the first gun violence safety law in 30 years. We did so together in the shadow of a horrific set of mass shootings in Buffalo and Uvalde.

This will not be our last step. We must ban automatic rifles, strengthen universal background checks, and require safe storage. This is a public health crisis.

Mr. Speaker, I ask us to face it. Doing so will save lives.

RECOGNIZING REBECCA SNYDER FOR HER OUTSTANDING WORK

(Ms. STRICKLAND asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. STRICKLAND. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in recognition of the staff that make our work possible, and one staff member, in particular, who has dutifully served the House for 20 years: Rebecca Snyder.

When I started in this body 4 years ago, I hit the ground running, in large part due to Rebecca.

She has directly assisted over 1,100 constituents and helped rightfully return over \$10 million to taxpayers while she has worked with me.

As our Military Service Academy coordinator, she has upleveled and diversified the nominees heading to our Nation's prestigious service academies.

Her former bosses, Congressman ADAM SMITH and Mr. DENNY HECK, my predecessor, remember Rebecca as being a trusted and determined constituent advocate, helping us better serve the American people.

Rebecca has made it her life's work to serve our Nation with 20 years of service in the U.S. Navy prior to the U.S. House. Enlisting at the age of 19, serving as an aviation electrician tech, she rose to the rank of chief petty officer when she separated in 2004.

Rebecca is the very best of a public servant: determined and constituent-focused with a little bit of tough as nails thrown in.

Mr. Speaker, I thank Rebecca so much for all she does for the people across the South Sound and the work she continues to do. We are grateful for her service to our Nation.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2025

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. EDWARDS). Pursuant to House Resolution 1316 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 8774.

Will the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK) kindly take the chair.

□ 0915

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the

further consideration of the bill (H.R. 8774) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2025, and for other purposes, with Mr. McCLINTOCK (Acting Chair) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Acting CHAIR. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Thursday, June 27, 2024, amendment No. 129, printed in Part A of House Report 118–559 offered by the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. MOORE) had been disposed of.

AMENDMENT NO. 163 OFFERED BY MS. TENNEY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 163 printed in part A of House Report 118–559.

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

SEC. _____. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to carry out Executive Order 14019 (86 Fed. Reg. 13623; relating to promoting access to voting), except for sections 7, 8, and 10 of such Executive Order.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1316, the gentle-woman from New York (Ms. TENNEY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from New York.

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Chair, I rise to offer my amendment No. 163 to the Defense appropriations bill to prohibit funding for President Biden's executive order No. 14019 titled: "Executive Order on Promoting Access to Voting."

This executive order requires Federal agencies to use their power, influence, resources, and Federal funding—tax-payer cash—to enter into agreements with nongovernmental organizations, third-party organizations, to conduct voter registration and other voter mobilization activities, including vote harvesting.

Mr. Chair, this executive order is nothing but a blatant attempt to transform the Federal Government into a partisan, get-out-the-vote machine for Democrats.

The Department of Defense should be completely nonpartisan and should not be using taxpayer funds to actively engage in get-out-the-vote operations that have nothing to do with the core mission of the Department of Defense, not to mention the obvious mission creep and Hatch Act violations this activity would trigger.

Mr. Chair, President Biden should not be weaponizing the Federal Government's spending using American taxpayer dollars to manipulate and steer our election in a partisan manner.

As the cofounder and the chair of the Election Integrity Caucus, it is my privilege to introduce this amendment to restore transparency and confidence

in our Democratic process while keeping partisan Federal bureaucrats and the swamp, literally, from deliberately tipping the balance at the ballot box.

I stand firmly behind the concept of one citizen, one vote, as enshrined in our Constitution. However, I do not support this blatantly partisan mobilization of the Federal Government for political purposes, especially at such a critical time in the world.

The Department of Defense should be laser focused on the missions at hand and countering our adversaries such as the Chinese Communist Party, not implementing a partisan get-out-the-vote initiative.

Mr. Chair, I urge all my colleagues to support amendment, which will preserve election integrity, stop the Biden administration from transforming the Department of Defense into a get-out-the-vote partisan machine for the Democrats. Let's make voting great again.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition to this amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Minnesota is recognized for 5 minutes

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I rise in opposition to the gentlewoman's amendment banning sections of Executive Order No. 14019. This is another example of the majority proposing language that is not germane to this bill.

Mr. Chair, call me a traditionalist, call me a person who respects the roles that different committees have, but this is not germane to this bill.

Section 1 of the executive order states: "The right to vote is the foundation of American democracy. Free and fair elections that reflect the will of the American people must be protected and defended."

Section 2 states: "It is the policy of my administration" referring to the Biden administration "to promote and defend the right to vote for all Americans who are legally entitled to participate in elections."

Now, I don't understand the concern about this order since several places direct officials to conform to the laws of the United States.

Furthermore, Mr. Chair, as I spoke about germaneness earlier, I don't understand how this amendment was made in order, especially when the Department of Defense bill has in the gentlewoman's amendment exclusions with reference to the military.

In the amendment, which the Clerk has in front, it exempts sections 7, 8, and I believe it is 10. I am trying to do it from memory, Mr. Chair. Those sections deal with the Department of Defense.

The Department of Defense is totally excluded from anything that the gentlewoman would like to resolve with her amendment. Therefore, it makes it totally nongermane.

For that reason alone, I strongly object to this amendment because it is

nongermane as to this bill. The comments that have been made are about making sure that the Department of Defense somehow is making sure they follow the law.

The Department of Defense is excluded in this amendment, so the gentlewoman's reference to the Department of Defense being laser focused on this amendment is very confusing to me, Mr. Chair, when it is excluded, and it is nongermane to this bill.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Chair, with all due respect, our Constitution clearly states the administration of elections is in the province of the States. It is not for the Federal Government to use Federal agencies to coordinate with third-party partisan groups using taxpayer dollars in order to get out the vote for Democrats.

The mission of our Department of Defense is to protect and secure our Nation, not to get the vote out. We encourage and want every member of the military who is an eligible citizen to vote in our elections.

We do not want our taxpayer dollars used in a partisan way for a vote harvesting scheme conceived by the Democrats and by this executive order.

First of all, this is mission creep. The Department of Defense has to focus on its very serious mission. This is germane. We are using taxpayer dollars and military members, people paid under the Department of Defense, to work on vote harvesting schemes, not on their mission of protecting the American people.

I encourage all of my colleagues to vote for this amendment and make voting great again and make our free and fair elections once again possible in the United States of America.

I yield back the balance of my time. Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Minnesota has $2\frac{1}{2}$ minutes remaining.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I once again state, especially with the exemptions to the military, that this piece of legislation is not germane to the Defense appropriations bill.

We have to stop in this institution putting nongermane issues, especially authorizing issues, into appropriations bills. We each have our roles, and we need to respect them.

The gentlewoman is concerned about the Biden administration somehow doing something inappropriate by making sure that every American has the opportunity and the acknowledgment of when and how to vote.

Maybe she is concerned, Mr. Chair, because the OSC, which is the Office of Special Counsel, found 13 violations by senior Trump administrators, including two violations in connection with the 2020 RNC.

Their report outlined how these 13 officials used their authority to influence and interfere or affect the results of the 2022 Presidential election.

Maybe that is why she is concerned, because she saw abuses in the previous administration. I believe this administration will uphold the law. They stated that they will.

Mr. Chair, once again, this amendment is not germane to this bill, and it is not necessary, but I do share the gentlewoman's concerns that the previous administration violated the law.

I yield back the balance of my time. The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Tennessee (Ms. Tennesy)

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Tennessee will be postponed.

AMENDMENT NO. 164 OFFERED BY MS. TENNEY

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 164 printed in part A of House Report 118–559.

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title) insert the following:

SEC. _____. None of the funds made available by this Act may be used to finalize, implement, or enforce the proposed rule of the Department of Defense, General Services Administration, and National Aeronautics and Space Administration titled "Federal Acquisition Regulation: Disclosure of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate-Related Financial Risk" (87 Fed. Reg. 68312; published November 14, 2022).

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1316, the gentle-woman from New York (Ms. TENNEY) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from New York.

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Chair, I rise today to offer my amendment to prohibit any funding to finalize, implement, or enforce the Federal Acquisition Regulation, known as FAR, Council's proposed Federal Acquisition Regulation Disclosure of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate-Related Financial Risk rule.

Mr. Chair, this disastrous rule proposed by the FAR Council has numerous constitutional, national security, and practical concerns, none of which have been adequately addressed by the FAR Council nor the Council on Environmental Quality who have pushed for this rule.

First and foremost, this rule requires all Federal contractors that do more than \$50 million worth of business with the Federal Government to disclose all scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions.

This rule also seeks to require major Federal contractors to comply with the Paris Climate Accords, which has never been ratified by the Senate. The most objectionable part of this rule is the requirement that companies set climate targets and have them validated by one specifically named company called Science Based Targets Initiative, or SBTi. SBTi is a foreign entity based in London, which inherently carries national security concerns.

Under this rule, every major Federal contractor, including companies critical to our national security and our defense industrial base, would have to provide this foreign company with information about all of their scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions and then strategize on how to reduce them.

Despite the existence of numerous American and U.S.-based companies that could fill the same role, the FAR Council and CEQ, Council on Environmental Quality, chose a foreign entity as the named sole-source provider.

Why? Because SBTi is nothing but a front for Democratic donors and advocacy groups. SBTi is owned by the We Mean Business Coalition, which is a project of a new venture fund, which is managed by none other than Arabella Advisors.

Arabella Advisors, for those who do not know, is the George Soros-funded leftwing advocacy group that funnels dark money to leftwing causes and candidates

To summarize, the Biden administration has named a subsidiary of one of its top donors, a leftwing dark money organization, as the sole-source provider for all climate target validating for all major Federal contractors.

□ 0930

During a hearing in the Science, Space, and Technology Committee on this rule, even the Democrats' witness said that SBTi was a poor choice for this role, yet the Biden administration forged ahead anyway.

I look forward to continuing my work with Chairman LUCAS, Chairman OBERNOLTE, and all of my colleagues on the Science, Space, and Technology Committee to get to the bottom of this rule's creation and halt its implementation.

Mr. Chair, I urge all of my colleagues to support this amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CASE. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from Hawaii is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CASE. Mr. Chair, I read this amendment carefully, and what I saw in this amendment and the policy choices that it engages have nothing to do with what I just heard from the proponent of this amendment, so let me deal with the merits of this amendment as it stands and as it relates specifically to the Department of Defense.

This amendment in that context is just another in a long line of initiatives by my colleagues to drive out of the Department of Defense any concern for, much less mention of, anything bearing the name of or in any way implicating the dreaded phrase "climate change."

Based on this amendment, this apparently includes any reference to consideration of greenhouse gas emissions or extreme weather risks in Federal procurement. All this is despite the fact that the DOD itself is eyes wide open to the realities of climate change, as we have seen repeatedly in this debate.

Let's unpack the real amendment here and ask ourselves what it actually does. Very directly, first of all, this amendment would defund any requirement by DOD that greenhouse gas emissions or climate-related risk be disclosed by companies doing business with the Department of Defense.

It is nothing new to utilize Federal procurement to address broader concerns, especially when the largest procurement office in the Federal Government is the Department of Defense. Therefore, the only real conclusion to be reached from the amendment is that greenhouse gas emissions and climate risks are not broader concerns, nor are they concerns, certainly, to the Department of Defense. In fact, for the Department of Defense in Federal Government contracting to look at this amendment, those can't be factored in at all. They can't even be known.

Let's just take a couple of examples, and let's assume everything else. Let's take out of this argument the false argument that somehow it is going to detract from quality, cost, quantity. Let's equalize that, which is all part of Federal procurement anyway. Let's just look at the amendment itself with DOD requirements in some real examples.

Let's take an example. One proposed contractor is utilizing the dirtiest, largest emitting, most unsustainable forms of energy for a product while the other—quality, quantity, productivity, everything else equal—has invested in clean energy consistent with international emission targets, not to mention our own targets.

Should we factor that in at all in Federal procurement? Should we even know that? Should we effectively penalize the company that is doing the right thing and incentivize the company that is not? I think the answer is that we should know it, and we should factor it in.

Let's take another example. One contractor has fully disclosed any risks of extreme weather conditions on its business model, its financial stability, its climate-related resilience preparedness, and the continuity and predictability of operations on key supply chain components, while the other has ignored the obvious concerns that may lead to disruption of key components and higher costs, unexpected costs in the procurement cycle, and fiscal insolvency.

Should we factor that in? Should we even know it in Federal procurement? I think the answer is yes.

Clearly, in both instances, realistic and prudent defense procurement, not to mention compelling national policy, says yes. This amendment, at the end of the day, is grounded in the incorrect assumption that a strong defense industrial base is fundamentally incompatible with cleaner, sustainable energy and climate resiliency. That is not correct. We know that, and the Department of Defense knows that.

The Department of Defense, moreover, knows that if we don't address climate-related risk around the world in all parts of its operations, from operations to readiness to procurement, it faces greater risks. Let's let the Department of Defense address these issues without weighing in with denial, diversion, and fear-mongering.

Mr. Chair, I urge that we oppose this amendment, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. TENNEY. Mr. Chair, with all due respect, the gentleman is wrong about this amendment. It simply states that the amendment defunds and causes us not to fund the implementation of this particular rule. It has nothing to do with climate change. It has nothing to do with any of those allegations he has just set forth in his prepared notes. It is all bluster.

This rule is about the fact that this is a sole-source contract. SBTi was incorporated after the rule was actually made. They were looking, trying to find a company that they could use that would get the answers they wanted. There is no choice for any American company. No U.S.-based company in this category has a choice. They must use this Arabella dark money super-PAC money umbrella that is funded by leftwing George Soros and all these organizations in order to get their contracts approved.

There isn't a choice. You can't go to anyone else. Even the Democrats in the Science, Space, and Technology Committee admitted there was no other choice. Here is a company created after the fact that they needed somewhere, somebody to validate what they wanted, not to determine what the actual science was. This isn't a debate about climate change. It is a debate about science and making sure that our companies have their secrets secured, that they are with American-based companies that we can provide oversight for. and that the Department of Defense can provide its oversight.

It is not about some kind of climate issue. It really doesn't address that at all. It really addresses national security concerns. It addresses the problem that we have a company that is foreign owned which is requiring American-based companies to disclose only to them, with no choice, a sole source.

This is not actually acceptable under DOD rules, and that is why we want this particular rule to be held up until we can get other options for our U.S.-based companies that ensure the security of the American people. This is a sad attempt at trying to politicize, again, the Department of Defense under the guise of some kind of climate rule.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CASE. Mr. Chair, again, let's read the amendment. There is no mention in this amendment of this mysterious company. There is no commitment by the Department of Defense to use a specific company. This is a matter of disclosure. These companies have to disclose this information to the Department of Defense.

This is also still a proposed rule, and certainly there is enough time in the process for us to carry out any concerns over directed sole sourcing or national security, which I think is just a red herring.

Of course, this is about climate change. Of course, this is about a continued effort to deny climate change.

Mr. Chair, I urge opposition to the amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Tenney).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. CASE. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from New York will be postponed.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I rise as the designee of the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO), and I move to strike the last word.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Minnesota is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I yield to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. QUIGLEY), the distinguished ranking member of the Transportation, Housing and Urban Development, and Related Agencies Subcommittee.

Mr. QUIGLEY. Mr. Chair, I cannot in good faith support this legislation without the inclusion of funding for the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative.

For this reason, at the appropriate time, I will offer a motion to recommit this bill back to committee. If the House rules permitted, I would have offered the motion with an important amendment to this bill.

My amendment would protect funding for the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative that has been included in this Defense appropriations bill since 2016.

USAI is a major reason why the Ukrainian army is a much different, much better army than it was when the Russians took Crimea a decade ago. This program is central to the strategy to help Ukraine fight Russian aggression and, therefore, central to our own efforts to protect democracy across the globe.

Ukraine's battle for its independence has not ended. Our support for them must not end, either. Many people in this room voted to provide \$61 billion in supplemental funding for Ukraine, but it is important to remember that \$13 billion went to replenish U.S. equipment, \$7 billion went to strengthen our industrial base and to increase weapons production, and \$7 billion went to bolster U.S. forces in Europe and the Middle East. It is still not enough.

As recently as March, Russia was firing around 10,000 shells a day compared to just 2,000 from the Ukrainian side. Putin has, indeed, doubled down, dramatically increasing his military production to the point of 29 percent of his budget.

USAI allows Ukraine to purchase weapons and equipment directly from U.S. manufacturers. An investment in this initiative is an investment in our own economy, not a handout. The program has been used to integrate the Ukraine military with Western militaries, increasing their capabilities and training their soldiers, but it is critical to understand that the Department of Defense has committed \$8.2 billion of the approximately \$13.8 billion in USAI funding appropriated through the Ukraine supplemental and anticipates committing the remainder of the funds by the end of calendar year 2024.

At the end of the debate, I will insert into the RECORD the text of this amendment, and I hope my colleagues will join me in voting for the motion to recommit.

If we fail to continue this funding, we would effectively signal to authoritarian leaders with expansionist ambition around the world that the U.S. will allow them to act with impunity.

Mr. Chair, I include in the RECORD the text of the amendment.

Mr. Quigley moves to recommit the bill H.R. 8774 to the Committee on Appropriations with the following amendment:

Page 128, line 4, after the dollar amount insert "(increased by \$300,000,000)".

At the end of the bill, before the short title, insert following:

SEC. For an additional amount for "Operation" and Maintenance, Defense-Wide", for the Defense Security Cooperation Agency, \$300,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2026, shall be for the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative: Provided. That, such funds shall be available to the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide assistance, including training; equipment; lethal assistance; logistics support, supplies services; salaries and stipends: sustainment; and intelligence support to the military and national security forces of Ukraine, and to other forces or groups recognized by and under the authority of the Government of Ukraine, including governmental entities within Ukraine, engaged in resisting Russian aggression against Ukraine for replacement of any weapons or articles provided to the Government of Ukraine from the inventory of the United States, and to recover or dispose of equipment procured using funds made available in this section in this or prior Acts: Provided further, That the Secretary of Defense shall, not less than 15 days prior to obligating funds made available in this section, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the details of any such obligation: Provided further, That the Secretary of Defense shall, not more

than 60 days after such notification is made. inform such committees if such funds have not been obligated and the reasons therefor: Provided further, That the Secretary of Defense shall consult with such committees in advance of the provision of support provided to other forces or groups recognized by and under the authority of the Government of Ukraine: Provided further, That the United States may accept equipment procured using funds made available in this section in this or prior Acts transferred to the security forces of Ukraine and returned by such forces to the United States: Provided further, That equipment procured using funds made available in this section in this or prior Acts, and not yet transferred to the military or national security forces of Ukraine or to other assisted entities, or returned by such forces or other assisted entities to the United States, may be treated as stocks of the Department of Defense upon written notification to the congressional defense committees: Provided further That any notification of funds made available in this section shall specify an estimated timeline for the delivery of defense articles and defense services provided and shall identify if any equipment provided requires enhanced end-use monitoring: Provided further, That the Secretary of Defense may accept and retain contributions, including money, personal property, and services, from foreign governments and other entities, to carry out assistance authorized for the Ukraine Security Assistance Initiative in this section: Provided further, That the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees in writing upon the receipt and upon the obligation of any contribution, delineating the sources and amounts of the funds received and the specific use of such contributions: Provided further, That contributions of money for the purposes provided herein from any foreign government or other entity may be credited to this account, to remain available until September 30, 2026, and used for such purposes: Provided further, That the Secretary of Defense shall provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on the use and status of funds made available in this section.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. QUIGLEY) for the MTR, and I look forward to voting for it.

Let me just add, the Ukrainian Supplemental Assistance Initiative has long-term spending which has been in the bill since 2016. As the gentleman pointed out, that is one of the reasons why Ukraine was ready to stand up to this unjustified, hostile invasion by Russia.

Let us work together and coordinate closely with our allies. Let us support the MTR.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

AMENDMENT NO. 171 OFFERED BY MS. TITUS

The Acting CHAIR. It is now in order to consider amendment No. 171 printed in part A of House Report 118–559.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Chair, I have an amendment at the desk.

The Acting CHAIR. The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

At the end of the bill (before the short title), insert the following:

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to House Resolution 1316, the gentle-woman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS) and a Member opposed each will control 5 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentle-woman from Nevada.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of this vital amendment to prohibit funds in the bill from being used to acquire, use, sell, or transfer cluster munitions, in an effort to avert more widespread harm to civilians in areas contaminated with unexploded ordnance.

Cluster bombs are not winning weapons but indiscriminate munitions that blanket large areas, contaminating land and inflicting disproportionate harm on civilians. Cluster munitions are shells that contain dozens of smaller bomblets that disperse into the air and scatter over areas the size of several football fields. Their lack of precision-targeting capabilities increases the risk of their falling into civilian areas and makes it very difficult to decontaminate those bombed areas.

The extreme threat to civilians, furthermore, that these weapons pose far outweighs any potential military benefit.

The "2023 Cluster Munition Monitor" report found there were at least 1,172 new cluster munition casualties globally in 2022. That is the highest annual number of casualties since at least 2010. In 2022, civilians accounted for approximately 95 percent of all cluster munitions casualties, and of that 95 percent, children accounted for over 70 percent of the civilian casualties.

Thousands of communities across Southeast Asia, the Caucasus, and Eastern Europe face lingering dangers from landmines and explosive remnants of war, dating back to World War II, the Vietnam war, and the Indochina wars, with many of the Pacific Island nations still contaminated with unexploded ordnance following World War II battles between Japan and Allied forces. Laos, the most heavily bombed country per capita in history, has suffered an estimated 50,000 civilian casualties from explosive remnants of war since 1964. Cambodia and Vietnam have seen over 64,000 and 105,000 casualties, respectively, since 1975.

□ 0945

The 2008 Convention on Cluster Munitions has 112 party states and 12 signatories, including the majority of our NATO allies. The transfer of these weapons by the U.S. is contrary to the global norm against the use, transfer, and stockpiling of cluster munitions. There is a reason why the vast majority of the international community has banned these weapons, and it is time for the U.S. to do the same.

Mr. Chair, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Chair, I claim the time in opposition the amendment.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentleman from California is recognized for 5 minutes

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Chair, Ukraine is in a fight for its survival, and artillery has been a critical part in its defense. Cluster munitions are needed to fill a gap until production of 155-millimeter rounds can catch up. We have a shortage of those rounds.

The Russians have been using cluster munitions for over $2\frac{1}{2}$ years, since the beginning of this conflict. We should not limit Ukraine's ability to respond in kind.

Moreover, the amendment goes beyond prohibiting the transfer of cluster munitions to Ukraine. It would tie our hands in future conflicts, prohibiting the option to use these munitions in contingencies where the only other option are larger munitions that cause even more casualties.

Additionally, it is not hard to imagine a situation where we might need to transfer these munitions to other allies and partners, such as Taiwan or South Korea. We should not impede our own defense or that of our allies and partners with a complete prohibition on cluster munitions.

Mr. Chair, I urge a "no" vote, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Chair, may I inquire as to how much time is remaining.

The Acting CHAIR. The gentlewoman from Nevada has 2 minutes remaining.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Chair, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. McCollum), the ranking member of the subcommittee.

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Chair, I rise in support of this amendment.

In the case of Ukraine, I continue to remain concerned that allowing these munitions to go onto the battlefield undermines our moral authority and places the United States in a position that contradicts 23 of our NATO allies, who have joined the convention on cluster mines

To be clear, though, I am a strong supporter of the Biden administration's policy in Ukraine. This is a difficult position that the Ukrainian military has been put in.

Yes, Russia has used these types of munitions inside of Ukraine. When we were short on delivering the security that we promised Ukraine, cluster bombs became something that the administration put in the mix. I wasn't supportive of that, but I do realize that this is the choice between the lesser of two evils in the war that Ukraine has been forced to fight because of the Russian invasion.

I want to get to a place where we don't have these choices in front of us and where we are not transferring these weapons.

Mr. Chair, regrettably at this time, I will be unable to vote for passage of this bill.

And I cannot recommend to my colleagues that they support it.

Every Member in this chamber knows what needs to happen for this bill to become law.

The partisan riders need to come out so the bill can get bipartisan support.

Instead the bill now includes additional divisive amendments today that will further jeop-

ardize Congress' ability to enact a Defense appropriations bill on time.

And in doing so we are failing to heed Churchill's advice—to learn from history—and not repeat the mistakes from last year.

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Chair, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. CRAWFORD).

Mr. CRAWFORD. Mr. Chair, I thank the chairman for yielding me time.

I rise today in support of the cluster munitions prohibition amendment.

We have heard from Members speaking in favor of this amendment, and I would like to echo their concerns from a military perspective.

Cluster munitions do kill innocent civilians, but they also are incredibly deadly to U.S. military personnel as well.

The vast majority of cluster munitions lack a self-destruct capability and have failure rates of 2 to 40 percent.

With many of these war-torn nations unable to adequately address their own UXO clearance operations, often due to a lack of having their own EOD-trained personnel, this is where the United States military steps in.

Through programs like the Humanitarian Demining Research and Development Program and the Humanitarian Mine Action Program, the U.S. Department of Defense sends highly skilled EOD personnel to provide demining assistance to partner nations post-conflict.

This means that even if the United States is not directly involved in a conflict, we send America's EOD personnel into harm's way when they conduct clearance operations.

President Biden has provided Ukraine with cluster munitions for use against Russia, and anyone would be a fool to believe that when the Ukraine-Russia conflict is over, American EOD personnel will not be sent to assist in post-conflict clearance operations.

On my last visit to the EOD Memorial Wall at Eglin Air Force Base, I reread the names of 344 fallen EOD technicians. If we don't support the cluster munitions prohibition amendment, we will be adding more names to that wall

As a founder and co-chair of the Congressional Explosive Ordnance Disposal Caucus, it is my duty to be a voice in Congress for America's EOD personnel.

Mr. Chair, I urge my colleagues to support the amendment.

Mr. CALVERT. Mr. Chair, this is the final point I would make. The dud rate on the Russian cluster munitions exceeds 30 percent where the dud rate of the U.S. munition is extremely low.

It is a terrible weapon. War is a terrible thing. These weapons are necessary for us to have our allies win. I would ask that we defeat this amendment, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Chair, I thank the gentleman from Arkansas for pointing out the danger of these weapons, not just to the victims but also to our own troops.

As far as the dud rates go, the Department of Defense has indeed stated DPICMs have a low dud rate, 2.35 percent, as the Department has said. This figure, however, does not tell the whole story.

The DOD tests the dud rate by dropping bomblets on a concrete surface. Obviously, every little bomblet will detonate on concrete, but that is not representative of the environments where they are deployed. When cluster munitions are dropped in mud, snow, marshes, and certain soft terrain, that dud rate skyrockets upwards of 50 percent.

The DOD's announced dud rate figure cannot be taken at face value, and constructing an argument against this amendment solely based on that foundation is truly flawed.

I just point out, again, the damage that is done to humanity by these weapons, and the majority of those who are casualties are children.

Mr. Chair, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Acting CHAIR. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS).

The question was taken; and the Acting Chair announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Ms. TITUS. Mr. Chair, I demand a recorded vote.

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, further proceedings on the amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Nevada will be postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR. Pursuant to clause 6 of rule XVIII, proceedings will now resume on those amendments printed in part A of House Report 118–559 on which further proceedings were postponed, in the following order:

Amendment No. 163 by Ms. TENNEY of New York.

Amendment No. 164 by Ms. Tenney of New York.

Amendment No. 171 by Ms. TITUS of Nevada.

The Chair will reduce to 2 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 163 OFFERED BY MS. TENNEY

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 163, printed in part A of House Report 118–559, offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Tenney), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 201, noes 187, not voting 50, as follows:

[Roll No. 327]

AYES-201

Aderholt Fulcher Alford Gaetz Garbarino Allen Amodei Garcia, Mike Armstrong Gimenez Babin Good (VA) Bacon Baird Gooden (TX) Balderson Gosar Banks Granger Bean (FL) Graves (LA) Bentz Graves (MO) Bergman Greene (GA) Bice Griffith Biggs Grothman Bilirakis Bishop (NC) Guthrie Hageman Boebert Brecheen Harris Buchanan Harshbarger Bucshon Hern Burchett Higgins (LA) Burgess Hill Burlison Hinson Houchin Calvert Cammack Hudson Huizenga Carey Carl Hunt Carter (GA) Issa. Carter (TX) Johnson (LA) Chavez-DeRemer Johnson (SD) Ciscomani Jordan Joyce (PA) Cloud Kean (NJ) Clyde Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Cole Collins Kiggans (VA) Comer Kilev Kim (CA) Crane Crawford Kustoff Curtis LaLota D'Esposito LaMalfa Davidson Lamborn Langworthy De La Cruz DesJarlais Latta Diaz-Balart LaTurner Donalds Lawler Lee (FL) Duarte Duncan Lesko Dunn (FL) Letlow Edwards Loudermilk Ellzev Lucas Luetkemeyer Emmer Estes Luna Luttrell Ezell Fallon Mace Malliotakis Feenstra Ferguson Malov Finstad Mann Fischbach Mast McCaul Fitzgerald Fleischmann McClain McClintock Flood Fong McCormick Foxx Meuser

Miller (WV) Mills Molinaro Mooney Moore (AL) Gonzales, Tony Moore (UT) Moran Nehls Newhouse Norman Nunn (IA) Obernolte Owens Palmer Pence Perry Pfluger Posey Reschenthaler Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rose Rosendale Rouzer Rov Rulli Rutherford Salazar Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Self Sessions Simpson Smith (MO)

Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smucker Stee1 Stefanik Steil Steube Strong Tenney Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Turner Valadao Van Drew Van Duvne Van Orden Wagner Walberg Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams (NY Williams (TX)

Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Womack

Yakvm

Zinke

NOES-187

Miller (IL)

Miller (OH)

Franklin, Scott

Fry

Castor (FL) Adams Aguilar Castro (TX) Allred Cherfilus-McCormick Amo Auchincloss Chu Clark (MA) Balint Barragán Clarke (NY) Beatty Cleaver Bera. Cohen Beyer Correa Bishop (GA) Costa Blumenauer Courtney Craig Blunt Rochester Bonamici Crockett Boyle (PA) Crow Cuellar Brown Brownley Davids (KS) Budzinski Davis (IL) Davis (NC) Caraveo Carbajal Dean (PA) Cárdenas DeGette Carson DelBene Carter (LA) Deluzio DeSaulnier Cartwright Casar Dingell Case Doggett Casten Escobar

Eshoo Espaillat Fitzpatrick Fletcher Foster Foushee Frankel, Lois Garamendi García (IL) Garcia (TX) Garcia, Robert Golden (ME) Goldman (NY) Gomez Gonzalez, Vicente Green, Al (TX) Harder (CA) Hayes Himes Houlahan Hoyer Hoyle (OR)

Ivey

Jacobs

Jackson (NC)

Moulton Scott, David Jayapal Jeffries Mrvan Sherman Johnson (GA) Mullin Sherrill Kamlager-Dove Napolitano Slotkin Kaptur Neguse Smith (WA) Keating Nickel Soto Kelly (IL) Norcross Spanberger Kennedy Norton Stansbury Khanna Omar Stanton Kildee Pallone Stevens Kilmer Panetta Strickland Kim (NJ) Pappas Suozzi Krishnamoorthi Pelosi Swalwell Peltola Landsman Sykes Larsen (WA) Perez Takano Larson (CT) Peters Lee (CA) Pettersen Thanedar Thompson (CA) Lee (NV) Pingree Thompson (MS) Lee (PA) Plaskett Leger Fernandez Pocan Tlaib Levin Presslev Tokuda Lieu Quigley Tonko Lofgren Ramirez Torres (CA) Lynch Raskin Torres (NY) Magaziner Ross Trahan Matsui Ruiz Underwood McBath Rvan Vargas McClellan Sablan Veasev McCollum Salinas Velázquez McGarvev Sánchez Wasserman McGovern Sarbanes Schultz Meeks Scanlon Schiff Waters Menendez Schneider Wexton Meng Wild Mfume Scholten Williams (GA) Moore (WI) Schrier Scott (VA) Wilson (FL) Moskowitz NOT VOTING-50

Arrington Jackson (IL) Ocasio-Cortez Barr Jackson (TX) Ogles Bost Jackson Lee Pascrell Bowman James Phillips Joyce (OH) Bush Porter Clyburn Kuster Radewagen LaHood Connolly Ruppersberger Crenshaw Manning Schakowsky DeLauro Massie Sewell. Evans McHenry Sorensen Miller-Meeks Gallego Spartz González-Colón Moolenaar Stauber Gottheimer Morelle Titus Green (TN) Movlan Trone Grijalva Murphy Vasquez Horsford Nadler Watson Coleman Huffman Neal

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR The Acting CHAIR (Mr. WEBER of Texas)(during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

\Box 1013

Messrs. SUOZZI and JACKSON of North Carolina changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Ms. GRANGER changed her vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Mr. BARR. Mr. Chair, had I been present, I would have voted AYE on Roll Call No. 327.

Mr. JACKSON of Texas. Mr. Chair, I arrived just after the vote closed on the floor. Had I been present, I would have voted AYE on Roll Call No. 327.

Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS. Mr. Chair, had I been present, I would have voted AYE on Roll Call No. 327.

Stated against:

Mr. GOTTHEIMER. Mr. Chair, I missed the following vote, but had I been present, I would have voted NO on Roll Call No. 327.

Ms. MANNING. Mr. Chair, I was unable to be recorded on Roll Call No. 327. Had I been present, I would have voted NO on Roll Call No. 327

AMENDMENT NO. 164 OFFERED BY MS. TENNEY The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 164, printed in part A of House Report 118-559, offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Tenney), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The Acting CHAIR. This 2-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—aves 211, noes 199. not voting 28, as follows:

[Roll No. 328]

AYES-211

Mills

Gaetz Garbarino Aderholt Alford Molinaro Allen Garcia, Mike Mooney Gimenez Golden (ME) Amodei Moore (AL) Armstrong Moore (UT) Babin Gonzales, Tony Moran Bacon Good (VA) Nehls Baird Gooden (TX) Newhouse Balderson Gosar Norman Banks Granger Nunn (IA) Graves (LA) Barr Obernolte Bean (FL) Graves (MO) Ogles Bentz Greene (GA) Owens Bergman Griffith Palmer Bice Grothman Peltola Biggs Guest Pence Bilirakis Guthrie Perez Bishop (NC) Hageman Perry Boebert Harris Pfluger Harshbarger Bost Posey Reschenthaler Brecheen Hern Buchanan Higgins (LA) Rodgers (WA) Bucshon Rogers (AL) Burchett Hinson Rogers (KY) Houchin Burgess Burlison Rose Hudson Rosendale Calvert Hunt. Rouzer Cammack Issa Carey Jackson (TX) Rov Rulli Carl James Rutherford Carter (GA) Johnson (LA) Carter (TX) Johnson (SD) Salazar Scalise Chavez-DeRemer Jordan Joyce (OH) Schweikert Ciscomani Cline Joyce (PA) Scott, Austin Cloud Kean (NJ) Self Clyde Kelly (MS) Sessions Cole Kelly (PA) Simpson Kiggans (VA) Collins Smith (MO) Comer Kiley Smith (NE) Kim (CA) Crane Smith (NJ) Crawford Kustoff Smucker Cuellar LaLota Steel Curtis La.Ma.lfa Stefanik D'Esposito Lamborn Steil Davidson Langworthy Steube De La Cruz Latta. Strong LaTurner DesJarlais Tenney Diaz-Balart Lawler Thompson (PA) Lee (FL) Donalds Tiffany Duarte Lesko Timmons Duncan Letlow Turner Dunn (FL) Loudermilk Valadao Edwards Lucas Van Drew Luetkemeyer Ellzey Van Duyne Emmer Luna Van Orden Luttrell Estes Wagner Ezell Mace Malliotakis Walberg Fallon Waltz Maloy Feenstra Weber (TX) Ferguson Mann Webster (FL) Finstad Mast Wenstrup Fischbach McCaul Westerman McClain McClintock Fitzgerald Williams (NY) Fleischmann McCormick Williams (TX) Fong Meuser Miller (IL) Wilson (SC) Wittman Foxx Franklin, Scott Miller (OH) Womack Miller (WV) Yakvm Fulcher Miller-Meeks Zinke

Rose

NOES-199

Garcia, Robert Adams Goldman (NY) Aguilar Allred Gomez Amo Gonzalez Auchincloss Vicente Gottheimer Balint Green, Al (TX) Barragán Harder (CA) Beatty Bera Hayes Rever Himes Bishop (GA) Horsford Houlahan Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Hover Hoyle (OR) Bonamici Boyle (PA) Ivey Jackson (IL) Brown Brownley Jackson (NC) Budzinski Jacobs Caraveo Javapal Carbajal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Cárdenas Kamlager-Dove Carson Carter (LA) Kaptur Cartwright Keating Casar Kelly (IL) Case Kennedy Khanna Casten Castor (FL) Kildee Castro (TX) Kilmer Kim (NJ) Cherfilus-McCormick Krishnamoorthi Chu Landsman Clark (MA) Larsen (WA) Clarke (NY) Larson (CT) Cleaver Lee (CA) Clyburn Lee (NV) Lee (PA) Cohen Connolly Leger Fernandez Correa Levin CostaLieu Courtney Lofgren Craig Lynch Crockett Magaziner Crow Manning Davids (KS) Matsui Davis (IL) McBath Davis (NC) Dean (PA) McClellan McCollum McGarvey DeGette DeLauro McGovern DelBene Meeks Menendez Deluzio DeSaulnier Meng Mfume Dingell Doggett Moore (WI) Escobar Morelle Eshoo Moskowitz Espaillat Moulton Fitzpatrick Mrvan Fletcher Mullin Foster Napolitano Foushee Nea1

Panetta. Pappas Pascrell Pelosi Peters Pettersen Pingree Plaskett Pocan Presslev Quigley Ramirez Raskin Ross Ruiz Rvan Sablan Salinas

Ruppersberger Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Scholten Schrier Scott (VA) Scott, David Sherman Sherrill Slotkin Smith (WA) Soto Spanberger Stansbury Stanton Stevens Strickland Snozzi Swalwell Sykes Takano Thanedar Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tokuda Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Underwood Vargas Vasquez Veasey Velázquez Wasserman Schultz

Waters

Wexton

Williams (GA)

Aderholt

Aguilar

Alford

Allen

Allred

Amodei

Armstrong

Arrington

Auchincloss

Amo

Babin

Bacon

Baird

Banks

Beatty

Bentz

Bera

Beyer

Bice

Bergman

Barr

Balderson

Wilson (FL)

Wild

NOT VOTING-

Neguse

Nickel

Norton

Pallone

Omar

Norcross

Arrington Jackson Lee Phillips Bowman Kuster Porter Bush LaHood Radewagen Crenshaw Massie Sewell. Evans McHenry Sorensen González-Colón Moolenaar Spartz Green (TN) Moylan Grijalya Murphy Watson Coleman Huffman Nadler Ocasio-Cortez

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE ACTING CHAIR

The Acting CHAIR (during the vote). There is 1 minute remaining.

□ 1018

So the amendment was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated for:

Frankel, Lois

Frost

Gallego

Garamendi

García (IL)

Garcia (TX)

Mr. HUIZENGA. Mr. Chair, I missed a vote today. Had I been present, I would have voted AYE on Roll Call No. 328.

AMENDMENT NO. 171 OFFERED BY MS. TITUS

The Acting CHAIR. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on amendment No. 171, printed in part A of House Report 118-559, offered by the gentlewoman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS), on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

Clerk will redesignate The amendment.

The Clerk redesignated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The Acting CHAIR. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

Frost

The Acting CHAIR. This is a 2minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 129, noes 284, not voting 24, as follows:

[Roll No. 329]

AYES-129

Adams Balint Moore (AL) FrvMoore (UT) Gaetz Moore (WI) Barragán Bean (FL) García (IL) Napolitano Garcia, Robert Biggs Neal Bilirakis Gomez Neguse Good (VA) Bishop (NC) Nehls Blumenauer Gosar Ocasio-Cortez Green, Al (TX) Boebert Ogles Bonamici Greene (GA) Omar Brecheen Hageman Owens Burchett Harshbarger Peltola Burlison Haves Pocan Houlahan Cammack Posey Cárdenas Hoyle (OR) Pressley Carl Hunt. Ramirez Raskin Carson Issa Ivey Reschenthaler Casar Castro (TX) Jacobs Rosendale Chu Jayapal Ruiz Cleaver Johnson (GA) Sablan Cloud Jordan Salinas Kamlager-Dove Collins Sánchez Comer Kaptur Sarbanes Connolly Khanna Scanlon Correa Kildee Schakowsky Crane Kim (CA) Schiff Scott (VA) Crawford Kim (NJ) Larsen (WA) Smith (NJ) Crockett Curtis Lee (CA) Tennev Dean (PA) Thompson (PA) Lee (PA) DeGette Leger Fernandez Titus DeSaulnier Luna Tlaib Luttrell Tokuda Dingell Tonko Doggett Lynch Donalds Malov Trahan McCollum Van Duyne Duncan Emmer McGovern Vargas Ezell Meng Velázguez Wild Ferguson Mfume Finstad Miller (OH) Williams (GA) Fischbach Mills Wilson (FL) Frankel, Lois Mooney Zinke

NOES-284

Bishop (GA) Cherfilus-Blunt Rochester McCormick Ciscomani Boyle (PA) Clark (MA) Brown Clarke (NY) Brownley Cline Clyburn Buchanan Bucshon Clyde Budzinski Cohen Burgess Cole Calvert Courtney Craig Crenshaw Caraveo Carbajal Carey Crow Carter (GA) Cuellar D'Esposito Carter (LA) Carter (TX) Davids (KS) Davidson CartwrightDavis (IL) Case Casten Davis (NC) Castor (FL) De La Cruz Chavez-DeRemer DeLauro

Deluzio DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Duarte Dunn (FIA Edwards Ellzey Escobar Eshoo Espaillat Estes Fallon Feenstra Fitzgerald Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Fletcher Flood Fong Foster Foushee Foxx Franklin, Scott Fulcher Gallego Garamendi Garbarino Garcia (TX) Garcia, Mike Gimenez Golden (ME) Goldman (NY) Gonzales, Tony Gonzalez. Vicente Gooden (TX) Gottheimer Granger Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Griffith Grothman Guest Guthrie Harder (CA) Harris Hern Higgins (LA) Hill Himes Hinson Horsford Houchin Hoyer Hudson Huffman Huizenga Jackson (IL) Jackson (NC) Jackson (TX) James Jeffries Johnson (SD) Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Kean (NJ) Keating Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Kennedy Kiggans (VA) Kiley

Krishnamoorthi Kustoff LaLota LaMalfa Lamborn Landsman Langworthy Larson (CT) Latta. LaTurner Lawler Lee (FL) Lee (NV) Lesko Letlow Levin Lien Lofgren Loudermilk Lucas Luetkemeyer Mace Magaziner Malliotakis Mann Manning Mast Matsui McBath McCaul McClain McClellan McClintock McCormick McGarvey Meeks Menendez Meuser Miller (IL) Miller (WV Miller-Meeks Molinaro Moran Morelle Moskowitz Moulton Mrvan Mullin Newhouse Nickel Norcross Norman Norton Nunn (IA) Obernolte Pallone Palmer Panetta Pappas Pascrell Pelosi Pence Perez Perry Peters Pettersen Pfluger Pingree Plaskett Quigley Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL)

Kilmer

DelBene

Ross Rouzer Roy Rulli Ruppersberger Rutherford Ryan Salazar Scalise Schneider Scholten Schrier Schweikert Scott, Austin Scott, David Self. Sessions Sherman Sherrill Simpson Slotkin Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (WA) Smucker Soto Spanberger Stansbury Stanton Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stevens Strickland Strong Suozzi Swalwell Sykes Takano Thanedar Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Tiffany Timmons Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trone Turner Underwood Valadao Van Drew Van Orden Vasquez Veasev Wagner Walberg Waltz Wasserman Schultz Waters Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Wexton Williams (NY) Williams (TX) Wilson (SC) Wittman

NOT VOTING-24

Rogers (KY)

Womack

Yakym

Phillips Kuster Bowman LaHood Bush Porter Costa Massie Radewagen McHenry Evans Sewell González-Colón Moolenaar Sorensen Green (TN) Moylan Spartz Grijalva Murphy Stauber Jackson Lee Watson Coleman

\Box 1023

Mr. JAMES changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Ms. LOIS FRANKEL of Florida. Mr. Chair, during Roll Call Vote No. 329 on H.R. 8774, I mistakenly recorded my vote as Aye when I should have voted No.

Schweikert

Pappas

Pascrell

Gonzalez.

Vicente

Barragán

Beatty

The Acting CHAIR. There being no further amendments, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WEBER of Texas) having assumed the chair, Mr. MEUSER, Acting Chair of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 8774) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2025, and for other purposes, and, pursuant to House Resolution 1316, reported the bill, as amended by that resolution, back to the House with sundry further amendments in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

\Box 1030

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. QUIGLEY. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Quigley of Illinois moves to recommit the bill H.R. 8774 to the Committee on Ap-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XIX, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. QUIGLEY. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the year and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 5minute vote on the motion to recommit will be followed by 5-minute votes on:

Passage of H.R. 8774;

A motion to recommit H.R. 8752, if offered;

Passage of H.R. 8752;

A motion to recommit H.R. 8771, if offered; and

Passage of H.R. 8771.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 202, nays 211, not voting 19, as follows:

[Roll No. 330]

YEAS-202

Adams Allred Auchineless Balint Aguilar Amo

Gottheimer Pelosi Bever Green, Al (TX) Peltola Harder (CA) Bishop (GA) Perez Hayes Blumenauer Peters Blunt Rochester Himes Pettersen Horsford Bonamici Pingree Houlahan Bovle (PA) Pocan Hover Brown Pressley Hoyle (OR) Brownlev Quigley Huffman Budzinski Ramirez Ivey Jackson (IL) Raskin Caraveo Carbajal Ross Jackson (NC) Cárdenas Ruiz Jacobs Ruppersberger Carson Jayapal Carter (LA) Ryan Jeffries Cartwright Salinas Johnson (GA) Sánchez Casar Kamlager-Dove Case Sarbanes Kaptur Casten Scanlon Castor (FL) Keating Schakowsky Kelly (IL) Castro (TX) Schiff Kennedy Cherfilus-Schneider Khanna McCormick Scholten Kildee Chu Schrier Kilmer Clark (MA) Scott (VA) Kim (NJ) Clarke (NY) Scott, David Krishnamoorthi Sewell Cleaver Landsman Clyburn Sherman Larsen (WA) Cohen Sherrill. Larson (CT) Connolly Slotkin Lee (CA) Correa Smith (WA) Lee (NV) Costa. Soto Lee (PA) Courtney Spanberger Leger Fernandez Craig Stansbury Levin Crockett Stanton Lieu Crow Stevens Lofgren Cuellar Strickland Lynch Davids (KS) Suozzi Magaziner Swalwell Davis (IL) Manning Davis (NC) Svkes Matsui Dean (PA) Takano McBath DeGette Thanedar McClellan Thompson (CA) DeLauro McCollum DelBene Thompson (MS) McGarvey Deluzio Titus McGovern DeSaulnier Tlaib Meeks Tokuda Menendez Doggett Tonko Meng Torres (CA) Escobar Mfume Eshoo Torres (NY) Moore (WI) Espaillat Morelle Trahan Fletcher Moskowitz Trone Foster Underwood Moulton Foushee Vargas Mrvan Frankel, Lois Mullin Vasquez Frost Veasey Napolitano Gallego Neal Velázquez Garamendi Neguse Wasserman García (IL) Schultz Nickel Garcia (TX) Norcross Waters Garcia, Robert Ocasio-Cortez Wexton Golden (ME) Wild Omar Williams (GA) Goldman (NY) Pallone Gomez Panetta Wilson (FL)

NAYS-211

Aderholt

Alford

Amodei

Babin

Bacon

Baird

Banks

Barr

Bentz

Bice

Biggs

Armstrong

Arrington

Balderson

Bean (FL)

Bergman

Bilirakis

Boebert

Brecheen

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burchett

Burgess

Burlison

Cammack

Calvert

Carey

Bost

Bishop (NC)

Allen

Carl Feenstra Carter (GA) Ferguson Carter (TX) Finstad Fischbach Chavez-DeRemer Ciscomani Fitzgerald Cline Fitzpatrick Cloud Fleischmann Clyde Flood Cole Fong Collins Foxx Comer Franklin, Scott Crane Frv Crawford Fulcher Crenshaw Garbarino Garcia, Mike Curtis D'Esposito Gimenez Gonzales, Tony Davidson De La Cruz Gooden (TX) DesJarlais Gosar Diaz-Balart Granger Graves (LA) Donalds Duarte Graves (MO) Duncan Dunn (FL) Greene (GA) Griffith Edwards Grothman Ellzey Guest Guthrie Emmer Estes Hageman Ezell Harris Harshbarger Fallon

Mann Higgins (LA) Mast Hill McCanl Hinson McClain Houchin McClintock Hudson McCormick Huizenga Meuser Miller (IL) Hunt Issa Miller (OH) Jackson (TX) Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks James Johnson (LA) Mills Johnson (SD) Molinaro Moolenaar Jordan Joyce (OH) Mooney Moore (AL) Joyce (PA) Kean (NJ) Moore (UT) Kelly (MS) Moran Kelly (PA) Nehls Kiggans (VA) Newhouse Kilev Norman Kim (CA) Nunn (IA) Kustoff Obernolte LaLota Ogles LaMalfa Owens Lamborn Palmer Langworthy Pence Latta Perry LaTurner Pfluger Lawler Posev Lee (FL) Reschenthaler Lesko Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL) Letlow Loudermilk Rogers (KY) Lucas Rose Luetkemeyer Rosendale Luna Rouzer Luttrell Roy Rulli Mace Malliotakis Rutherford Maloy Salazar NOT VOTING-Jackson Lee

Scott, Austin Self Sessions Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smucker Spartz Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Strong Tennev Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Turner Valadao Van Drew Van Duyne Van Orden Wagner Walberg Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams (NY) Williams (TX) Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Yakym Zinke Phillips Porter Sorensen

Bowman Bush Kuster Evans LaHood Massie Gaetz Stauber Good (VA) McHenry Watson Coleman Green (TN) Murphy Grijalva Nadler

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remain-

□ 1032

Mr. CARSON changed his vote from "nav" to "vea."

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Pursuant to clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 198, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 331] YEAS-217

Bishop (NC) Aderholt Cloud Alford Boebert Clyde Allen Bost. Cole Amodei Brecheen Collins Armstrong Buchanan Comer Arrington Bucshon Crane Babin Burchett Crawford Bacon Burgess Crenshaw Baird Burlison Curtis Balderson Calvert D'Esposito Davidson Davis (NC) Banks Cammack Barr Carey Bean (FL) Carl De La Cruz Bentz Carter (GA) DesJarlais Bergman Carter (TX) Diaz-Balart Bice Chavez-DeRemer Donalds Biggs Ciscomani Duarte Bilirakis Cline Duncan

Dunn (FL) Jordan Joyce (OH) Edwards Joyce (PA) Ellzey Emmer Kean (NJ) Kelly (MS) Estes Kelly (PA) Ezell Fallon Kiggans (VA) Feenstra Kiley Kim (CA) Ferguson Finstad Kustoff Fischbach LaLota Fitzgerald LaMalfa Fitznatrick Lamborn Fleischmann Langworthy Flood Latta Fong LaTurner Foxx Lawler Franklin, Scott Lee (FL) Frv Lesko Fulcher Letlow Gaetz Loudermilk Garbarino Lucas Garcia, Mike Luetkemeyer Gimenez Luna Golden (ME) Luttrell Gonzales, Tony Malliotakis Gonzalez. Vicente Malov Good (VA) Mann Gooden (TX) Mast McCaul Gosar Granger McClain Graves (LA) McClintock Graves (MO) McCormick Meuser Greene (GA) Miller (IL) Griffith Grothman Miller (OH) Guest Miller (WV) Guthrie Miller-Meeks Hageman Harris Molinaro Harshbarger Moolenaar Hern Mooney Moore (AL) Higgins (LA) Moore (UT) Hill Hinson Moran Houghin Nehls Newhouse Hudson Huizenga Norman Hunt Nunn (IA) Obernolte Issa Jackson (TX) James Owens Johnson (LA) Palmer

Pence Perez Perry Pfluger Posev Reschenthaler Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rose Rouzer R.ov Rulli Rutherford Salazar Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Self. Sessions Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smucker Spartz Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Strong Tenney Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Turner Valadao Van Drew Van Duvne Van Orden Wagner Walberg Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams (NY) Williams (TX) Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Womack

Yakym

Zinke

NAYS-198

Peltola

Crockett

Crow

Cuellar

Davids (KS)

Davis (IL)

Dean (PA)

DeGette

DeLauro

DelBene

Deluzio

Dingell

Doggett

Escobar

Espaillat

Fletcher

Foster

Frost

Gallego

 ${\tt Gomez}$

Hayes

Himes

Hoyer

Ivey

Jacobs

Horsford

Houlahan

Hoyle (OR)

Jackson (IL)

Jackson (NC)

Huffman

Garamendi

García (IL)

Garcia (TX)

Gottheimer

Harder (CA)

Garcia, Robert

Goldman (NY)

Green, Al (TX)

Foushee

Frankel, Lois

Eshoo

DeSaulnier

Adams Aguilar Allred Amo Auchincloss Balint Barragán Beatty Bera Bever Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Bonamici Boyle (PA) Brown Brownley Budzinski Caraveo Carbajal Cárdenas Carson Carter (LA) Cartwright Casar Case Casten Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Cherfilus-McCormick Chu Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Connolly Correa Costa Courtney Craig

Johnson (SD)

Javanal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Kamlager-Dove Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Kennedy Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (NJ) Krishnamoorthi Landsman Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Lee (PA) Leger Fernandez Levin Lieu Lofgren Lynch Magaziner Manning Matsui McBath McClellan McCollum McGarvey McGovern Meeks Menendez Meng Mfume Moore (WI) Morelle Moskowitz Moulton Mrvan Mullin

Salinas Takano Napolitano Neal Sánchez Thanedar Neguse Sarbanes Thompson (CA) Nickel Scanlon Thompson (MS) Schakowsky Norcross Titus Ocasio-Cortez Schiff Tlaib Schneider Omar Tokuda Pallone Scholten Tonko Panetta Schrier Torres (CA) Scott (VA) Pappas Torres (NY) Scott, David Pascrell Trahan Pelosi Sewell Trone Peters Sherman Underwood Pettersen Sherrill Vargas Pingree Slotkin Vasquez Smith (WA) Pocan Veasey Pressley Soto Velázquez Quiglev Snanherger Wasserman Schultz Stansbury Ramirez Raskin Stanton Waters Rosendale Stevens Wexton Strickland Ross Ruiz Wild Suozzi Swalwell Williams (GA) Ruppersberger Sykes Wilson (FL) Ryan NOT VOTING-17

Phillips Bowman Kuster LaHood Bush Porter Evans Massie Sorensen Green (TN) McHenry Stauber Grijalva Murphy Watson Coleman Jackson Lee Nadler

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1038

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SE-APPROPRIATIONS ACT, CURITY 2025

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1(c) of rule XIX, further consideration of the bill (H.R. 8752) making appropriations for the Department of Homeland Security for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2025, and for other purposes, will now resume.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under House Resolution 1316, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any further amendment reported from the Committee of the Whole?

If not, the chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Magaziner of Rhode Island moves to recommit the bill H.R. 8752 to the Committee on Appropriations.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XIX, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. MAGAZINER. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and navs.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 201, nays 213, not voting 17, as follows:

[Roll No. 332]

YEAS-201

Omar

Adams

Garcia, Robert Golden (ME) Aguilar Pallone Goldman (NY) Allred Panetta Pappas Amo Gomez Auchincloss Gonzalez, Pascrell Vicente Balint Pelosi Barragán Gottheimer Peltola Beatty Green, Al (TX) Perez Bera. Harder (CA) Peters Beyer Pettersen Haves Bishop (GA) Himes Pingree Blumenauer Horsford Pocan Blunt Rochester Houlahan Pressley Bonamici Hoyer Quigley Hoyle (OR) Boyle (PA) Ramirez Brown Huffman Raskin Brownley Ivey Jackson (IL) Ross Budzinski Ruiz Caraveo Jackson (NC) Ruppersberger Carbajal Jacobs Ryan Javanal Cárdenas Salinas Carson Jeffries Sánchez Carter (LA) Johnson (GA) Sarbanes Cartwright Kamlager-Dove Scanlon Casar Kaptur Schakowsky Case Keating Kelly (IL) Schiff Schneider Casten Castor (FL) Scholten Kennedy Castro (TX) Khanna Schrier Scott (VA) Cherfilus-Kildee McCormick Kilmer Scott, David Chu Kim (NJ) Sewell. Clark (MA) Krishnamoorthi Sherman Clarke (NY) Landsman Sherrill Cleaver Larsen (WA) Slotkin Larson (CT) Smith (WA) Clyburn Cohen Lee (CA) Soto Connolly Lee (NV) Spanberger Correa Lee (PA) Stansbury Costa Leger Fernandez Stanton Courtney Levin Stevens Craig Lieu Strickland Crockett Lofgren Suozzi Swalwell Crow Lynch Magaziner Cuellar Sykes Davids (KS) Manning Takano Thanedar Davis (IL) Matsui Davis (NC) McBath Thompson (CA) Dean (PA) McClellan Thompson (MS) McCollum DeGette Titus DeLauro Tlaib McGarvey DelBene McGovern Tokuda. Tonko Deluzio Meeks Torres (CA) DeSaulnier Menendez Dingell Meng Torres (NY) Mfume Trahan Doggett Trone Escobar Moore (WI) Underwood Eshoo Morelle Espaillat Moskowitz Vargas Fletcher Moulton Vasquez Foster Mrvan Veasev Foushee Mullin Velázquez Frankel, Lois Napolitano Wasserman Frost Neal Schultz Gallego Neguse Wexton Garamendi Nickel Wild Williams (GA) García (IL) Norcross Ocasio-Cortez Garcia (TX) Wilson (FL)

NAYS-213

Bacon

Baird

Barr

Bentz

Aderholt Alford Allen Amodei Armstrong Arrington Babin

Bergman Bice Balderson Biggs Bilirakis Banks Bishop (NC) Bean (FL) Boebert Bost