

of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessel operators can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: September 19, 2017.

**Carl T. Hausner,**

*District Bridge Chief, Eleventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2017-20273 Filed 9-21-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2017-0152; FRL-9967-99-Region 3]

#### Air Plan Approval; Delaware; Infrastructure Requirements for the 2012 Fine Particulate Matter Standard

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving portions of a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submittal from the State of Delaware pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA). Whenever new or revised national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) are promulgated, the CAA requires states to submit a plan for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of such NAAQS. The plan is required to address basic program elements, including, but not limited to, regulatory structure, monitoring, modeling, legal authority, and adequate resources necessary to assure attainment and maintenance of the standards. These elements are referred to as infrastructure requirements. Delaware made a SIP submittal to address the infrastructure requirements for the 2012 fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) NAAQS. This action approves portions of this submittal pursuant to section 110 of the CAA. EPA is not taking any action on the portion of the submittal that addresses interstate transport of emissions and intends to take separate action later.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on October 23, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID

Number EPA-R03-OAR-2017-0152. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <http://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gavin Huang, (215) 814-2042, or by email at [huang.gavin@epa.gov](mailto:huang.gavin@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

On June 1, 2017 (82 FR 25211), EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) for the State of Delaware. In the NPR, EPA proposed approval of portions of Delaware's December 14, 2015 SIP revision which address for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS the following infrastructure elements of section 110(a)(2) of the CAA: (A), (B), (C), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M). EPA will take separate action, at a future date, on the remaining portion of the December 14, 2015 SIP revision that addresses requirements in section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (interstate transport of emissions) for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. Additionally, the proposed rulemaking action did not include action on section 110(a)(2)(I) of the CAA which pertains to the nonattainment planning requirements of part D, title I of the CAA, because this element is not required to be submitted by the 3-year submission deadline of section 110(a)(1) of the CAA, and will be addressed in a separate process if necessary.

Because the technical support document (TSD) was erroneously omitted from the docket for this rulemaking at the time EPA published the NPR on June 1, 2017 (82 FR 25211), EPA published a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPR) extending the comment period on June 22, 2017 to allow further opportunity for public comment on our proposed approval of portions of Delaware's December 14, 2015 SIP revision addressing infrastructure requirements for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. 82 FR 28432.

##### II. Summary of SIP Revision and EPA Analysis

EPA reviewed the December 14, 2015 SIP submittal from Delaware and determined that it addressed for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS the following infrastructure elements in section 110(a)(2): (A), (B), (C), (D)(i)(I), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M) of the CAA. A detailed summary of EPA's review and rationale for approving Delaware's submittal may be found in the TSD for this rulemaking action, which is available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2017-0152.

Although Delaware's December 14, 2015 SIP submission also contained provisions to address section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) of the CAA, EPA did not propose any action on the portion of the submittal pertaining to section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) regarding the interstate transport of emissions. EPA intends to take later separate action on this portion of Delaware's submittal.

Other specific requirements of Delaware's submittal for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS infrastructure requirements and the rationale for EPA's proposed action are explained in the NPR and will not be restated here. EPA received one comment which is addressed below.

##### III. Public Comment and EPA's Response

EPA received a comment in response to the June 1, 2017 NPR. The commenter noted that the TSD was not available online and requested a restart of the comment period. Additionally, the commenter expressed support for EPA and concerns about the removal of environmental regulations.

**Response:** On June 22, 2017, EPA made the TSD available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2017-0152. In our June 22, 2017 SNPR, EPA subsequently extended the comment period. 82 FR 28432. While EPA appreciates the supportive comments and expression of concern for environmental regulations in general, these comments are not germane to this rulemaking and do not identify any specific actions or provisions that EPA should address differently. Therefore, EPA does not provide further response.

##### IV. Final Action

EPA is approving portions of Delaware's December 14, 2015 SIP revision that address the following elements of section 110(a)(2) of the CAA for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS: (A), (B), (C), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M). Delaware's SIP revision

addressing section 110(a)(2)(A–C), (D)(i)(II) and (D)(ii), (E–H), and (J–M) provides the basic program elements specified in section 110(a)(2) of the CAA necessary to implement, maintain, and enforce the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. EPA will take later separate action on the portion of the SIP revision addressing section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (interstate transport of emissions) for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. This final rulemaking action does not include action on section 110(a)(2)(I) of the CAA which pertains to the nonattainment planning requirements of part D, title I of the CAA, because this element is not required to be submitted by the 3-year submission deadline of section 110(a)(1) of the CAA, and will be addressed in a separate process if necessary.

**V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

**A. General Requirements**

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described

in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

- does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

**B. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General**

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**C. Petitions for Judicial Review**

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 21, 2017. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action to approve portions of Delaware’s December 14, 2015 SIP revision for section 110(a)(2) infrastructure requirements for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 8, 2017.

**Cecil Rodrigues,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

**PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

**Subpart I—Delaware**

■ 2. In § 52.420, the table in paragraph (e) is amended by adding an entry for “Section 110(a)(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS” after the entry “Infrastructure element 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) related to interstate transport.” The added text reads as follows:

**§ 52.420 Identification of plan.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
(e) \* \* \*

Name of non-regulatory SIP revision	Applicable geographic area	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Additional explanation
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
Section 110(a)(2) Infrastructure Requirements for the 2012 PM <sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS.	Statewide .....	12/14/2015	9/22/2017, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	This action addresses the following CAA elements: 110(a)(2)(A), (B), (C), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), (E), (F), (G), (H), (J), (K), (L), and (M).

Name of non-regulatory SIP revision	Applicable geographic area	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Additional explanation
*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 2017-20163 Filed 9-21-17; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA-R06-OAR-2015-0496; FRL-9967-53-Region 6]

**Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Reasonably Available Control Technology for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is conditionally approving revisions to the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) addressing Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for the Martin Marietta (formerly, Texas Industries, Inc., or TXI) cement manufacturing plant in Ellis County. We are fully approving revisions to the Texas SIP addressing NO<sub>x</sub> RACT for all other affected sources in the ten county Dallas Fort Worth (DFW) 2008 8-Hour ozone nonattainment area. We are also approving NO<sub>x</sub> RACT negative declarations (a finding that there are no major sources of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in certain categories) for the DFW 2008 8-Hour ozone nonattainment area. The DFW 2008 8-Hour ozone nonattainment area consists of Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Johnson, Kaufman, Parker, Rockwall, Tarrant, and Wise counties. The RACT requirements apply to major sources of NO<sub>x</sub> in these ten counties.

**DATES:** This rule will be effective on October 23, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R06-OAR-2015-0496. All documents in the docket are listed on the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket

materials are available either electronically through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or in hard copy at the Air Planning Section (6MM-AA), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. The file will be made available by appointment for public inspection in the Region 6 FOIA Review Room between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. weekdays except for legal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Alan Shar (6MM-AA), telephone (214) 665-2164, email [shar.alan@epa.gov](mailto:shar.alan@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to EPA.

**Outline**

- I. Background
- II. Public Comments
- III. Response to Comments
- IV. Final Actions
- V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Background**

The background for this action is discussed in detail in the July 19, 2017 (82 FR 33026) proposal. In that document, the EPA proposed to conditionally approve revisions to the Texas SIP that the TCEQ submitted to EPA in its Appendix F (a component of the 2008 8-Hour DFW ozone nonattainment area plan) of the July 10, 2015 DFW SIP submittal. The July 19, 2017 **Federal Register** (FR) action proposed to conditionally approve revisions to the Texas SIP addressing NO<sub>x</sub> RACT for the Martin Marietta (MM) cement manufacturing plant in Ellis County. See section 110(k)(4) of the Clean Air Act (CAA, Act), and section II.F of the Proposal.

We proposed to fully approve revisions to the Texas SIP addressing NO<sub>x</sub> RACT for all other affected sources in the ten county DFW 2008 8-Hour ozone nonattainment area. See section II.B of the Proposal.

We also proposed to approve NO<sub>x</sub> RACT negative declarations for the nitric acid and adipic acid manufacturing operations within the ten County DFW 2008 8-Hour ozone nonattainment area. See section II.C of the Proposal.

The Proposal and the Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in conjunction with that FR action provide detailed description and the rationale

for the proposed decisions. Please see the docket ID No. EPA-R06-OAR-2015-0496 for the TSD and other documents regarding the Proposal.

**II. Public Comments**

The public comment period for the July 19, 2017 (82 FR 33026) proposal expired on August 19, 2017, and we received relevant comments from Holcim, TCEQ, and Ash Grove on the proposed actions during this period. Our response to relevant comments received during public comment period is below.

**III. Response to Comments**

*Comment #1:* Holcim supported EPA’s action on the Proposal.

*Response:* We appreciate the support.

*Comment #2:* TCEQ requested clarification on its SIP revision process addressing conditional approval for the MM cement manufacturing plant through a voluntary Agreed Order (AO) or rulemaking action.

*Response:* State has the option of choosing what mechanism, for example; a voluntary AO or rulemaking action, to use when revising its SIP as long as a revision is made in conformance with section 110 of the Act and applicable State law. No change to our NO<sub>x</sub> RACT determination is made as a result of this comment.

*Comment #3:* Ash Grove supported EPA’s action, stating its NO<sub>x</sub> limit is driven by 40 CFR 60.62 (New Source Performance Standards—NSPS). The commenter contends that its air permit is not a part of a federally enforceable SIP submittal.

*Response:* We appreciate the support. The NO<sub>x</sub> RACT emission limitation of 1.5 lb/ton of clinker produced is required per 40 CFR 60.62(a) or NSPS subpart F that is consistent with limits established in Ash Grove’s consent decree. We agree with the comment that its air permit was not a component of TCEQ SIP submittal; however, inclusion of air permit in record was intended to create a thorough and complete docket. No change to our NO<sub>x</sub> RACT determination for this plant is made as a result of this comment.

This concludes our response to comments received. Based on our evaluation and responses, no changes to the Proposed NO<sub>x</sub> RACT determinations have been made. Therefore, we are