

the mail at Federal correctional facilities; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. JUSTICE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the text of the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 1295

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Bureau of Prisons Security Check and Action against Narcotics in Mail Act” or the “BOP SCAN Mail Act”.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) The Bureau of Prisons has 122 institutions located throughout the United States, employs nearly 38,000 employees, and is responsible for more than 150,000 Federal inmates.

(2) Inmate mail is a primary entry point for smuggling drugs into correctional facilities, with tainted mail incidents also on the rise.

(3) Elimination of dangerous contraband, including synthetic drugs, in mail is essential to protecting the health and safety of employees of the Bureau of Prisons and Federal inmates.

(4) Prisons in the United States are increasingly deadly facilities, with a 600 percent rise in drug overdoses in recent years.

(5) The introduction of synthetic drugs, particularly fentanyl and fentanyl analogues, into correctional facilities by mail threatens employees, inmates, and the security of correctional institutions, and the practice of deliberately lacing opioids to ensure targeted lethality represents a dramatic emerging concern.

(6) The foregoing factors add tremendous pressures and workload that further burden existing employees, commonly reassigning officers from other functions to assist in processing mail.

(7) Employees at correctional facilities at Federal, State, and local levels continue to request drug interdiction technologies to protect themselves and inmates.

(8) A congressionally authorized digital mail scanning pilot program at the Federal Correctional Institution, Beckley, West Virginia, and the United States Penitentiary, Canaan, Pennsylvania, from March 2020 through June 2021, demonstrated effective interdiction technology and practices aimed at eliminating dangerous contraband arriving through the mail and served as an effective deterrent to smuggling attempts.

(9) Apart from digital mail scanning, there is no widely deployed interdiction technology that has demonstrated a 100 percent efficacy to detecting fentanyl, and other synthetic drugs, arriving through the mail at Bureau of Prisons facilities.

(10) Removing mail processing from Federal prisons and relieving Bureau of Prisons employees from mail sorting duties will result in an extensive budgetary relief to the Bureau of Prisons and decrease the staffing shortages facing prisons.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) DIRECTOR.—The term “Director” means the Director of the Bureau of Prisons.

(2) OPIOID.—The term “opioid” has the meaning given such term in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802).

(3) SYNTHETIC DRUG.—The term “synthetic drug” means a controlled substance ana-

logue (as such term is defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 802)), and includes any analogue of fentanyl.

SEC. 4. STRATEGY TO INTERDICT SYNTHETIC DRUGS IN POSTAL MAIL.

(a) EVALUATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall evaluate—

(1) the acquisition and deployment of synthetic drug interdiction equipment and technology by Federal correctional facilities;

(2) the use of technology services by Federal correctional facilities to scan mail; and

(3) whether any technologies used by other Federal agencies or State and local corrections facilities to intercept and interdict contraband in the mail may be used by the Bureau of Prisons.

(b) STRATEGY.—Not later than 90 days after completing the evaluation under subsection (a), the Director shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a strategy to provide all Federal correctional facilities with capabilities necessary to—

(1) protect staff and inmates from exposure to synthetic drugs and opioids introduced to facilities through the mail;

(2) ensure that—

(A) not later than 24 hours after a piece of mail is received at a Federal corrections facility or an appropriately contracted offsite location, each inmate receives a digital copy of any mail that is addressed to the inmate;

(B) not later than 30 days after receiving a digital copy of a piece of mail under subparagraph (A), the inmate receives the original physical copy of any mail that—

(i) does not contain synthetic drugs or opioids; and

(ii) is addressed to the inmate; and

(C) delivery to the inmate under subparagraphs (A) and (B) is documented;

(3) ensure that a process is in place for the processing of legal mail that includes—

(A) the verification of the sender; and

(B) maintains attorney client privilege as required by existing law; and

(4) achieve 100 percent scanning capacity of mail arriving at all Federal correction facilities.

(c) CONTENTS.—The strategy required under subsection (b) shall—

(1) identify critical information technology, digital mail scanning equipment, and mail scanning services necessary to achieve the scanning capacity described in subsection (b)(4);

(2) include an assessment of operational and logistical considerations, including—

(A) prioritization of high security and large inmate population facilities for digital mail scanning infrastructure and security technology deployment;

(B) any need for additional personnel and technology training necessary to implement the strategy; and

(C) scanning equipment maintenance requirements and periodic digital technology upgrades;

(3) include an equipment and technology budgetary proposal, for fiscal years 2025 through 2027, in order to fully implement the strategy described under subsection (b); and

(4) include strategies for conducting oversight of the contractor providing the scanning service for the mail.

(d) IMPLEMENTATION DEADLINE.—Not later than 3 years after the date on which the strategy is submitted under subsection (b), and subject to appropriations, the Director of the Bureau of Prisons shall complete implementation of the submitted plan.

(e) ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORTS.—Beginning 1 year after the date on which the strategy is submitted under subsection (b), and each year thereafter, the Director of the Bureau

of Prisons shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report on the efficiency of the strategy and the total quantity of detected synthetic drugs and opioids.

SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION 154—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE SENATE THAT DONALD TRUMP IS INELIGIBLE IN ANY FUTURE ELECTIONS TO BE ELECTED VICE-PRESIDENT OR PRESIDENT, OR TO SERVE AS PRESIDENT BEYOND THE CONCLUSION OF HIS CURRENT TERM

Mr. MARKEY submitted the following resolution; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary:

S. RES. 154

Whereas the Twelfth amendment to the Constitution states that “No person constitutionally ineligible to the office of President shall be eligible to that of Vice-President of the United States”;

Whereas the Twenty-Second amendment to the Constitution states that “No person shall be elected to the office of the President more than twice, and no person who has held the office of President, or acted as President, for more than two years of a term to which some other person was elected President shall be elected to the office of the President more than once”;

Whereas Donald Trump has been elected to the office of the President twice: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That it is the sense of the Senate that Donald Trump is ineligible in any future elections to be elected Vice-President or President, or to serve as President beyond the conclusion of his current term.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1289. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1290. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1291. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1292. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1293. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1294. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1295. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1296. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the

SA 1358. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him

to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1527. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1528. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1529. Mr. MARKEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1530. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1531. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1532. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1533. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1534. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1535. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1536. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1537. Ms. WARREN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, *supra*: which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1538. Ms. WARREN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra: which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1539. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. DURBIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1540. Ms. WARREN (for herself, Mr. KING, and Mr. WYDEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1541. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1542. Ms. WARREN (for herself, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. COONS, and Mr. HICKENLOOPER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14 *supra*; which

SA 1543. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. HEINRICH) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H Con Res 14 supra: which was or-

SA 1544. Ms. WARREN (for herself and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended

SA 1599. Mr. COONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

ROSEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1726. Mr. LUJÁN (for himself and Ms. KLOBUCHAR) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1717 proposed by Mr. GRAHAM to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1727. Mr. KELLY (for himself, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, Mr. WYDEN, Ms. DUCKWORTH, Ms. ROSEN, and Mr. REED) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1728. Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1729. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1730. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1731. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1732. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1733. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1734. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1735. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1736. Mr. MERKLEY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1737. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1738. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1739. Mr. KELLY (for himself and Ms. WARREN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1740. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1741. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1742. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1743. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1744. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1745. Mr. KELLY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1746. Mr. KIM submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA

1717 proposed by Mr. GRAHAM to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1747. Mr. REED (for himself, Mrs. GILLIBRAND, Mr. COONS, Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. DURBIN, Mr. WELCH, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. BLUMENTHAL, Ms. HASSAN, Mr. PADILLA, Mr. KING, Mr. HEINRICH, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, and Mr. MARKEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1717 proposed by Mr. GRAHAM to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1748. Mr. DURBIN (for himself and Ms. BALDWIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1749. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1750. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1751. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1752. Mr. REED submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1753. Mr. REED (for himself, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. PADILLA, Ms. WARREN, and Mr. SCHATZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1754. Mr. REED (for himself, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. PADILLA, Ms. WARREN, and Mr. SCHATZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 1289. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

SEC. 3. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO ENSURING DEPORTED UNITED STATES MILITARY VETERANS HAVE ACCESS TO PATHWAYS FOR LEGAL RETURN AND REINSTITATED BENEFITS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to ensuring deported United States military veterans have access to pathways for legal return and reinstated benefits, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2025 through 2034.

SA 1290. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by

him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

SEC. 3. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO BOLSTERING EFFORTS TO COMBAT FENTANYL TRAFFICKING AT THE SOUTHERN BORDER.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to bolstering efforts to combat fentanyl trafficking at the southern border, which may include increased funding for detection technologies, border security personnel, and legal services for unaccompanied migrant children, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2025 through 2034.

SA 1291. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following:

SEC. 3. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND RELATING TO STREAMLINING WORK AUTHORIZATION PROCESSES FOR ASYLUM SEEKERS AND MIGRANTS IN BORDER STATES AND HIGH-NEED AREAS.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate may revise the allocations of a committee or committees, aggregates, and other appropriate levels in this resolution, and make adjustments to the pay-as-you-go ledger, for one or more bills, joint resolutions, amendments, amendments between the Houses, motions, or conference reports relating to streamlining work authorization processes for asylum seekers and migrants in border states and high-need areas, by the amounts provided in such legislation for those purposes, provided that such legislation would not increase the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2025 through 2034.

SA 1292. Mr. BENNET submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the concurrent resolution H. Con. Res. 14, establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 2025 and setting forth the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 2026 through 2034; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title III, add the following: