

with us and all of the outside groups who have dedicated their time, their effort, their resources to getting this bill to the Senate floor.

I am deeply grateful to Senator SCHUMER for his partnership and leadership on this issue, to Chairwoman CANTWELL, to Ranking Member TED CRUZ, and to my partner Senator CASSIDY from Louisiana. In 1998, COPPA 1.0 was a partnership between myself and Billy Tauzin from Louisiana, and, today, with Senator CASSIDY, we are going to take COPPA 2.0, partner it with the legislation of Senator BLUMENTHAL and the Senator from Tennessee, and we are going to make history. And then we are going to get it over the finish line and onto the President's desk before the end of this year.

So I thank all the Members for their cooperation on this, and, again, I want to thank Senator SCHUMER for his great effort in expediting the movement of this legislation to the floor and for, I believe, its inexorable, inevitable passage.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, well, today is a momentous day. The Senate keeps its promise to every parent who has lost a child because of the risks of social media.

Today, after a lot of hard work, twists and turns, the Senate is passing two vital pieces of legislation with a strong bipartisan vote: the Kids Online Safety Act, or KOSA, and the Children and Teens' Online Prevention Act, or COPPA.

By passing KOSA and COPPA, we are one step closer to the most important update in decades to Federal laws protecting kids on the internet.

Once we act, the House should pass these bills as soon as they can.

This is such an important piece of legislation, and I say to my colleagues who have worked hard—Senators BLUMENTHAL and BLACKBURN, MARKEY and CASSIDY, DURBIN, KLOBUCHAR, Chair CANTWELL, and others—thank you.

But thank you, above all, to the parents who advocated so tirelessly for these bills. I have sat with them. I have heard the terrible stories—children, teenagers, perfectly normal. Some algorithm captures them online by accident, and they end up committing suicide shortly thereafter. I have heard those stories. Can you imagine being a parent and living with that?

So we have to do something. And these parents have turned their grief into grace. These parents are the reason that we succeeded today. Today the Senate tells the parents: We hear you. We are taking action.

I am so glad that we have a broad bipartisan vote here. It shows the Chamber can work on something important; that no one let partisanship get in the way of passing this important legislation.

But this is a historic moment. This is a moment when the Senate has said:

There have been horrible abuses. We must end them, and we will.

I yield the floor.

I ask unanimous consent that the scheduled vote occur immediately.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## LEGISLATIVE SESSION

### ELIMINATE USELESS REPORTS ACT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to legislative session and resume consideration of the House message to accompany S. 2073, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

House message to accompany S. 2073, a bill to amend title 31, United States Code, to require agencies to include a list of outdated or duplicative reporting requirements in annual budget justifications, and for other purposes.

Pending:

Schumer motion to concur in the amendment of the House to the bill, with Schumer amendment No. 3021 (to the House amendment to the bill), in the nature of a substitute.

Schumer amendment No. 3022 (to amendment No. 3021), to add an effective date.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, amendment No. 3022 is withdrawn.

The amendment (No. 3022) was withdrawn.

#### VOTE ON MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion to concur in the House amendment to S. 2073 with amendment No. 3021.

Mr. SCHUMER. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There appears to be a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. FETTERMAN), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ), and the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WARNER) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE).

The result was announced—yeas 91, nays 3, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 221 Leg.]

YEAS—91

Baldwin	Butler	Cotton
Barrasso	Cantwell	Cramer
Bennet	Capito	Crapo
Blackburn	Cardin	Cruz
Blumenthal	Carper	Daines
Booker	Casey	Duckworth
Boozman	Cassidy	Durbin
Braun	Collins	Ernst
Britt	Coons	Fischer
Brown	Cornyn	Gillibrand
Budd	Cortez Masto	Graham

Grassley	Marshall	Schumer
Hagerty	McConnell	Scott (FL)
Hassan	Merkley	Shaheen
Hawley	Moran	Sinema
Heinrich	Mullin	Smith
Hickenlooper	Murkowski	Stabenow
Hirono	Murphy	Sullivan
Hoeven	Murray	Tester
Hyde-Smith	Ossoff	Thune
Johnson	Padilla	Tillis
Kaine	Peters	Tuberville
Kelly	Reed	Van Hollen
Kennedy	Ricketts	Warnock
King	Risch	Warren
Klobuchar	Rosen	Welch
Lankford	Rounds	Whitehouse
Lujan	Rubio	Wicker
Lummis	Sanders	Young
Manchin	Schatz	
Markey	Schmitt	

NAYS—3

Lee	Paul	Wyden
-----	------	-------

NOT VOTING—6

Fetterman	Romney	Vance
Menendez	Scott (SC)	Warner

The motion was agreed to.  
(Mr. HICKENLOOPER assumed the Chair.)

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LUJÁN). Under the previous order, the Senate will resume executive session.

## RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate stands in recess until 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 1:01 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. LUJÁN).

## EXECUTIVE CALENDAR—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maine.

#### NOMINATION OF STACEY D. NEUMANN

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, I rise today in support of the nomination of Stacey Neumann to serve as a U.S. district court judge for the District of Maine.

I had the opportunity to meet with Ms. Neumann before her Senate Judiciary Committee hearing in May.

The committee has reported her nomination favorably with bipartisan support, and the Senate will be voting on her confirmation shortly.

I have decided to support this nominee based on her extensive legal experience—including as a Federal prosecutor—her in-depth interview with me, and her hearing testimony and background check. I have concluded that she possesses the integrity, intellect, and impartiality to serve in this critical position.

Ms. Neumann has served as a litigator at the law firm Murray Plumb & Murray in Portland, ME. She has been there since 2013 and handles criminal defense and civil litigation matters in State and Federal courts and agencies. Prior to this role, Ms. Neumann served in the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Maine as an assistant U.S. attorney, where she represented the Federal Government in a variety of criminal proceedings.

Notably, a group of former Federal prosecutors from the District of Maine signed a letter stating that, in their view, Ms. Neumann has “distinguished herself by virtue of a keen legal mind, tireless work ethic, and balanced and measured professional demeanor.”

Earlier in her career, Ms. Neumann served as the law clerk to a justice of the Vermont Supreme Court as well as for a judge on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. She graduated magna cum laude from both James Madison University and Cornell Law School.

The American Bar Association has given Ms. Neumann its highest rating of unanimously “well qualified.”

If confirmed by the Senate, she would be assigned to the U.S. district court seat in Bangor.

Based on her experience and character, I believe that Stacey Neumann will faithfully uphold our Nation’s laws and that she will serve the State of Maine and our Nation well. I urge the Senate to confirm this nominee.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maine.

Mr. KING. Mr. President, I can’t really improve upon the comments made by my senior colleague. I think she summarized Stacey Neumann’s qualifications brilliantly and really made the case for her confirmation.

I just wanted to add a couple of notes. One is that not only does she have extraordinary qualifications in terms of having been a public defender and a Federal prosecutor and a civil litigator, she has had experience in all areas of the law, particularly areas of the law involving courtroom practice, motions, negotiations, the whole process that a judge has to preside over in our court system.

In addition, though—I think Senator COLLINS really beautifully summarized her qualifications, but in addition, I found in my discussions with her and my discussions with others who know her well that she has the important temperament to hold this position and to give confidence to those who appear before her in the court.

Our courts rest upon the trust and confidence of the public. People have to believe that the judges and the people that are involved in our judicial system are nonpartisan, are neutral factfinders, and are going to do their best to apply the law fairly and evenly, no matter who the parties are before them. I believe that Stacey Neumann has that quality of fairness and has the quality of the temperament that is so important to a successful judicial career.

One final note. As a former practicing lawyer in Maine, I appreciate judges who have a bit of humility. Even though they have on the black robe, they identify with the litigants before them and the counsel and don’t try to run roughshod over those people that are before them in the court. I think Stacey Neumann has that quality of judicial temperament that is

evenhanded, that is empathetic, and I think she will make an extraordinary district court judge in our wonderful city of Bangor, ME.

So I join my senior colleague in urging my colleagues to support this nomination. I think she will be a real asset to the judiciary in the country but particularly to the people of Maine.

I yield the floor.

I would like to ask unanimous consent that the scheduled vote occur immediately at this moment in time. Thank you.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### CLOTURE MOTION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Pursuant to rule XXII, the Chair lays before the Senate the pending cloture motion, which the clerk will state.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

#### CLOTURE MOTION

We, the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of rule XXII of the Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the nomination of Executive Calendar No. 708, Stacey D. Neumann, of Maine, to be United States District Judge for the District of Maine.

Charles E. Schumer, Richard J. Durbin, Peter Welch, John W. Hickenlooper, Margaret Wood Hassan, Jack Reed, Laphonza R. Butler, Richard Blumenthal, Benjamin L. Cardin, Tammy Baldwin, Christopher Murphy, Chris Van Hollen, Catherine Cortez Masto, Tammy Duckworth, Christopher A. Coons, Brian Schatz, Sheldon Whitehouse.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. By unanimous consent, the mandatory quorum call has been waived.

The question is, Is it the sense of the Senate that debate on the nomination of Stacey D. Neumann, of Maine, to be United States District Judge for the District of Maine, shall be brought to a close?

The yeas and nays are mandatory under the rule.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. FETTERMAN), the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. MENENDEZ), the Senator from Georgia (Mr. OSSOFF), the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS), the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WARNER), and the Senator from Georgia (Mr. WARNOCK) are necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Utah (Mr. ROMNEY), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. SCOTT), and the Senator from Ohio (Mr. VANCE).

The yeas and nays resulted—yeas 50, nays 41, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 222 Ex.]

#### YEAS—50

Baldwin	Butler	Collins
Bennet	Cantwell	Coons
Blumenthal	Cardin	Cortez Masto
Booker	Carper	Duckworth
Brown	Casey	Durbin

Gillibrand	Markey	Sinema
Graham	Merkley	Smith
Hassan	Murkowski	Stabenow
Heinrich	Murphy	Tester
Hickenlooper	Murray	Tillis
Hirono	Padilla	Van Hollen
Kaine	Peters	Warren
Kelly	Reed	Welch
King	Rosen	Whitehouse
Klobuchar	Schatz	Wicker
Lujan	Schumer	Wyden
Manchin	Shaheen	

#### NAYS—41

Barrasso	Ernst	Moran
Blackburn	Fischer	Mullin
Boozman	Grassley	Paul
Braun	Hagerty	Ricketts
Britt	Hawley	Risch
Budd	Hoeven	Rounds
Capito	Hyde-Smith	Rubio
Cassidy	Johnson	Schmitt
Cornyn	Kennedy	Scott (FL)
Cotton	Lankford	Sullivan
Cramer	Lee	Thune
Crapo	Lummis	Tuberville
Cruz	Marshall	Young
Daines	McConnell	

#### NOT VOTING—9

Fetterman	Romney	Vance
Menendez	Sanders	Warner
Ossoff	Scott (SC)	Warnock

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. WELCH). On this vote, the yeas are 50, the nays are 41.

The motion is agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maine.

#### ALZHEIMER’S DISEASE

Ms. COLLINS. Mr. President, I rise today to support passage of two bipartisan bills aimed at continuing the significant progress we are making in treating and, one day, preventing and curing Alzheimer’s disease.

These bills—S. 133, the National Alzheimer Project Act, or NAPA, as it is referred to, Reauthorization Act, and S. 134, the Alzheimer Accountability and Investment Act would help coordinate the tools of the Federal Government toward reaching these important goals.

And I would note, on the floor is the cochair of the Alzheimer’s task force in the Senate, Senator MARKEY, along with the other two cosponsors we are fortunate to have, Senators WARNER and CAPITO.

When I first joined the Senate in 1997, there really wasn’t much of a focus on Alzheimer’s in Washington. It was often called senility and was thought of not as a disease but just as part of growing old.

To increase public awareness of Alzheimer’s disease, to advance research, and to bring the disease to the forefront of the Congressional agenda, I founded the Congressional Task Force on Alzheimer’s in 1999 here in the Senate.

A true milestone in focusing our efforts was the passage of the Bipartisan National Alzheimer’s Project Act that I coauthored with then-Senator Evan Bayh in 2011.

Before we passed that legislation, which became known as NAPA, there was no coordinated strategic plan to focus our efforts to defeat this devastating disease and ensure that our resources were maximized and leveraged.