be recorded votes on these amendments. They address issues fundamental to our rights.

There will be amendments in this debate after this rule passes on protecting the First Amendment to the Constitution, the freedom of religion, freedom of speech, will we allow our government to censor us on social media. They have been doing it through NGOs and private organizations and research grants. I don't think we should allow it. I don't think it is constitutional. You can't launder a violation of the First Amendment. There will be a debate on that after this rule passes because of this rule.

There will be a debate on whether you support the Second Amendment, the right to keep and bear arms. There is an amendment that we will debate when this rule passes that prohibits the ATF from using funds to maintain a database. They are prohibited already, but they keep trying to do it, so we have to defund them from that.

There is even an amendment—I am particularly fond of this debate that is

coming up—to prevent Federal funds from being used to prosecute a Presidential candidate. We look like a banana republic when our justice system indicts candidates for President to try to bring down their poll numbers. This is something that you do in Third World countries.

In any event, there are 119 amendments. I think the other side is against this rule because they don't want to have these debates. They surely don't want to have to vote because that record will be for all time. Every 2 years, each of us faces our voters with those records that will be created today as a result of this rule.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

I think I am beginning to understand the logic of the gentleman from Kentucky. He thinks that we have an open process as long as amendments that he agrees with are made in order, but any amendments that he doesn't agree with it is okay to block them.

I don't have any problem with some of the crummy amendments that the gentleman and the Republicans have made in order that, in my opinion, are really bad for this country. We will have those debates, and we will vote on them. I have no problem with that.

I don't know why my friends are afraid to have a debate on women's reproductive rights, whether or not we should protect a woman's right to choose, whether we should protect a woman's right to abortion in this country. They blocked it. They added language in that curtails women's rights, but they won't allow an amendment so we can have those 10 minutes of debate.

I don't know why the gentleman is afraid to have a debate on whether or not we should end bigotry against the LGBTQ+ community. If they believe that it is okay to continue prejudice and discrimination against that community, they can vote "no" on our amendment. Let's have the debate.

On climate change or even on—we have a different position on assault weapons. The gentleman can offer his amendment. We have a different amendment. Why is it that the amendment that we offered is blocked?

It is just a strange view of the world when you come up here and say we have a very fair and open process here. We block all your amendments, but we are making all the amendments that we agree with basically in order. I don't really think that is a fair process.

□ 1015

Mr. Speaker, House Republicans are a minority party that is masquerading as a majority party. I say that because I think my friends on the other side don't want to actually be in charge anymore. It is easier to be in the minority when you are a Republican. They think all you have to do is vote "no" on everything.

It is harder to be in the majority. It is especially hard because the Republican Party no longer represents a coherent political ideology that seeks to solve problems or govern. They just want to scream and yell about how this place is broken—when they are the ones that broke it.

They want to use crisis and scandal to mask their own selfishness and sedition, and to cover up their own incompetence and ineffectiveness. The American people see through it. They know this Republican majority is a disaster—a national embarrassment. They have normalized dysfunction.

We have Republican Members of Congress literally assaulting each other in the hallways. They are threatening to punch people in committee hearings. They are getting into fights on the House floor and having their supporters threaten each other's families with violence. That is the truth.

They have wasted away their majority fighting among themselves and acting like babies. They focus on retaliation and revenge. They are pursuing ridiculous impeachment inquiry sideshows. They are conditioning aid to our allies on tax cuts for billionaires here at home. They scream about the deficit when they keep passing bills that blow up the deficit.

Mr. Speaker, I say to the people watching this at home, you might not agree with Democrats on everything, but we are team normal. The Republicans are team totally off-the-deep-end nuts. I cleaned that up for the floor, Mr. Speaker, for civility.

Democrats ran this place like professionals. Republicans are running it into the ground. Democrats fight for the people. Republicans only care about fighting with each other. Democrats behave like adults. I hope rational, reasonable, moderate Republicans, if any are left, will join us and reject the MAGA extremists who behave like spoiled rotten children.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a "hell no" on this rule and a "no" vote on the underlying bills.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the word of the day is "extreme" because the other side uses it in every sentence.

Let me tell you what is extreme: Violating the First Amendment, the principles of freedom of speech and freedom of religion. That is extreme, and that is going to be addressed in this bill and this rule, utilizing the power of the purse. Violating the Second Amendment rights of Americans, their God-given rights to defend themselves; that is extreme. This bill addresses that. This rule provides for robust debate on that issue.

Extreme is violating the Fourth Amendment of the Constitution and the rights there enshrined. Violating the 10th Amendment and Federalizing our police forces. That is extreme.

These are the things that Americans find extreme. These are the things that are addressed in this rule and in the underlying bill using the power of the purse.

The most extreme thing—and I do have to say that the Democrats are really good at this form of extremism—the most extreme thing is to continually spend money we don't have, to borrow until they won't lend us any money, and then to print money so we can spend more of it. Extreme is putting ourselves \$33.7 trillion in debt. When I say ourselves, I mean, the American taxpayers. They are on the hook for this.

Even if you don't pay taxes, if you have worked a hard life, you have saved and you have got money in the bank and you are relying on your Social Security, what is extreme is the inflation that we have created through out-of-control spending in this Chamber over many, many years. Both parties are guilty of it. It is extreme because it hurts every American when we devalue the dollar through extreme spending.

What does this bill do?

It cuts spending.

This fight is really simple. The disagreements between both sides of the aisle—we can argue about the minor issues—but the major issue is: Do you want to expand government? Do you want it to be more prevalent in your life? Do you want to pay more taxes? Do you want to put your grandkids in hock?

If you do, vote for that side of the aisle.

If you want to put us on a path to fiscal responsibility, if you want to have open debates, if you want to have 72 hours to see what is in the bill, if you don't want to have to vote for a bill to find out what is in it, then you are with this side of the aisle. You should support this rule. I urge adoption of this rule.

The material previously referred to by Mr. MCGOVERN is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 869 OFFERED BY
MR. MCGOVERN OF MASSACHUSETTS

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

SEC. 7. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall proceed to the consideration in the House of the resolution (H. Res. 178) affirming the House of Representatives' commitment to protect and strengthen Social Security and Medicare. The resolution shall be considered as read. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the resolution and preamble to adoption without intervening motion or demand for division of the question except one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Ways and Means or their respective designees.

SEC. 8. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H. Res. 178.

Mr. MASSIE. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. VALADAO). The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 10 o'clock and 20 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1030

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. VALADAO) at 10 o'clock and 30 minutes a.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed. Votes will be taken in the following order:

Ordering the previous question on House Resolution 869; and

Adoption of House Resolution 869, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote.

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5893, COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2024; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5961, NO FUNDS FOR IRANIAN TERRORISM ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 869) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 5893) making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2024, and for other purposes; and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 5961) to freeze certain Iranian funds involved in the 2023 hostage deal between the United States and Iran, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 218, nays 206, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 659]

YEAS—218

Aderholt	Garbarino	Miller-Meeks
Alford	Garcia, Mike	Mills
Allen	Gimenez	Molinaro
Amodei	Gonzales, Tony	Moolenaar
Armstrong	Good (VA)	Mooney
Arrington	Gooden (TX)	Moore (AL)
Babin	Gosar	Moore (UT)
Bacon	Granger	Moran
Baird	Graves (LA)	Murphy
Balderson	Graves (MO)	Nehls
Banks	Green (TN)	Newhouse
Bean (FL)	Greene (GA)	Norman
Bentz	Griffith	Nunn (IA)
Bergman	Grothman	Obornolte
Bice	Guest	Ogles
Biggs	Guthrie	Owens
Bilirakis	Hageman	Palmer
Bishop (NC)	Harris	Pence
Boebert	Harshbarger	Perry
Bost	Hern	Pfleger
Brecheen	Higgins (LA)	Posey
Buchanan	Hill	Reschenthaler
Buck	Hinson	Rodgers (WA)
Bucshon	Houchin	Rogers (AL)
Burchett	Hudson	Rogers (KY)
Burgess	Huizenga	Rose
Burlison	Hunt	Rosendale
Calvert	Issa	Rouzer
Cammack	Jackson (TX)	Roy
Carey	James	Rutherford
Carl	Johnson (LA)	Salazar
Carter (GA)	Johnson (OH)	Santos
Carter (TX)	Johnson (SD)	Scalise
Chavez-DeRemer	Jordan	Schweikert
Ciscomani	Joyce (OH)	Scott, Austin
Cline	Joyce (PA)	Self
Cloud	Kean (NJ)	Sessions
Clyde	Kelly (MS)	Simpson
Cole	Kelly (PA)	Smith (MO)
Collins	Kiggans (VA)	Smith (NE)
Comer	Kiley	Smith (NJ)
Crane	Kim (CA)	Smucker
Crawford	Kustoff	Spartz
Crenshaw	LaHood	Stauber
Curtis	LaLota	Steel
D'Esposito	LaMalfa	Stefanik
Davidson	Lamborn	Steil
De La Cruz	Langworthy	Steube
DesJarlais	Latta	Strong
Diaz-Balart	LaTurner	Tenney
Donalds	Lawler	Thompson (PA)
Duarte	Lee (FL)	Tiffany
Duncan	Lesko	Timmons
Dunn (FL)	Letlow	Turner
Edwards	Loudermilk	Valadao
Elizy	Lucas	Van Drew
Emmer	Luetkemeyer	Van Dwyne
Estes	Luna	Van Orden
Fallon	Luttrell	Wagner
Feenstra	Mace	Walberg
Ferguson	Malliotakis	Waltz
Finstad	Mann	Weber (TX)
Fischbach	Massie	Webster (FL)
Fitzgerald	Mast	Wenstrup
Fitzpatrick	McCaull	Westerman
Fleischmann	McClain	Williams (NY)
Flood	McClintock	Williams (TX)
Fox	McCormick	Wilson (SC)
Franklin, Scott	McHenry	Wittman
Fry	Meuser	Womack
Fulcher	Miller (IL)	Yakym
Gaetz	Miller (OH)	Zinke
Gallagher	Miller (WV)	

NAYS—206

Adams	Brownley	Clark (MA)
Aguilar	Budzinski	Clarke (NY)
Allred	Bush	Cleaver
Amo	Caraveo	Clyburn
Auchincloss	Carbajal	Cohen
Balint	Cárdenas	Connolly
Barragán	Carson	Correa
Beatty	Carter (LA)	Costa
Bera	Cartwright	Courtney
Beyer	Casas	Craig
Bishop (GA)	Case	Crockett
Blumenauer	Casten	Crow
Blunt Rochester	Castor (FL)	Cuellar
Bonamici	Castro (TX)	David (KS)
Bowman	Cherfilus	Davis (IL)
Boyle (PA)	McCormick	Davis (NC)
Brown	Chu	Dean (PA)

DeGette	Larson (CT)	Ruppersberger
DeLauro	Lee (CA)	Ryan
DelBene	Lee (NV)	Salinas
Deluzio	Lee (PA)	Sánchez
DeSaulnier	Leger Fernandez	Sarbanes
Dingell	Levin	Scanlon
Doggett	Lieu	Schakowsky
Eshoo	Loifgren	Schiff
Espallat	Lynch	Schneider
Evans	Magaziner	Scholten
Fletcher	Manning	Schrier
Foster	Matsui	Scott (VA)
Foushee	McBath	Scott, David
Frankel, Lois	McClellan	Sewell
Frost	McCollum	Sherman
Gallego	McGarvey	Sherrill
Garamendi	McGovern	Slotkin
Garcia (IL)	Meeks	Smith (WA)
Garcia (TX)	Menendez	Sorensen
Garcia, Robert	Meng	Soto
Golden (ME)	Mfume	Spanberger
Goldman (NY)	Moore (WI)	Stansbury
Gomez	Morelle	Stanton
Gonzalez,	Moskowitz	Stevens
Vicente	Moulton	Strickland
Green, Al (TX)	Mrvan	Swalwell
Grijalva	Mullin	Sykes
Harder (CA)	Nadler	Takano
Hayes	Napolitano	Thanedar
Higgins (NY)	Neal	Thompson (CA)
Himes	Neguse	Thompson (MS)
Horsford	Nickel	Titus
Houlahan	Norcross	Tlaib
Hoyer	Ocasio-Cortez	Tokuda
Hoyle (OR)	Omar	Tonko
Huffman	Pallone	Torres (CA)
Ivey	Panetta	Torres (NY)
Jackson (IL)	Pappas	Trahan
Jackson (NC)	Pascrell	Trone
Jacobs	Payne	Underwood
Jayapal	Peltola	Vargas
Jeffries	Perez	Vasquez
Kamlager-Dove	Peters	Veasey
Kaptur	Pettersen	Velázquez
Keating	Pingree	Wasserman
Kelly (IL)	Pocan	Schultz
Khanna	Porter	Waters
Kildee	Pressley	Watson Coleman
Kilmer	Quigley	Wexton
Krishnamoorthi	Ramirez	Wild
Kuster	Raskin	Williams (GA)
Landsman	Ross	Wilson (FL)
Larsen (WA)	Ruiz	

NOT VOTING—10

Barr	Jackson Lee	Pelosi
Escobar	Johnson (GA)	Phillips
Ezell	Kim (NJ)	
Gottheimer	McCarthy	

□ 1103

Mr. TORRES of New York changed his vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the previous question was ordered.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. FLOOD). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. MCGOVERN. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 198, noes 225, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 660]

AYES—198

Aderholt	Baird	Bost
Alford	Balderson	Buchanan
Allen	Banks	Buck
Amodei	Bean (FL)	Bucshon
Armstrong	Bentz	Burgess
Arrington	Bergman	Burlison
Babin	Bice	Calvert
Bacon	Bilirakis	Cammack