billions and billions of dollars to universities in recent years.

More importantly, my amendment would also require that universities disclose the detailed terms and conditions that they agreed to in return for the foreign donation from this expanded list of countries, critically revealing whether those foreign donations come with strings attached that can foment antidemocratic influences on campuses.

Instead, this bill hampers universities' abilities to engage in important educational programming in conjunction with our democratic allies while failing to confront the real problem of antidemocratic and anti-Semitic foreign influence.

Mr. Speaker, it is a shame that we could not work in a bipartisan way to make this bill better and to address the root issue that both sides of the aisle are trying to address, which is the potential nefarious foreign influence on college campuses from a list of countries far greater than the four countries of concern outlined in the DE-TERRENT Act.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, being lectured to about how we feel about anti-Semitism on our side of the aisle is not something that I am used to hearing. It is the Republicans who have led the fight against anti-Semitism on our college campuses.

Mr. Speaker, we did make Democratic amendments in order in this rule. If my colleague had submitted his amendment in a more timely fashion and not just a few minutes before the Rules Committee met yesterday, we would have certainly had a chance to consider it. The deadline for submitting amendments was last Thursday, and that gives us an opportunity to review the amendments to see what can be put in.

Mr. Speaker, I urge our colleagues to follow the rules for deadlines because that is very important for all of us.

Mr. Speaker, I am not really amenable to hearing people criticize us about our response to anti-Semitism when I fight it every day of my life. I am wearing my necklace that I wear every day, which says: "Bring Them Home." I am wearing my yellow ribbon. Being told that I am not sympathetic to fighting anti-Semitism is not something that I take lightly.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I don't know if the gentleman from New York (Mr. GOLDMAN) is still here, but I will relay the substance of the chairwoman's remarks regarding his amendment and the timing of his amendment submission.

Mr. Speaker, I am prepared to close. I have only a few minutes of debate left. As the chairwoman said, this is the people's House, a House that I have great reverence for.

Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I might, via the Speaker, make a request to the chairwoman to engage in a brief colloguy. Perhaps that could provide the viewing public a sense of real debate, as opposed to us just giving speeches.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, we are nearly at the end of this, and I don't believe that we need to have a colloquy. We each have an opportunity for closing comments.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, with much respect to the gentlewoman, I think that is a shame because I think the American people ought to be able to hear a fair and full exchange of views in an active debate. I think that is far more present when we actually have a colloquy as opposed to just making speeches.

I simply say that the question I was going to pose to the chairwoman is that she has made clear that these three bills, the bill to give more power to the Department of Education that she seeks to dismantle and bills on the regulation standards for refrigerant walk-in freezers and commercial refrigerators, that those bills are very important to the House Republican Conference, that they are a top priority. Interestingly enough, not a single Republican Member of Congress in the last hour that we have had allocated for debate has indulged us with their presence today to come to the floor and extol the virtues of the legislation that Republicans have pursued on commercial refrigerators. I wonder why. It is probably not an accident.
Mr. Speaker, I can't imagine that

there are a lot of Republican Members of Congress who wanted to sign up to come do floor debate today on commercial refrigerators. In light of the biggest mishap on a national security front in decades and in light of all of the challenges that our country is facing, debating refrigerators apparently was not something that many of my chairwoman's colleagues wanted to do.

Nonetheless, I am grateful that we have had an opportunity to share with the American public and give them full transparency into how the Republicans have decided to spend their time and their majority here in Washington, D.C.

Mr. Speaker. I am sure we will proceed with a few more days of debate. I am sure Republicans will pass their bills on commercial freezers and walkin refrigerators, and then we will be back next week. One can only hope that Republicans will have seen the light by then and that we won't be up for appliance week number 4, 5, 6, or whatever it is now.

Mr. Speaker, if my colleagues on the other side of the aisle would like to actually debate substantive issues, there is an easy way to do it: opposing the previous question and opposing the rule and the underlying bills so that the House can get back to doing the people's work.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, House Republicans are laser focused on governing and advancing legislation that addresses pertinent issues across the Nation. It is unfortunate that our colleagues do not agree that protecting Americans from unnecessary rules and regulations is not something that is important to them.

It is very important to us, and we are following regular order here. The Rules Committee is represented by me, the chair, on this important rule today.

That is significant.

The three pieces of legislation that will be considered under the rule that will be debated tomorrow are part of our governing efforts and are in alignment with the mandate that Americans gave us last November.

Shielding consumer choice and combating foreign influence within higher education are issues that Americans care about. We have heard their concerns, and we are addressing them yet again this week.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on the previous question, "ves" on the rule, and then, later, "yes" on the bills that will be presented as a result of this rule.

The material previously referred to by Mr. Neguse is as follows:

AN AMENDMENT TO H. RES. 242 OFFERED BY Mr. Neglise of Colorado

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

SEC. 4. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall proceed to the consideration in the House of the bill (H.R. 433) to prohibit funds made available to the Department of Education by previous Appropriations Acts from being used for any activity relating to implementing a reorganization of the Department, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The bill shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and on any amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and Workforce or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recommit.

SEC 5 Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 433.

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the aves appeared to have it.

Mr. NEGUSE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and navs were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this question are postponed.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Proceedings will resume on questions previously postponed.

Schweikert

Scott (VA)

Scott, Austin

Scott, David

Sessions

Sherman

Sherrill

Shreve

Simon

Simpson

Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (WA)

Smucker

Sorensen

Stansbury

Stanton

Stefanik

Stevens

Strong

Suozzi

Sykes

Takano

Taylor

Tenney

Titus

Tlaib

Tokuda

Tonko

Trahan

Tran

Torres (CA)

Torres (NY)

Turner (OH)

Underwood

Valadao

Vargas

Veasey

Van Drew

Van Orden

Velázquez

Vindman

Wagner

Walberg

Wasserman

Schultz

Thanedar

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Thompson (PA)

Swalwell

Strickland

Stutzman

Subramanyam

Soto

Sewell.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

The motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 1534:

Ordering the previous question on H. Res. 242: and

Adoption of H. Res. 242, if ordered.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5minute votes.

INNOVATIVE MITIGATION PART-FOR ASPHALT AND NERSHIPS CONCRETE TECHNOLOGIES ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1534) to strengthen and enhance the competitiveness of American industry through the research and development of advanced technologies to improve the efficiency of cement, concrete, and asphalt production, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Babin) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 350, nays 73, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 74] YEAS-350

Castor (FL) Adams Aderholt Castro (TX) Aguilar Cherfilus-McCormick Alford Allen Chu Amo Ciscomani Amodei (NV) Cisneros Ansari Clark (MA) Arrington Clarke (NY) Auchincloss Cleaver Babin Clyburn Bacon Cohen Baird Cole Balderson Comer Balint Conaway Connolly Barr Barragán Correa Barrett Costa Baumgartner Courtney Beatty Craig Crank Bell Bentz Crawford Bera. Crenshaw Crockett Bergman Beyer Crow Bice Cuellar Bilirakis Davids (KS) Bishop Davidson Bonamici Davis (IL) Bost Davis (NC) Boyle (PA) De La Cruz Bresnahan Dean (PA) Brown DeGette Brownley DeLauro Buchanan DelBene Budzinski Deluzio Bynum DeSaulnier Calvert Dexter Diaz-Balart Carbajal Carey Dingell Carson Doggett Carter (GA) Dunn (FL) Carter (LA) Edwards Carter (TX) Elfreth Casar Ellzev Case Emmer Escobar Casten

Fletcher Flood Foster Foushee Frankel, Lois Franklin, Scott Friedman Frost Garamendi Garbarino Garcia (CA) García (IL) Garcia (TX) Gillen Gimenez Golden (ME) Goldman (NY) Gomez Gonzales, Tony Gonzalez, V. Goodlander Graves Grav Green (TN) Green, Al (TX) Grothman Guthrie Hamadeh (AZ) Harder (CA) Hayes Hern (OK) Hill (AR) Himes Hinson Horsford Houchin

Houlahan

Hoyer

Espaillat

Feenstra

Fields

Figures

Fitzgerald

Fitzpatrick

Fleischmann

Evans (CO)

Evans (PA)

Hoyle (OR) McGarvey Hudson McGovern Huffman McIver Huizenga Meeks Hurd (CO) Menendez Meng Issa Ivey Messmer Jackson (IL) Meuser Jackson (TX) Mfume Miller (OH) Jacobs James Miller (WV) Jayapal Miller-Meeks Jeffries Min Johnson (GA) Moolenaar Johnson (LA) Moore (NC) Johnson (SD) Moore (UT) Johnson (TX) Moore (WI) Jordan Moran Joyce (OH) Morelle Morrison Joyce (PA) Kamlager-Dove Moskowitz Kaptur Moulton Kean Mrvan Keating Mullin Kelly (IL) Murphy Kelly (MS) Nadler Kelly (PA) Nea1 Kennedy (NY) Neguse Khanna Newhouse Kiggans (VA) Norcross Kilev (CA) Nunn (IA) Kim Obernolte Krishnamoorthi Ocasio-Cortez Kustoff Olszewski LaHood Omar LaLota Onder LaMalfa Pallone Landsman Panetta Langworthy Pappas Larsen (WA) Pelosi Larson (CT) Perez Latimer Peters Latta Pfluger Lawler Pingree Lee (FL) Pocan Lee (NV) Pou Lee (PA) Presslev Leger Fernandez Quigley Levin Ramirez Liceardo Randall Lieu Raskin Lofgren Reschenthaler Loudermilk Riley (NY) Lucas Rivas Rogers (AL) Luna Lynch Rogers (KY) Ross Mace Mackenzie

Rouzer Ruiz Rulli Rutherford Ryan Salazar Salinas Sánchez Scalise Scanlon Schakowsky Schmidt McDonald Rivet Scholten Schrier

Magaziner

Mann

Mast

Matsui

McBath

McBride

McClain

McClellan

McCollum

McDowell

Bean (FL)

Biggs (AZ)

Biggs (SC)

Boebert

Brecheen

Burchett

Burlison

Cline

Cloud

Clyde

Collins

DesJarlais

Donalds

Downing

Estes

Ezell

Foxx

Fry

Fallon

Fedorchak

Fischbach

Finstad

Crane

Cammack

Begich

Mannion

Malliotakis

NAYS-73

Fulcher Gill (TX) Goldman (TX) Gooden Gosar Greene (GA) Griffith Guest Hageman Haridopolos Harrigan Harris (MD) Harris (NC) Harshbarger Higgins (LA) Jack Kennedy (UT) ${\bf Knott}$ Letlow Luttrell Maloy Massie McClintock McCormick

McGuire

Waters Watson Coleman Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Westerman Whitesides Wied Williams (GA) Williams (TX) Wilson (FL) Womack Yakym Miller (IL) Mills Moore (AL) Moore (WV) Nehls Norman Ogles Owens Palmer Perry Rose Rov Self Spartz Stauber Steil Steube Tiffany Timmons Van Duyne Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Zinke

Fong Gottheimer

NOT VOTING-8 McCaul Schneider McClain Delaney Vasquez

HIGGINS of Messrs. Louisiana. OWENS, DESJARLAIS, GOLDMAN of TIMMONS, FRY, GOODEN. Texas. HARIDOPOLOS, LUTTRELL, FALLON, GUEST, STEIL, COLLINS, McCORMICK. BEGICH. Mses. LETLOW, VAN DUYNE, Messrs. WITT-MAN and EZELL changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Messrs. LARSEN of Washington, MACE. BALDERSON. Ms. Messrs. FIELDS, PANETTA, Mses. PINGREE, LEGER FERNANDEZ, Messrs. ADER-HOLT, SCHWEIKERT, Mses. GARCIA of Texas, WILSON of Florida, and Mr. GONZALEZ VICENTE of Texas changed their vote from "nay" "yea.

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 24, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL THE RULE SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RELATING TO "ENERGY CON-SERVATION PROGRAM: ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR WALK-IN COOLERS AND WALK-IN FREEZERS": **PROVIDING** FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.J. RES. 75, PROVIDING FOR CONGRESSIONAL DISAPPROVAL OF THERULE SUBMITTED BY THE OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RE-DEPART-NEWABLE ENERGY, MENT OF ENERGY RELATING TO "ENERGY CONSERVATION PRO-GRAM: ENERGY CONSERVATION STANDARDS FOR COMMERCIAL REFRIGERATORS, FREEZERS. AND REFRIGERATOR-FREEZERS"; AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDER-ATION OF H.R. 1048, DEFENDING EDUCATION TRANSPARENCY AND ROGUE REGIMES EN-ENDING GAGING IN NEFARIOUS TRANS-ACTIONS ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on ordering the previous question on the resolution (H. Res. 242) providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 24) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, of the rule submitted by the Department of Energy relating to "Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Walk-In Coolers and Walk-In Freezers"; providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H.J. Res. 75) providing for congressional disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code,